Professor: Dr. Diana D’Amico
Email: ddamico2@gmu.edu
Office Hours: By Appointment
Office: 2106 West Hall, Fairfax Campus
Phone: 703.993.5596

Prerequisites/Corequisites
Admission to PhD in education program, or permission of instructor.

Catalog Course Description
Explores a broad range of reform initiatives shaping public education and examines the ways politics infuses education policy. Investigates the disciplinary and methodological frameworks scholars have used to study school reform.

Expanded Course Description
The nation’s public schools exist within and are shaped by a complex nexus of political forces. In various ways, administrators, teachers, parents and even students behave as political actors at the local, state and federal levels in concert with elected officials. Public schools socialize the nation’s youth, affirming and imparting lessons about citizenship and power. In today’s political milieu, education debates surrounding school choice, curricula, teachers, standards and equity assume center stage. The goal of this course is to expose students to critical themes and debates in American education and position them to consider how stakeholders and forces beyond the school shape policy and resulting reforms.

Learner Outcomes
At the conclusion of this course, students should be able to:

1. Demonstrate a detailed and sophisticated understanding of major reform issues in U.S. education.

2. Analyze and describe the political and social forces that influence decision making on these issues.

3. Understand and explain the intersection of school reform and educational policy at various levels (local, state, federal).
4. Analyze existing scholarship around school reform initiatives and develop a new research agenda.

**Relationship to Program Goals and Professional Organizations**
There are no specialized standards specific to education policy studies. However, most, if not all standards for educators expect professionals to be aware of the political, social, economic, legal and cultural context of public education in the United States. This course provides students with that background and understanding.

**Nature of Course Delivery**
This course is taught in a seminar style through discussion, brief lectures, and occasional online units.

**Required Texts, Readings and Resources**

*All readings will be made available through the course blackboard page.*


Course Requirements and Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to:

- Attend all classes. Please provide advance notice, when possible, if you must miss a class. On these occasions, please get notes and any handouts from a colleague.
- Read all assignments prior to class and bring copies (either hard or electronic copy) to class.
- Actively participate in class discussions and activities and to treat one another with respect.
- Submit all assignment on time.
  - **Note**: all assignments must be emailed to me at ddamico2@gmu.edu by midnight on Sunday evening (for specific dates, refer to course calendar).
  - **Note**: Unless prior arrangements are made, late work will be penalized by 1/3 of a letter grade for each day late (e.g. A becomes an A- after one day and a B+ after two).

Assignments:

1. **Topic Proposal and Bibliography**: In a brief essay (3-4 pages, not including bibliography), identify a reform initiative or program that will form the foundation of your final paper. Offer a detailed description of the reform. Where does it play out? Who is affected by it? What problem is it attempting to solve or treat? What does it do? Where does this reform come from? What are the relevant policies? As you close your essay, propose questions for further inquiry: what do you want to know more about? Include a list of at least 10 relevant scholarly, peer reviewed sources that will inform your inquiry. **(20pts)**

2. **Annotated Bibliography**: Provide brief annotations highlighting argument and contributions for at least 10 scholarly, peer reviewed sources that pertain to your selected reform. In addition, provide a brief discussion (no more than 1 page) of how you see the literature fitting together. **(10pts)**

3. **Reading Response Essay**: Select a group of readings from the syllabus and write a brief essay (5-6 pages) that explores the ways this literature fits together. While some summary may be important, you should devote your attention to an analysis of the texts. Craft an argument about how the articles fit together, why they matter and what can be learned. Essays are due by midnight on the Sunday evening before the day they will be discussed in class. Late assignments will not be accepted. **(25pts)**

4. **Final Paper**: In an 18-20 page essay, examine the state of knowledge pertaining to an educational reform of your choice and propose a research project. Begin by offering an examination of a particular educational reform. Next, offer a detailed review of the relevant literature examining key themes and arguments. Your task is not to summarize existing research but to analyze it. Finally, design a research project that simultaneously builds off of and contributes to the relevant research. Discuss the significance of your proposed research. Please refer to the final page of this syllabus for a grading rubric. **(35pts)**
5. **Paper Presentation:** Students will deliver a presentation of their final papers to the class that highlights the specific educational reform in question, the state of pertinent research, and the proposed research project. *(10pts)*

**Please email all assignments to me by midnight on Sunday***

**Evaluation**
All papers must be typed, double spaced, in adherence to space guidelines and formatted according to the *APA Manual of Style, 6th Ed.*

**Grading Scale:**
- A = 96-100
- A- = 92-95
- B+ = 89-91
- B = 80-88
- C = 75-79
- F = 74 and below

**Professional Dispositions**
Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

**Core Values Commitment**
The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: [http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/](http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/)

**GMU Policies and Resources for Students**

**Policies**
- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see [http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/](http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/)).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see [http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/](http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/)).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see [http://ods.gmu.edu/](http://ods.gmu.edu/)).
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be
silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/api/tk20. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/.

- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (see http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/).

- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students’ personal experience and academic performance (see http://caps.gmu.edu/).

- The George Mason University Office of Student Support staff helps students negotiate life situations by connecting them with appropriate campus and off-campus resources. Students in need of these services may contact the office by phone (703-993-5376). Concerned students, faculty and staff may also make a referral to express concern for the safety or well-being of a Mason student or the community by going to http://studentsupport.gmu.edu/, and the OSS staff will follow up with the student.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/.
Course Calendar

August 29 – Course Introduction

September 12 – School Reform: New Experiments or More of the Same?
- Cuban, “Reforming Again, Again and Again”
- Payne and Kaba, “So Much Reform, So Little Change: Building-Level Obstacles to School Reform”
- Tyack, “Public School Reform: Policy Talk and Institutional Practice”

September 19 – School Control and Governance
- Grissom and Herrington, “Struggling for Coherence and Control: the New Politics of Intergovernmental Relations in Education”
- Timar, “The ‘New Accountability’ and School Governance in California”
- Wong and Shen, “Big City Mayors and School Governance Reform: The Case of School District Takeover”

September 26 – Discussion of Student Topics
- Topic Proposal and Bibliography Due by Midnight, Sunday September 25
- Discussion of annotated bibliographies

October 3 – Zoning: Who Goes to School Where (and who decides)?
- Clapp et al., “Which School Attributes Matter? The Influence of School District Performance and Demographic Composition on Property Values”
- Dhar and Ross, “School District Quality and Property Values: Examining Differences along School District Boundaries”
- Dougherty et al., “School Choice in Suburbia: Test Scores, Race, and Housing Markets”

October 11 * – Accountability, Achievement and Equity: NCLB and Testing
- Diamond and Spillane, “High Stakes Accountability in Urban Elementary Schools: Challenging or Reproducing Inequality?”
- Koyama, “Making Failure Matter: Enacting No Child Left Behind’s Standards, Accountabilities, and Classifications”
- Supovitz, “Can High Stakes Testing Leverage Educational Improvement? Prospects from the Last Decade of Testing and Accountability Reform”

October 17 – Parental Choice: Charter Schools and Voucher Programs
- Rouse, “Private School Vouchers and Student Achievement: An Evaluation of the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program”

* Class meets on Tuesday.
October 24 – Curricular Reform: The Common Core and Standardization
- *Annotated Bibliography Due by Midnight, Sunday October 23*
- In-Class Discussion/Exercise: Finding your voice in the literature
- Polikoff et al., “How Well Aligned are State Assessments of Student Achievement with State Content Standards?”

October 31 – School Discipline (Online Class)
- Arum, “Law and Disorder in the Classroom”
- Fenning and Rose, “Overrepresentation of African American Students in Exclusionary Discipline: The Role of School Policy”
- Losen and Gillespie, “Opportunities Suspended: The Disparate Impact of Disciplinary Exclusion from School”

November 7 – Teachers: Certification, Evaluation, and Hiring
- Cochran-Smith and Fries, “Sticks, Stones, and Ideology: The Discourse of Reform in Teacher Education”
- Superfine et al., “The Expanding Federal Role in Teacher Workforce Policy”
- Kane, “What does Certification Tell us about Teacher Effectiveness? Evidence from New York City”
- D’Amico, et.al., “Where are All the Black Teachers?: Discrimination in the Education Labor Market”

November 14 – The Expansion of Pre-K
- Gormley and Phillips, “The Effects of Universal Pre-K in Oklahoma”
- Brown, “The Rush Toward Universal Public Pre-K”

November 21 – Writing Workshop
- Please bring two hard copies of the draft of your final essay to class

November 28 – Student Presentations

December 5 – Student Presentations and Course Wrap-up
- *Final Papers Due by Midnight, Sunday 12/4*
Rubric: Final Essay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Outstanding (A)</th>
<th>Competent (B)</th>
<th>Minimal (C)</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory (F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction</strong></td>
<td>Author provides a clear overview of what the essay will accomplish and the themes to be examined. The author offers a specific thesis statement.</td>
<td>Author provides an overview of what the essay will examine. However, it is unclear why the author wishes to examine this topic or what he/she hopes to learn. The author offers a general thesis statement.</td>
<td>The author provides a general overview of the essay; however, the introduction lacks logic and clarity. The thesis statement is vague.</td>
<td>The author does not provide an overview of the essay. The thesis statement is absent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation of Reform Initiative</strong></td>
<td>The author provides a clear and thorough examination of a specific educational reform. The author calls attention to where the reform plays out, who is involved, implementation issue in addition to other factors. The author clearly identifies the relevant issues or debates that surround this reform and related policies.</td>
<td>The author provides an examination of an educational reform, but offers little specific detail.</td>
<td>The author offers a vague exploration of a reform issue.</td>
<td>The author does not offer an exploration of an educational reform.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Examination and Analysis of Existing Scholarship</strong></td>
<td>The author provides a logical and specific exploration of the relevant research highlighting methodologies and the state of knowledge. Beyond summarizing articles, the author offers an analysis of this body of literature. The author makes use of at least 10 scholarly, peer-reviewed sources (original research).</td>
<td>The author provides a summary of existing scholarship, but offers little analysis. The author offers a general examination of the state of knowledge. The author references at least 10 sources.</td>
<td>The author offers a general overview of the existing scholarship but speaks in vague terms.</td>
<td>The author offers an inaccurate overview of the existing scholarship, or an overview of the existing scholarship is absent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research Agenda: Rationale and Design</strong></td>
<td>The author provides a clear rationale for a research agenda that emerges from the examination of existing scholarship. The author proposes a clear research study and highlights site selection, evidence, methodology and framing questions. The research study design is a logical</td>
<td>The author calls for a research agenda, but precisely how it stems from existing scholarship is unclear. The author offers clear and specific details of the proposed project.</td>
<td>The author offers a general call for more research, but it is unclear how it pertains to relevant scholarship. The details of the proposed research are vague.</td>
<td>The author does not offer a specific call for more research that stems from existing scholarship. The author does not propose a research design.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The author offers a clear and compelling statement of what this proposed research study would reveal that current scholars do not yet know or have yet to consider.

The author offers a general statement of how the proposed research project would engage and contribute to existing scholarship.

The author offers a vague statement of the proposed study’s contributions to existing scholarship.

The author does not discuss the ways the proposed research project would contribute to existing scholarship.

The writing is clear, error-free, and adheres to proper APA guidelines.

The writing is sloppy and/or grammatically incorrect. The author does not adhere to APA guidelines.