

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY
College of Education and Human Development
Graduate School of Education
Elementary Education Program

Fall 2010

EDUC 543: Children, Family, Culture, and Schools, Ages 4-12
Fairfax Campus

EDUC 543 001 Tues. 7:20-10:00pm: Robinson A249

Instructor: Dr. Renée Falconer

Office: Robinson A324

Phone: 703-993-9183

Email: rfalcone@gmu.edu

Office Hours: Tuesday 6:30 – 7:00 p.m. or by appointment

I. Course Description

In this course, students will study how cultures and communities create frameworks for understanding and interpreting child development. Students will examine the interrelationship of children, families, schools, communities, and cultures. The course will highlight the critical roles of families in schooling and the importance of collaboration between schools and with families to support the development of the child. The study of children's developing abilities in physical, social, emotional, and cognitive domains will be linked to curriculum planning. Field observation is required.

II. Nature of Course Delivery

Students in this course will participate in a learning environment that will model various teaching strategies appropriate for diverse learners and information to be learned. This course integrates a fieldwork observation and the use of technology.

III. Learning Outcomes

Students completing EDUC 543 will be able to:

1. Describe the stages of typical human development in the domains of cognition, language, social/emotional and physical in children ages 4-12.
2. Demonstrate an understanding of the interconnections between culture, family, community, and school, and the dynamic interaction between teachers, parents and community members necessary to promote children's growth and learning.
3. Demonstrate knowledge of contemporary theories of human development and their relevance to educational practices in elementary schools.
4. Observe the diversity of students and their families and demonstrate effective anti-bias educational approaches for use in classrooms with diverse learners.
5. Develop competency in observing and assessing development and learning in classroom settings using performance-based methods that are sensitive to students' unique cultural/ethnic backgrounds.
6. Appreciate the teacher's role in working with families in culturally diverse communities and fostering their involvement in their children's education.

IV. Standards

INTASC Standards

Principle #2: The teacher understands how children learn and develop, and can provide learning opportunities that support their intellectual, social, and personal development.

Principle #3: The teacher understands how students differ in their approaches to learning and creates instructional opportunities that are adapted to diverse learners.

Principle #8: The teacher understands and uses formal and informal assessment strategies to evaluate and ensure the continuous intellectual, social and physical development of the learner.

Principle #10: The teacher fosters relationships with school colleagues, parents, and agencies in the larger community to support students' learning and well being.

NCATE/ACEI Standards

1.0 Development, Learning, and Motivation--Candidates know, understand, and use the major concepts, principles, theories, and research related to development of children and young adolescents to construct learning opportunities that support individual students' development, acquisition of knowledge, and motivation.

3.2 Adaptation to diverse students—Candidates understand how elementary students differ in their development and approaches to learning, and create instructional opportunities that are adapted to diverse students;

5.2 Collaboration with families, colleagues, and community agencies—Candidates know the importance of establishing and maintaining a positive collaborative relationship with families, school colleagues, and agencies in the larger community to promote the intellectual, social, emotional, physical growth and well-being of children.

ISTE National Education Technology Standards

I. Teachers demonstrate a sound understanding of technology operations and concepts.

V. Teachers use technology to enhance their productivity and professional practice and lifelong learning.

| Learning Outcome | INTASC | ISTE NET |
|------------------|--------|----------|
| 1. | 2 | |
| 2. | 10 | I,V |
| 3. | 2 | |
| 4. | 3, 10 | I, V |
| 5. | 3, 8 | |
| 6. | 10 | I,V |

V. Required Texts

McDevitt, T.M. and Ormrod, J. E. (4th Edition.) *Child development and education*.
Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill/Prentice Hall.

Wood, C. (2007). *Yardsticks: Children in the Classroom Ages 4-14*

National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC): Position Statement on Developmentally Appropriate Practice (2009 ver.) <http://www.naeyc.org/positionstatements/dap>

VI. Assignments

1. **Class participation:** Students are expected to participate in class discussions and activities. Personal view points and observations are very important in enhancing everyone's learning. See attached rubric.
2. **Field Observations:** Fifteen hours of field observation is required for this course. The students will write reports about two types of field observations that they have conducted (a) an ethnographic observation and (b) anecdotal child development observations in an assigned school. They will connect what they have seen to the information presented and discussed in class. Detailed descriptions of assignments are attached.
3. **Collaborative Case Study Comparison:** Students shall work in groups to prepare a comparison of their case study children. See attached guidelines.
4. **Case Study:** Students will write a detailed case study of a child with a different cultural background from their own in the classroom in which they are observing. This case study report will be based on the field observations made during the semester. See attached guidelines. Case study assessment results will be entered into a course data-base.

Evaluation: High quality work is expected on all assignments and in class. Grades will be based on the completion of course requirements and on the scope, quality, and creativity of the assignments. All assignments will be graded according to the attached assignment guidelines. Late assignments will not be accepted without the prior consent of the instructor. Assignments may be revised for a higher grade after consulting with instructor. All field observations must be completed satisfactorily in order to pass this course.

Grading Policies:

The final grade for this course will be based on the instructor's evaluation of the teacher candidate's achievement and progress. Each will be rated according to a rubric indicating the following general rating scale or according to an attached rubric for specific assignments:

| | | |
|----------|----------|---|
| 4 | = | Work meets all basic requirements; demonstrates attention to course materials, activities, and performance criteria at an exemplary level. |
| 3 | = | Work meets all basic requirements; demonstrates attention to course materials, activities, and performance criteria at a basic level. |
| 2 | = | Work partially meets basic requirements and performance criteria.. |
| 1 | = | Work meets basic requirements and performance criteria at a minimal level. |
| 0 | = | Work does not meet basic requirements or performance criteria. |

The performance ratings for each assignment/requirement will be averaged, and the final grade will be assessed according to the following four-point grade scale:

| | | |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|
| 3.76 - 4.0 | = | A |
| 3.6 - 3.75 | = | A- |
| 3.3 - 3.59 | = | B+ |
| 2.76 - 3.29 | = | B |
| 2.5 - 2.75 | = | C+ |
| 1.6 - 2.49 | = | C |
| 1.1 - 1.5 | = | D |
| 0 - 1.0 | = | F |

Weighting of Assignments

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 15% | = | Class participation (includes Comparative presentation) |
| 25% | = | Ethnographic record, anecdotal records |
| 10% | = | Semester tests and exams |
| 50% | = | Case Study report on one child |

VII. Electronic Requirements

Students must have access to email and the internet, either at home, work or GMU campus. GMU provides students with free email accounts, which must be accessed for information sent from the university or the Graduate School of Education. Go to <http://mason.gmu.edu/> for information on accessing email.

VIII. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT STATEMENT OF EXPECTATIONS and UNIVERSITY POLICIES

The Graduate School of Education (GSE) expects that all students abide by the following:

Students are expected to exhibit professional behavior and dispositions. See gse.gmu.edu for a listing of these dispositions.

Students must follow the guidelines of the University Honor Code. See http://www.gmu.edu/catalog/apolicies/#TOC_H12 for the full honor code.

Students must agree to abide by the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing. See <http://mail.gmu.edu> and click on Responsible Use of Computing at the bottom of the screen.

Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the GMU Disability Resource Center (DRC) and inform the instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester. See www.gmu.edu/student/drc or call 703-993-2474 to access the DRC.

DETAILED ASSIGNMENT DESCRIPTIONS

1. Course participation

Contributing to class discussion and activities is vital. Each person brings a unique perspective based on personal experiences and family and community culture and this aids all students to gain knowledge and understanding about the course content. *See attached rubric.*

2. Field Observations

Each student is assigned to an elementary school classroom and is expected to conduct a series of targeted observations. You are expected to observe for a total of 15 hours for EDUC 543. You will hand in 1 ethnographic report and 6 anecdotal reports based on your field observation notes and data. *Refer to rubrics for scoring information.*

- All reports should begin with the following information as a **heading**:

Observer:

Date and Time of Observation:

Length of Observation:

School:

Grade Level:

Focus of Observation:

- Each observation should begin with an **introductory paragraph** that briefly explains the focus of the observation and refers to the relevant theorists, theories and course content.
- Each observation should conclude with a **conclusion/summary paragraph** in which you
 - 1) Interpret what you have observed by relating it to the assigned theory,
 - 2) Suggest implications for development in terms of the assigned theory, and
 - 3) Raise questions about what you have observed as it relates to the assigned theory,
 - 4) Identify diverse circumstances that may impact instruction and/or learning.

Each observation report should be double-spaced in 12-point font.
BE SURE TO PROOFREAD AND SPELL-CHECK YOUR WORK.

REQUIRED OBSERVATION REPORTS

A. Ethnographic report on classroom climate and culture (*1st observation in classroom*)

- The teacher's organization, rules and expectations.
- Demographics (diversity) in the classroom.
- Consider which students would make good candidates for the case study assignment.
- Describe if and how the teacher uses various sources of developmental information.
- Describe if and how the teacher addresses various differentiation/diversity information to plan the learning environment.

B. Anecdotal reports on observations of selected elementary student (case study child). Select a child who has a different cultural background from you.

1. Physical Development (*physical growth, eating habits, physical activity, rest and sleep, health, socio-cultural/diversity factors*)
2. Cognitive Development:
 - (a) The theories of Piaget and Vygotsky (*stage of cognitive development, key ideas in both theories, socio-cultural/diversity factors*), and
 - (b) Cognitive processes (*basic processes: attention, memory, thinking and reasoning; metacognition; socio-cultural/diversity factors*)
3. Affective Development
 - (a) Emotional Development (*Erikson's theories, attachment, emotion, temperament and personality, socio-cultural/diversity factors*)
 - (b) Social Development (*sense of self, social cognition, socio-cultural/diversity factors*).
 - (c) Moral Development (*motivation, self regulation, Kohlberg's theory, interpersonal behaviors, moral reasoning, socio-cultural/diversity factors*).

Rubrics for rating are attached

3. Case Study comparison and presentation.

The purpose of the case study comparison is to investigate and understand how children within a grade level can differ developmentally and culturally. It will also focus understanding of how each school community can differ.

The project will be conducted collaboratively in groups by grade level and across school cohorts. Each group will prepare a presentation for the class describing and illustrating how the case study children are similar and different from each other within a chronological age group/grade level. The focus will be on (a) the three developmental domains, (b) the culture of each child, (c) the implications this has for teaching, and (d) the community and culture of the schools attended by the children. Reference must be made to class content. The presentation should make use of technology (power points, videos).

4. The Case Study

The case study report is a rich portrait of the development of the child that you observed in the school context who has a different cultural background from your own. It is based on your written observations and includes descriptive information about the child's family, the community, and cultural context. The purpose is to help you learn how to observe children and collect data systematically so that you gain insight into development.

These skills will enable you to assess students and plan developmentally and culturally appropriate learning experiences for them.

Each case study should include the following:

1. A general description of the child.
2. A summary of the child's socio-cultural context (family, school, community, ethnicity) and how this might affect the child's development in the 3 domains.
3. A summary of the child's development in the physical, cognitive, and affective (social, emotional, moral) domains supported by specific examples from your observations with connections to the child development theories studied.
4. A reflection on how culture, both the child's, the teacher's, the classroom's, school's and your own, has affected your observations of and conclusions about the child.
5. A reflection on the teaching implications for the child.

Your observations and other notes constitute the data for your case study. Your knowledge of course material (child development theory and research, the influence of family, community, culture and school on development) should be woven throughout. **Support your conclusions with (a) theory and research from course content and (b) examples from your observations.**

The paper should be written in narrative form, single-sided, double-spaced, 12 point font. Use APA format.

**Assignment Rubric
Ethnographic Observation Report**

Your observation report will be evaluated according to the following criteria:

| Rating → Item ↓ | Distinguished: <i>understanding clear, convincing substantial evidence</i> 4 | Proficient: <i>understanding clear adequate evidence</i> 3 | Developing: <i>understanding vague limited evidence</i> 2 | No evidence of understanding 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Description of culture(s) in classroom including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • children • teacher • classroom environment | Vivid, packed with details that give reader picture & feeling for subject as if it had been experienced | Sufficient details to have a general picture and feeling for subject | Few details that only give a glimpse of a picture and feeling for the subject | Insufficient details to give any picture or feeling for the subject |
| Reflections on classroom culture and climate and effect on the children's learning and development | Reflections specifically make connections with information in readings <u>and</u> course content and discussions | Reflections specifically make connections with information in readings <u>or</u> course content and discussions | Mentions some information in readings and/or course content and discussions | Does not refer to readings and/or course content and discussions |
| Addresses issues of differences from mainstream American culture. | Extensive and specific discussion of socio-cultural implications for development and learning. | Complete discussion of socio-cultural implications for development and learning. | Limited discussion of socio-cultural implications for development and learning. | No discussion of socio-cultural implications for development or learning. |
| Professionally written paper | a) Focus of paper described, b) Narrative is organized and coherent, c) Conclusion creates complete picture of what was observed relating to assignment, d) Grammatical correctness and proofreading evident | 75% of original criteria evident | 50% of original criteria evident | less than 50% of original criteria evident |

Total points from rubric: _____

Assignment rating: ____/4

Assignment Rubric
Child Development Anecdotal Observation Report

Your observation report will be evaluated according to the following criteria:

| Rating → | Distinguished: <i>understanding clear, convincing substantial evidence</i> 4 | Proficient: <i>understanding clear, adequate evidence</i> 3 | Developing: <i>understanding vague, limited evidence</i> 2 | No evidence of understanding 1 |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Item ↓ | | | | |
| Description of child with reference to his/her (a) <u>development</u> in the focus domain (physical, cognitive, affective) and (b) <u>socio-cultural</u> background. | Comprehensive and in depth; vivid, packed with details that give reader picture & feeling for subject as if it had been experienced; | Comprehensive; Sufficient details to have a general picture and feeling for subject | Incomplete; omits information; only gives a glimpse of a picture and feeling for the subject; | Omits many elements; insufficient details to give any picture or feeling for the subject |
| Discussion of child's development in the focus domain (physical, cognitive, affective). | Makes detailed connections with relevant child development theory in both readings and class content and discussions | Makes general connections with relevant aspects of child development theory in readings and/or class content and discussions | Briefly refers to relevant child development theory in readings and/or class content and discussions | Does not refer to readings and/or class content and discussions |
| Addresses how child's socio-cultural context and differences can affect development and/or learning. | Extensive and specific discussion of socio-cultural/diversity implications. | Full discussion of socio-cultural/diversity implications. | Limited discussion of socio-cultural/diversity implications. | No discussion of socio-cultural/diversity implications. |
| Professionally written paper | a) Focus of paper described, b) Narrative is organized and coherent, c) Conclusion creates complete picture of what was observed relating to assignment, d) Grammatical correctness and proofreading evident | 75% of original criteria evident | 50% of original criteria evident | less than 50% of original criteria evident |

Total points from rubric: _____

Assignment rating: ____/4

GRADING/EVALUATION RUBRIC for PARTICIPATION

Remember that because this class only meets one day per week; if you miss one class you have missed a week of regular semester classes (3 hours). If you miss more than 3 hours of class, you will not have been involved in sufficient class discussion about ideas, concepts, and attitudes to meet the requirements of the course. It is also important for students to be on time and to stay for the entire class session in order to participate adequately.

Criteria #1: The student's participation in class sessions.

| A (4) | B (3) | C (2) | D (1) |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| 100% participation (except for excused absence) with no late arrivals or early departures | 100% participation (except for excused absence) with few late arrivals or early departures | Less than 100% participation but more than 80% (except for excused absence) OR with numerous late arrivals or early departures | Any class participation less than 80% |

Criteria #2: The student fully participates in readings, class discussions, group work, presentations and other learning activities.

| A (4) | B (3) | C (2) | D (1) |
|---|--|--|---|
| On-time preparation of all assignments; high level of involvement in class activities demonstrating initiative and depth of thought. Generates ideas. | Demonstrates comprehensive preparation and completion of all assignments (but not necessarily on-time); high level of involvement in class activities. | Less than comprehensive preparation evidenced, but more than minimal; basic level of participation in learning activities etc. | Minimal preparation and participation in learning activities evident. |

Criteria #3: The student exemplifies a professional attitude as a teacher candidate.

| A (4) | B (3) | C (2) | D (1) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Comments in class clearly indicate a high-level attention to readings, discussions, and class activities and depth of thought. | Comments in class clearly indicate at least marginal attention to readings, discussions, and class activities and depth of thought. | Comments in class derived from only two or three of the indicated resources, or are exclusively from personal experience and depth of thought is lacking. | Comments in class are derived from one or none of the indicated resources, or comments are not made and thoughts and ideas are shallow or non-existent. |

Basic requirements are not met: F = 0

Total rating: _____ Rating average: _____ Grade: _____

EDUC 543 PBA

| <i>Levels:</i> | Distinguished (met) | Proficient (met) | Developing (not met) | Beginning (not met) | Score |
|---|---|---|---|--|--------------|
| <i>Criteria:</i> | value: 4 | value: 3 | value: 2 | value: 1 | |
| COURSE CONTENT Standard 2 (ACEI 1.0): Knows and understands the major concepts, principles, theories and research associated with the physical, cognitive, social/emotional, and language development of children ages 4-12 Standard 2 | Content is accurate, complete, clearly stated, and appropriately referenced. Uses in-depth knowledge of the physical, social, emotional, cognitive, and linguistic development and learning characteristics of children ages 4-12 to give detailed description of child's whole development, abilities, interests, values and cultural background from many theoretical perspectives. | Content is accurate, fairly complete, and clearly stated. Uses knowledge of the physical, social, emotional, cognitive, and linguistic development and learning characteristics of children ages 4-12 to describe child's development, from several theoretical perspectives. | Content is accurate, but is incomplete. Uses nascent knowledge of the development of children ages 4-12 to give brief description of the child's development with some reference to theoretical perspectives. | Content is not accurate, is incomplete, and lacks clarity. Does not demonstrate a thorough knowledge of the physical, social, emotional, cognitive, and linguistic development and learning characteristics of children ages 4-12 and fails to give adequate description of child's development supported by examples. | |
| CASE STUDY REPORT (DEVELOPMENT) Standard 2 (ACEI 1.0): uses child development theory and observation to create a comprehensive description of the child's physical development. | An exhaustive description and discussion of the child's physical development with detailed reference to child development theory | A full description and discussion of the child's physical development with general reference to child development theory | A general description and discussion of the child's physical development with some reference to child development theory. | A brief description and discussion of the child's physical development with little or no reference to child development theory. | |
| CASE STUDY REPORT (DEVELOPMENT) Standard 2 (ACEI 1.0): uses child development theory and observation to create a comprehensive description of the child's cognitive development. | Exhaustive description and discussion include all aspects with detailed reference to child development theory. | Description and discussion include all aspects with general reference to child development theory. | Description and discussion include some aspects with brief reference to child development theory. | Limited description and discussion includes few aspects with little or no reference to child development theory. | |
| CASE STUDY REPORT (DEVELOPMENT) Standard 2 (ACEI 1.0): uses child development theory and observational | Exhaustive description and discussion include all aspects with detailed reference to child development theory. | Description and discussion include all aspects with general reference to child development theory. | Description and discussion include some aspects with brief reference to child development theory. | Limited description and discussion include few aspects with little or no reference to child development theory. | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| <p>data to create a comprehensive description of the child's affective development (social, emotional, moral)</p> | | | | | |
| <p>CASE STUDY REPORT (DEVELOPMENT) Standard 2: demonstrates understanding that children aged 4-12 differ in their development.</p> | <p>Clearly stated evidence of knowledge and understanding how and why children differ in their development and the implications this has for the teacher</p> | <p>Stated or implied evidence of knowledge and understanding how and why children differ in their development and the implications this has for the teacher</p> | <p>Inadequate evidence of understanding and knowledge how and why children differ in their development the implications this has for the teacher</p> | <p>Does not show understanding or knowledge of how and why children differ in their development.</p> | |
| <p>CASE STUDY REPORT (DEVELOPMENT) Standard 2 (ACEI 3.2): demonstrates understanding that children aged 4-12 differ in their approaches to learning.</p> | <p>Clearly stated evidence of knowledge and understanding of how a child's learning is influenced by experiences, talents, disabilities, language and culture.</p> | <p>Stated or implied evidence of knowledge and understanding of how a child's learning is influenced by experiences, talents, disabilities, language and culture.</p> | <p>Inadequate evidence of knowledge and understanding of how a child's learning is influenced by experiences, talents, disabilities, language and culture.</p> | <p>Fails to show evidence of knowledge and understanding of how a child's learning is influenced by experiences, talents, disabilities, language and culture.</p> | |
| <p>CASE STUDY REPORT (Socio-cultural) Standard 3 (ACEI 3.2/5.2): demonstrates knowledge of the child's socio-cultural background including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Community •Family •Race/ethnicity •Language •Religion •School </p> | <p>Demonstrates clear awareness and comprehensive knowledge of all key information.</p> | <p>Demonstrates awareness and knowledge of all key information.</p> | <p>Demonstrates general awareness and knowledge of key information.</p> | <p>Does not demonstrate awareness and knowledge of key information.</p> | |
| <p>CASE STUDY REPORT (Socio-cultural) Standard 3 (ACEI 3.2): demonstrates understanding of how the child's socio-cultural context can affect development</p> | <p>Extensive and specific discussion of socio-cultural implications for development with particular reference to case study</p> | <p>Full discussion of socio-cultural implications for development with general reference to case study.</p> | <p>Limited discussion of socio-cultural implications for development and little reference to case study.</p> | <p>No discussion of socio-cultural implications for development.</p> | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | |
| CASE STUDY REPORT (Socio-cultural) Standard 3 (ACEI 3.2): demonstrates understanding of how the child's socio-cultural context can affect learning | Extensive and specific discussion of socio-cultural implications for learning with particular reference to case study | Full discussion of socio-cultural implications for learning with general reference to case study. | Limited discussion of socio-cultural implications for learning and little reference to case study. | No discussion of socio-cultural implications for learning. | |
| ORGANIZATION Case study is written in narrative form, single sided, double-spaced that demonstrates: •Clarity •Good organization •APA format | Highly organized plan with effective transitions. Superior introduction and conclusion, which clearly relate to whole | Logical organization, but with inconsistent transitions. Introduction and conclusion related to whole. | Logical organization, with some transitions. Ineffective introduction and/or conclusion | Poor organization lacks unity. No transitions. No introduction and/or conclusion. | |
| WRITING MECHANICS Case study is written in narrative form, single sided, double-spaced that demonstrates: •Grammatical correctness and evidence of proofreading | Superior editing. No errors in the following areas: .spelling .grammar .punctuation .capitalization .format | Careful editing. Fewer than 3 errors in the following areas: .spelling .grammar .punctuation .capitalization .format | Some attempt at editing. Fewer than 6 errors in the following areas: .spelling .grammar .punctuation .capitalization .format | Careless editing. More than 6 errors in the following areas: .spelling .grammar .punctuation .capitalization .format | |

Schedule for Class Content and Assignments

| Date | Topic | Readings | Assignments Due |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| Week 1 Tues Aug 31 | Course overview. Who are we? Concept of culture | Yardsticks: Developmental Consideration spp. 11 – 37; Broad Guidelines pp.39 – 44. | |
| Week 2 Tues Sept 7 | Basic issues and theories in child development Principles, analysis and conduct of developmental research. | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapters 1 and Chapter 2</i> <i>NAEYC Guidelines for developmentally appropriate practice</i> | Cultural self study |
| Week 3 Tues Sept 14 | Family, culture and community Genetic foundations, prenatal development, birth: Biological beginnings. | http://www.naeyc.org/positionstatements/dap <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapters 3 and 4</i> | |
| Week 4 Tues Sept 21 | Physical development, brain, development, well-being. | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 5</i> | Ethnographic report and teacher interview |
| Week 5 Tues Sept 28 | Cognitive development theories: Piaget and Vygotsky. | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 6</i> | Anecdotal observation #1: physical development |
| Week 6 Tues Oct 5 | Cognitive processes | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 7 and 8</i> | |
| Tues Oct 12 | NO CLASS | | |
| Week 7 Tues Oct 19 | Emotional development: Erikson's theory | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 11</i> | Anecdotal observation #2 Cognitive dev. a) Piaget, Vygotsky |
| Week 8 Tues Oct 26 | Sense of self, Social cognition, and Interpersonal behaviors | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 12</i> | Anecdotal observation #2 Cognitive dev. b) Information processing |
| Week 9 Tues Nov 2 | Motivation, Self regulation | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 13</i> | |
| Week 10 Tues Nov 9 | Moral development | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod Chapter 14</i> | Anecdotal observation #3: a) Emotional development |
| Week 11 Tues Nov 16 | Issues about intelligence: | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 8</i> | Anecdotal observation #3 b) self and social development |
| Week 12 Tues Nov 23 | Language development | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 9</i> | Anecdotal observation #3: c) Motivation, self reg and moral development |
| Week 13 Tues Nov 30 | Academic domains: reading, writing, math, science, history, geography, art, music | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 10</i> | |
| Week 14 Tues Nov 29 | Peers, schools and society: Developmental aspects Case study comparison group work | <i>McDevitt & Ormrod, Chapter 15</i> Yardsticks: the relevant chapters. | |
| Week 15 | Presentation of Case study | | Presentations |

Tues Dec 7

comparison projects

Case study (final
paper)