# Advanced Learning, Motivation, and Self-Regulation

"Students can be described as self-regulated to the degree that they are metacognitively, motivationally, and behaviorally active participants in their own learning process" Zimmerman, (1989).

# George Mason University College of Education and Human Development

Dr. Anastasia Kitsantas

Spring, '11

**EDEP 822 001** 

Class Meeting: Robinson, Room 350, W 4:30-7:10PM

Office: West Building Room 2001 Office Hours: TH 1:30-4:00 PM

Other hours may be arranged by appointment.

ory and motivational processes as

**Phone:** (703) 993-2688

E-mail: akitsant@gmu.edu

<u>Course Description</u>: Examines the development of self-regulatory and motivational processes as they relate to educational practice. Emphasis is placed on how these processes influence students' self-motivation and achievement in various domains.

# **Learner Outcomes**

#### This course is designed to enable students to:

- develop a broad and in-depth understanding of the theories of motivation and self-regulation as they
  are applied to educational contexts
- interpret, organize, and utilize research findings in the area of self-regulation and motivation
- discuss and evaluate major self-regulation and motivational processes, factors that influence the working of these processes, and the implications of knowledge of these processes for educators
- discuss and evaluate the impact of instructional and parenting practices on students' self-regulation and motivation
- discuss the social factors involved in the development of student self-regulation
- discuss and evaluate theory and research on the impact of specific self-regulatory processes on students' motivation and achievement
- develop and reinforce their critical thinking, oral, and writing skills

#### RELATIONSHIP TO PROGRAM GOALS AND PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION

The program goals are consistent with the following Learner-Centered Psychological Principles outlined by the American Psychological Association Presidential Task Force in Education (APA, Division 15).

- Principle 1: The Nature of Learning Process
- Principle 2: Goals of the Learning Process
- Principle 3: Construction of Knowledge
- Principle 4: Strategic Thinking
- Principle 5: Thinking about Thinking
- Principle 6: Context of Learning
- Principle 7: Motivational and Emotional Influences on Learning
- Principle 8: Intrinsic Motivation to Learn
- Principle 9: Effects of Motivation on Effort
- Principle 11: Social Influences on Learning
- Principle 13: Learning and Diversity

For more information please see:

American Psychological Association (1997). Learner-Centered Psychological Principles:

Guidelines for the Teaching of Educational Psychology in Teacher Education Programs.

Retrieved October 14, 2002 from http://www.apa.org

<u>Course Methodology:</u> This course consists of lectures, group and electronic discussions, in class activities, and individual/group assignments.

# **Required Text**

Schunk, D.H., & Zimmerman, B.J. (2008). *Motivation and self-regulated learning: Theory, research, and applications*: Laurence Erlbaum Associates, New York, NY.

Kitsantas, A, & Dabbagh, N. (2010). *Learning how to learn with Integrative Learning Technologies (ILT)*. Information Age Publishing.

#### **Optional Texts**

Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: W. H. Freeman.

Boekaerts, M., Pintrich, P. R., & Zeidner, M. (2000). The handbook of self-regulation. Academic Press.

Ee, J., Chang, A. & Tan, O.S. (2004). Thinking about Thinking. Europe (UK). Mc Craw-Hill.

Elliot, A.J., & Dweck, C.S. (2005). The handbook of competence and motivation. Guilford Press.

Ford, M.E. (1992). Motivating humans: Goals, emotions, and personal agency beliefs. Sage Publications.

Schunk, D.H., & Zimmerman, B.J. (1998). Self-regulated learning: From teaching to self-reflective practice. Guilford Press.

Zimmerman, B. J., Bonner, S., & Kovach, R. (1996). Developing self-regulated learners: Beyond achievement to self-efficacy. American Psychological Association

# **Assigned required reading list**

Please see last page of the syllabus.

# **Course Requirements**

It is expected that each of you will:

- 1. Read all assigned materials for the course
- 2. Critique, present/discuss an article in class \*
- 3. Participate in classroom activities that reflect critical reading of materials
- 4. Complete 1 assignment\*
- 5. Write a research proposal and present in a poster session \*
- 6. Complete the Self-Change Project\*
- 7. Attend each class session

#### **Course Evaluation**

- **1. Research proposal and presentation:** Students will write a research proposal that focuses in the area of self-regulated learning and motivation in a specific content area of interest. The research proposal will be submitted as a final term paper, and it will be presented in a poster session at the end of the semester following APA presentation guidelines. Research papers must adhere to the APA Publication Manual Guidelines.
- **2. Comparison of motivation and self-regulated learning theoretical perspectives:** Students will be asked to develop a chart and compare and contrast theoretical models of motivation and self-regulated learning.
- **3. Self-Change Project**: Students must select some aspect of their behavior which they wish to improve, and then design and implement a self-change project. Using a single subject design, students will incorporate an intervention based on research on self-regulated learning to change a particular aspect of their behavior. Students will present their project orally in class.
- **4. Article critique on self-regulated learning and motivation:** Students will be asked to identify and write a critique of one empirical research article related to assigned readings. The critique

<sup>\*</sup>Late assignments will not be accepted by the instructor. If an emergency occurs please notify the instructor in advance.

should include the following parts: purpose, methods (if applicable), results (if applicable) and critical comments as well as your reflections about the article. Informally, students will discuss their article in class. Students will also discuss the theoretical framework of the article based on the assigned readings.

**4. Class participation and attendance policy:** Because of the importance of lecture and discussion to your total learning experience, I wish to encourage you to both attend and participate in class regularly. Attendance, punctuality, preparation, and active contribution to small and large group efforts are essential. These elements of your behavior will reflect the professional attitude implied in the course goals and will account for 10% of your course grade. With reference to the grading scale described later in this syllabus, you will note that this percentage is equivalent to a full letter grade. Students who must miss a class must notify the instructor (preferably in advance) and are responsible for completing all assignments and readings for the next class. Late assignments will not be accepted unless a serious emergency arises and the instructor is notified promptly.

# RUBRIC FOR PARTICIPATION AND ATTENDANCE

		LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE		
ELEMENT	Distinguished	Proficient	Basic	Unsatisfactory
	(9-10 pts.)	(8 pts.)	(7 pts.)	(6 or less pts.)
Attendance	The student	The student attends	The student is	The student is
&	attends all	all classes, is on	on time,	late for class.
Participation	classes, is on	time, is prepared and	prepared for	Absences are
	time, is prepared	follows outlined	class, and	not
	and follows	procedures in case of	participates in	documented by
	outlined	absence; the student	group and class	following the
	procedures in	makes active	discussions.	procedures
	case of absence,	contributions to the	The student	outlined in this
	the student	learning group and	attends all	section of the
	actively	class.	classes and if an	syllabus. The
	participates and		absence occurs,	student is not
	supports the		the procedure	prepared for
	members of the		outlined in this	class and does
	learning group		section of the	not actively
	and the members		syllabus is	participate in
	of the class.		followed.	discussions.

# **D. Grading Policy**

Evaluation of research article	10pts
Comparison of motivation and self-regulated learning theoretical perspectives	10pts
Self-Change Project	10 pts
Research proposal	50 pts
Presentation of research proposal (Poster Session)	10 pts
Class Participation and Attendance	10 pts
TOTAL	100 pts

#### COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

# Student Expectations

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See <a href="http://academicintegrity.gmu.edu/honorcode/">http://academicintegrity.gmu.edu/honorcode/</a>].
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See <a href="http://ods.gmu.edu/">http://ods.gmu.edu/</a>].
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See <a href="http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/1301gen.html">http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/1301gen.html</a>].
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.

- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

# Campus Resources

- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See <a href="http://caps.gmu.edu/">http://caps.gmu.edu/</a>].
- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See <a href="http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/">http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/</a>].

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See <a href="http://gse.gmu.edu/">http://gse.gmu.edu/</a>].

**EDEP 654: Tentative Course Organization and Schedule** 

DATE	TOPIC	ASSIGNED READING	WORK DUE
Jan 26	Review syllabus Introduction and Overview		
Feb 2	Research Methods: An Overview  Historic Origins of Research on Self-Regulated Learning and Motivation I	Z (1989b)- (Chapter 1) F (1992)- (Chapter 6) S & Z (2008) Chapter 1 (Book)	
Feb 9	Historic Origins of Research on Self-Regulated Learning and Motivation II	Z (1989a) S & Z (2008) Chapter 2 (Book)	
Feb 16	Self-regulation and motivation A social cognitive perspective	Z (2000) B (1997) C & K (2008)	HW Assignment: Compare and contrast different theoretical perspectives of self-regulation and motivation
Feb 23	Dimensions and processes of academic self-regulation and motivation	Z & K (2005) K & D (2010) Chapter 3 (Book)	Topic of Interest Summary statement/ 10 empirical studies (APA style) Article Critique (TBA)
Mar 2	Development of self-regulation and motivation	F (1992) Chapter 7 S & Z (2008) Chapters 6 & 8 (Book)	Article Critique (TBA)
Mar 9	Self-regulation/motivation: Goals	Z & K (1999) P (2000) S & Z (2008) Chapter 3 & 11 (Book)	Introduction of the proposal/outline of the methods section. (draft)  Article Critique (TBA)

Mar 16	No Class Spring Break		
Mar 23	Self-Regulation/Motivation: Beliefs	P (1994) Z & K (2007) S & Z (2008) Chapters 4 & 5 (Book)	Methods of research proposal (draft)  Article Critique (TBA)
Mar 30	Self-Regulation/Motivation: Strategies and Metacognition	E (2007) S (1998) K (2002) K & D (2010) Chapter 5 (Book)	Article Critique (TBA)
April 6	Self-Regulation/Motivation: Attributions	S (1994) S & Z (2008) Chapter 10 (Book) K & D (2010) Chapter 9 (Book)	Self-Change Project & Presentations
April 13	AERA-No class	K & D (2010) Chapters 14 & 15 (Book)	
April 20	Self-Regulation/Motivation: Self-Monitoring and Self- Evaluation	K & Z (2006) K & D (2010) Chapter 6 (Book)	First draft of the research proposal for instructor's feedback  Self-Change Project Presentations Article Critique (TBA)
April 27	Self-Regulation/Motivation: Help Seeking	R (1998) S & Z (2008) Chapter 13 (Book) K & D (2010) Chapter 8 (Book)	Article Critique (TBA)
May 4	Future Directions in Self- Regulation and Motivation Research Conclusions	K & D (2010) Chapters 1 & 10 (Book)	POSTER SESSION
May 11			Research proposal due

# Reading List

- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: W. H. Freeman.
- Crede, M., & Kuncel, N. R. (2008). Study habits, skills, and attitudes: The third pillar supporting collegiate academic performance. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *3*(6), 425-453.
- Ericsson, K. A., & Ward, P. (2007). Capturing the naturally occurring superior performance of experts in the laboratory: Toward a science of expert and exceptional performance. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *16*, 346-350.
- Ford, M.E. (1992). *Motivating humans: Goals, emotions, and personal agency beliefs*. Sage Publications (Chapters 6 & 7).
- Kitsantas, A. (2002). Test preparation and test performance: A self-regulatory analysis. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 70 (2) 101-113.
- Kitsantas, A, & Dabbagh, N. (2010). *Learning how to learn with Integrative Learning Technologies (ILT)*. (chapters, 1, 3, 6, 9, 10, 14, & 15) Information Age Publishing.
- Kitsantas, A., Zimmerman, B.J. (2006). Enhancing Self-Regulation of Practice: The Influence of Graphing and Self-Evaluative Standards. *Metacognition and Learning*, *3*(1), 201-212.
- Pajares, F., & Miller, M. D. (1994). Role of self-efficacy and self-concept beliefs in mathematical problem solving: A path analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 86, 193-203.
- Pintrich, P.R., (2000). An achievement goal theory perspective on issues in motivation terminology, theory, and research. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25, 92-104.
- Ryan, A. M., Gheen, M. H. & Midgley, C. (1998). Why do some students avoid asking for help? An examination of the interplay among students' academic efficacy, teachers' social-emotional role, and the classroom goal structure. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 528-535.
- Schunk, D. H. (1994). Self-regulation of self-efficacy and attributions in academic settings. In D. H. Schunk & B. J. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Self-regulation of learning and performance: Issues and educational applications* (chapter 4). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Elbaum.
- Schunk, D. H. & Zimmerman, B. J (Eds.), *Self-regulation of learning and performance: Issues and educational applications* (chapters, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 10, 11, & 13). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Schraw, G. (1998). Promoting general metacognitive awareness. Instructional Science, 26, 113-125.
- Zimmerman, B.J. (1989a). A social cognitive view of self-regulated academic learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81, 329-339.
- Zimmerman, B.J. (1989b). Models of self-regulated learning and academic achievement. In B.J. Zimmerman & D. H. Schunk Eds.), *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: Theory, research, and practice*. New York: Springer
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective. In M. Boekaerts, P. Pintrich, & M. Seidner (Eds.), *Self-regulation: Theory, research, and applications* (pp. 13-39). Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Zimmerman, B.J., & Kitsantas, A. (2005). The Hidden dimension of personal competence: Self-Regulated Learning and Practice. In A. J. Elliot and C. S. Dweck (Eds.), Handbook of Competence and Motivation. New York: Guilford Press.
- Zimmerman, B.J., & Kitsantas, A. (1999). Developing writing revision skill: Shifting from process to outcome self-regulatory goals. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91, 1-10.
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Kitsantas, A. (2007). Reliability and validity of Self-efficacy for Learning Form (SELF) scores of college students. *Journal of Psychology*, 215(3), 157-163.

# Rubric

# Research Proposal Rubric

Students will write a research proposal that focuses on one self-regulatory process (e.g., self-monitoring, goal-setting, etc.), in a specific content area of interest. The research proposal will be submitted as a final term paper, and it will be presented in a poster session at the end of the semester. The paper should include 1) an introduction/literature review, summarizing the theory and key constructs, and identifying research questions/hypotheses 2) method section (i.e., participants, measures, procedures, if needed a description of intervention and design), and 3) discussion of data analysis approach, expected results, limitations, and educational implications. Papers must be handed in on time and must adhere to the APA Publication Manual Guidelines.

Criteria	Outstanding (4)	Competent (3)	Minimal (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)
Content				
<ul> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Describe the purpose, theoretical basis, and significance of the study</li> <li>Review relevant studies</li> <li>Identify gaps in the literature</li> <li>Establish how the proposed study addresses gaps</li> </ul>	Excellent introduction that addressed all 4 criteria. The theoretical basis and significance of the study has been established and grounded in previous research.	Adequate introduction that addressed all 4 criteria with some weaknesses. The theoretical basis and significance of the study has been established and grounded in previous research.	Significant weaknesses in all criteria or 1 or 2 criteria were not addressed.	3 to 4 criteria were not addressed. The introduction is unacceptable.
Research Questions and/or Hypotheses  • State clearly  • Establish significance  • Be able to test/research  • Ground in existing theory and research	Excellent research question(s)/hypothesis(es) that were clearly stated, significant, testable/researchable, and grounded in existing theory and research.	Adequate research question(s)/hypothesis(es) that were clearly stated, significant, testable/researchable, and grounded in existing theory and research with some weaknesses.	Significant weaknesses in research question(s)/ hypothesis(es) (i.e., they were not clearly stated, significant, testable/researchable, and/or grounded in existing theory and research).	The research question(s)/ hypothesis(es) were not provided.
Methods      Describe     Participants     Measures/Operational definitions of variables     Procedures     Components appropriate for selected	Excellent description of the methodology including participants, measures/ operational definitions of variables, and procedures. Additional components relevant to selected methodological approach (quantitative/	Adequate description of the methodology including participants, measures/ operational definitions of variables, procedures, and additional components relevant to selected methodological approach (quantitative/	Significant weaknesses in description of the methodology including participants, measures/ operational definitions of variables, procedures, and additional components relevant to selected methodological approach	A coherent and appropriate method section was not provided.

methodological approach (quantitative/ qualitative)	qualitative) were fully addressed. These components may include design, intervention, reliability and validity of data collection methods.	qualitative) but with some weaknesses.	(quantitative/ qualitative).	
Data Analysis and Expected	Excellent description of	Adequate description of	Significant weaknesses in the	Appropriate data analysis
Results	appropriate statistical	appropriate statistical	description of statistical	techniques and or description of
• Describe data analysis plan	techniques (descriptive,	techniques (descriptive,	techniques (descriptive,	expected results were not
<ul> <li>Discuss expected results</li> </ul>	inferential statistics for	inferential statistics for	inferential statistics for	provided.
	quantitative research) and/or	quantitative research) and/or	quantitative research) and/or	
	coding procedures (qualitative	coding procedures (qualitative	coding procedures (qualitative	
Limitations and Educational	research) and expected results.  Excellent discussion of	research) and expected results.	research) and expected results.	Discussion of limitations and
Implications and Educational	appropriate limitations and	Adequate discussion of appropriate limitations and	Significant weaknesses in the discussion of limitations and	educational implications was
<ul><li>Identify limitations</li></ul>	educational implications of	educational implications. Some	educational implications. Few	not provided.
Discuss implications of	proposed research.	critical limitations or	were identified and/or were	not provided.
proposed work	proposed recenters	implications were not addressed.	inappropriate.	
Additional Elements				
Use of Peer-Reviewed	Contains references to 10 or	Contains references to at least	Contains references to 10	Does not include at least 10 peer
Research	more relevant empirical studies	10, the majority of which are relevant	studies but most are irrelevant	reviewed studies.
Discussion of the Literature	Clearly spoken, topic-specific	Most topic-specific jargon are	Overuse of jargon AND quotes	Fragmented and unclear
	jargon are defined, does not rely	defined OR inclusion of some	that are lengthy or inappropriate	discussion; over reliance on
	on quotes from papers; includes	lengthy or inappropriate quotes		quotes interrupts the flow of the
	quotes strategically where			content and leaves little room
Abstract	appropriate  Clearly and sequentially	Gives a general overview of	Does not provide a clear	for student's synthesis Not provided
Austract	conveys the content of paper	paper topic, but no sequential	representation of paper contents	Not provided
	conveys the content of paper	elaboration of contents	representation of paper contents	
Writing Style	Paper is coherent, concise and	Paper is coherent, concise and	Paper conveys the main points	Paper is incoherent and/or
	well structured with a clear	well structured with a clear	of the topic but additional polish	overly wordy with little
	purpose.	purpose and few errors.	is needed	structure or purpose; difficult to
				appreciate the content

Technical Merit (spelling,	Error free	A few minor errors	Several errors or incoherent	Numerous errors
grammar, typographical			sentences	
errors)				
Citation of sources	Appropriate citation of sources	A few missing citations	Several missing citations	Lack of citations
Paper guidelines and APA	APA guidelines were followed	APA guidelines were followed	APA guidelines were used but	APA style was not used.
style (e.g., references, levels of		with a few instances of incorrect	there are several instances of	
heading, margins)		formatting and style	incorrect formatting and style.	