

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY
Graduate School of Education

Course Title: *Teacher Development and Education Policy*
EDUC 876 Sec: 001
2011 - Summer B

Instructor: Penelope Earley
Class Date & Time: Tuesday & Thursday 4:30 – 7:10
Class Location: Innovation Hall, Room 316
Contact Information: pearley@gmu.edu
703.993.3361
2101 West Hall

CATALOG DESCRIPTION

Tchr Dev. And Ed Policy. *Prerequisite: Admission to the Ph.D. program and EDUC 870.* Focuses on the impact of policy actions at the local, state, and national levels on teacher preparation and continuing professional development

STUDENT OUTCOMES

At the conclusion of this course, students should be able to:

1. Demonstrate a detailed and sophisticated understanding of major policy issues in teacher education.
2. Analyze and describe the legal and political forces that influence decision making on these issues.
3. Understand and explain the intersections of various policy issues in teacher education at the Pre-K-12 and higher education levels.
4. Understand and explain how and why different levels of education may approach these policy issues in a different manner.

RELATIONSHIP TO PROGRAM GOALS AND PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

There are no specialized standards specific to education policy studies. The conceptual framework for this course is linked to the mission of the Center for Education Policy as outlined in its Charter: (1) Translate education research into policy options and recommendations for a variety of audiences (decision makers, practitioners, and the public); (2) Conduct timely, sound, evidence-based analysis; and (3) Develop interdisciplinary and cross-sector policy networks. The student outcomes (in particular 3, 4, and 5) are linked to this mission as are the analytic assignments.

NATURE OF COURSE DELIVERY

This course is taught using lectures and discussions supplemented with outside speakers and a class trip into Washington, DC.

REQUIRED READINGS (note, all assignments from the *Journal of Teacher Education* are available online through the GMU library)

Darling-Hammond, L. (2002, September 6). Research and rhetoric on teacher certification: A response to "Teacher Certification Reconsidered," *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 10(36).

Available online at: <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v10n36.html>

Earley, P., Imig, D., & Michelli, N. (Eds.) (2011). *Teacher education policy in the states: Issues and tensions in an era of evolving expectations*. New York: Routledge.

Goldhaber, D. & Hannaway, J. (2009). *Creating a new teaching profession*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute Press.

Sykes, G. & Dibner, K. (2009, March). *Fifty years of teacher policy: An Appraisal*. Paper commissioned by the Center on Education Policy, Washington, DC. Available online. Go to <http://www.cep-dc.org/> using the search engine for the site, type in Sykes & Dibner and that will take you to a pdf of this paper.

Walsh, K. (2002). The evidence for teacher certification. *Education Next*, 2(1) 79-84. Available online at: <http://educationnext.org/positive-spin/>

Zimpler, N. & Howey, K. (May/June 2005). The politics of partnerships for teacher education redesign and school renewal. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 56(3) 266-271.

Web Resources

www.holmespartnership.org

www.ncate.org

www.teac.org

Suggested Readings

Cochran-Smith, M. (May/June 2005). The politics of teacher education and the curse of complexity. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 56(3) 181-185.

Earley, P. (May/June 2005). Meanings, silos, and high stakes advocacy. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 56(3) 214-220.

Labaree, D. (May/June 2005). Life on the margins. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 56(3) 186-191.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

- Select two articles from the *Journal of Teacher Education* (excluding any required readings) summarize the article (one page, maximum) and analyze the policy implications of the article (three to four pages). (25 points each article = 50 points total).
- Licensure requirement assignment (15 points). Students will identify and analyze teacher licensure requirements from any state other than Virginia.

Decide on Day/Date for DC trip; identify two or three afternoons when class will not meet on campus; decide due dates for JTE analyses.

Assignment for Class 2: Read Sykes & Dilbert paper; and Earley, Imig, & Michelli, Cpt. 1

2. History and Evolution of Teacher Education Policy
Discussion of Sykes & Dibner Paper & Policy Recommendations
Assignment for Class 3: Read Goldhaber Chapters 1-3.
3. Teacher Education Policy Challenges
Are the challenges as simple or complex as the authors you read suggest?
What, if any, are areas of agreement among the authors? Why?
What interest groups are or should be concerned about teacher education Policy?
How do they influence policy?
Assignment: Read Goldhaber Chapters 6,8,9, and 10.
4. Teacher Personnel Issues: Recruiting and Keeping teachers
Assignment: Read: Earley, Imig, & Michelli Cpts. 2-9
5. Teacher Preparation Policy
6. Critiquing Teacher Education Literature
Assignment: Review NCATE and TEAC Web Sites.
7. Teacher Education Accreditation
What is the purpose of accreditation?
Are the things accreditation measures indicators of program quality?
Possible Guest Speaker
Selection of Teacher Licensure States
Assignment: Students will select a state other than Virginia and find and summarize the requirements for a teacher license (to be presented at the next class). Also read Darling-Hammond (2002); and Walsh (2002).
8. Role of the States: Program Approval and Teacher Credentials
Presentation of state licensure/certification requirements
What is state program approval and how is it related to teacher licenses and accreditation?
Which author makes the most compelling argument/s regarding the importance of a teaching credential? What evidence is presented?
Assignment: Read Zimpher and Howey (2005); go to the website for the Holmes Partnership –www.holmespartnership.org—and see what that group is about.
9. 07/15 Role of Localities and Partnerships
Role of Localities
How do local policies and initiatives connect with college & university-based teacher education?
Assignment: Read Goldhaber Chapters 13-16
10. 07/20 Policy Conundrums

What are the problems? Does anyone have the answers
Policy Memorandum Due

11. All Day Trip to DC to meet with policy makers (Date TBD the first night of class)

12. Field Trip Debriefing (will occur the Tuesday or Thursday after the trip to DC)

- Grading Guidelines: Teacher Development and Education Policy

Grade/Points	Quality of Written Work	Completeness of Work	Timeliness	Team Assignments
A 96 – 100 A- 92 – 95	Exceptional quality and insight; a rare & valuable contribution to the field. Convincingly on target; demonstrates evidence of understanding and application; clear and concise writing; the reader is not distracted by grammar and/or spelling and citation errors.	100% complete Accurate & seamless writing; virtually a complete product	100% on time Almost always on time; rare but forgivable tardiness (such as serious personal or family illness). Instructor is notified in advance that a paper may be late.	Outstanding; facilitates and promotes conversation focused on the topic; questions & comments reveal thoughtful reaction. Good team participant Well above average doctoral student; actively helps move group toward goal.
B+ 89 –91 B 85 – 88 B- 80 – 84	Competent; provides credible evidence of understanding and application; some lapses in organization, citations and/or writing clarity. Evidence of understanding presented but incomplete; writing indicates gaps in logic; grammar and/or spelling errors distract the reader. Weak or insufficient citations. Barely passable for graduate credit; only enough to get by; little evidence of understanding; assignments lack clarity and organization; little evidence of proof reading. Citations absent or inaccurate.	Moderate shortcomings; minor elements missing that distract the instructor's ability to see the product as a whole. Evidence of effort but one or more significant and important points are missed or not addressed. Barely sufficient; work is the least that could be done to justify graduate credit.	Assignments late more than once or without prior conversation with instructor; not necessarily chronic. More than half the assignments are late, but none are excessively late. Excessively or repeatedly late.	Reliable and steady worker; questions and comments reveal some thought and reflection. Doesn't contribute often, but generally reveals some thought and reflection. Follows rather than leads group activities. Few meaningful contributions to class discussions. Little evidence of participation.
C 79 and below	Undergraduate level and quality;	Insufficient evidence of	Excessively or repeatedly late.	Weak or minimal participation; passive;

	unsophisticated; assignments show little or not connection to course content or concepts.	understanding and application; important elements missing or difficult to find.		often sidetracks group.
F	Unacceptable	Difficult to recognize as the assigned task.	Missed or not submitted. Incompletes not made up.	No constructive participation; destructive; demeaning toward other points of view.

Important Information for all students

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT STATEMENT OF EXPECTATIONS:

Student Expectations

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See <http://academicintegrity.gmu.edu/honorcode/>].
Please note that:
 - “Plagiarism encompasses the following:
 1. Presenting as one's own the words, the work, or the opinions of someone else without proper acknowledgment.
 2. Borrowing the sequence of ideas, the arrangement of material, or the pattern of thought of someone else without proper acknowledgment.”
(from Mason Honor Code online at <http://mason.gmu.edu/~montecin/plagiarism.htm>)
 - Paraphrasing involves taking someone else’s ideas and putting them in your own words. When you paraphrase, you need to cite the source.
 - When material is copied word for word from a source, it is a direct quotation. You must use quotation marks (or block indent the text) and cite the source.
 - Electronic tools (e.g., SafeAssign) may be used to detect plagiarism if necessary.
 - Plagiarism and other forms of academic misconduct are treated seriously and may result in disciplinary actions.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See <http://ods.gmu.edu/>].
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/1301gen.html>].
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

- Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Campus Resources

- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See <http://caps.gmu.edu/>].
- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See <http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/>].
- For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See <http://gse.gmu.edu/>].