GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION FOREIGN LANGUAGE / LATIN PK-12

EDCI 560 METHODS OF TEACHING FOREIGN/WORLD LANGUAGES Fall 2011

Wednesday 7:20 – 10:00 PM Innovation Hall Room 134

PROFESSOR:

Name: Melissa S. Ferro Office phone: N/A Office location: N/A

Office hours: By Appointment

Email address: mferro@masonlive.gmu.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

1. Co-requisite: EDCI 516

2. Course Description from GMU Catalog: Covers approaches, theories, and methods of teaching foreign and second languages, with practical application to classroom. Students demonstrate teaching strategies, develop lesson and unit-planning skills, and evaluate curricula and materials.

Notes: Requires field experience in schools.

NATURE OF COURSE DELIVERY:

This course is highly interactive by design. Students examine past and current approaches, strategies, and techniques for teaching foreign/second languages. Students demonstrate teaching strategies; develop lesson and unit planning skills, demonstrate knowledge of the application of basic concepts of phonology, syntax, and morphology, and evaluate foreign/second language resources available in the field. The course includes a field experience component and meets Virginia licensure requirements for foreign language teachers.

The course delivery is predicated upon *learning by doing* and *discovery learning*. Students will engage in cooperative learning, small group discussions, whole class discussions, peer feedback, short lectures, and student-led micro teaching simulations. Instruction will include guest-speakers, videos, and other multimedia resources. Assessments are performance-based. Students are encouraged to complete the performance-based assessments such that they are relevant to their own teaching circumstance. Rubrics are provided for the field experience report, the TAR project, the midterm project, the unit/lesson plan, and the preparation and participation grade.

LEARNER OUTCOMES:

This course is designed to enable students to:

- Demonstrate ability to teach a foreign/second language using a synthesis of both older and more recent innovative methods
- Use instructional strategies which accommodate methods of teaching foreign/second languages
- Demonstrate ability to organize a detailed lesson plan for foreign/second language teaching, including all three communicative modes (interpersonal, interpretive, and presentational) while focusing on the end goal of oral proficiency and performance.
- Plan and demonstrate 2 mini lessons that are standards-based and are delivered in the target language

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS:

Relationship to GSE Program Goals, National Standards, and Professional Organizations: ACTFL/NCATE

| Domain | Language, | Cultures, | Language | Integration | Assessment | Professionalism |
|----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | Linguistics, | Literatures, | Acquisition | of Standards | of | |
| | Comparison | Cross- | Theories, | into | Languages | |
| | _ | Disciplinary | Instructional | Curriculum | and Cultures | |
| | | Concepts | Practices | & | | |
| | | | | Instruction | | |
| Standard | | | 3.a. | 4.a. | | |
| Number | | | 3.b. | 4.b. | | |
| | | | | | | |

The EDCI 560 relationship to the GSE program goals include:

- 1. *Knowledge base for teaching in the second language classroom*. Learn the fundamental concepts of the knowledge base pertaining to second language acquisition/learning, and the teaching of other second and foreign languages; understand the application of basic concepts of phonology, syntax, and morphology to the teaching of foreign languages; psycholinguistics, sociolinguistics, pragmatics, and language pedagogy.
- 2. *Utilization of research*. EDCI 560 students should be able to understand and critically evaluate second language learning theories and engage in systematic investigation of the knowledge base to inform their own or others teaching practices.
- 3. *Classroom teaching*. EDCI 560 students should be able to plan and manage a second language class effectively and be able to evaluate and selectively apply a range of teaching strategies as appropriate to their students' needs and characteristics. For this purpose, they will need to be able to assess different teaching situations and changing

conditions in culturally and linguistically diverse classrooms, so as to select appropriate teaching procedures and adapt to teaching students with differing learning styles and cultural backgrounds.

4. *Curriculum*. Students will develop the skills needed to design, implement foreign/second language teaching strategies.

The EDCI 560 Relationship to National and State Standards include:

- 1. The ACTFL Standards for Pre-K-12 Students
- 2. Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC) Standards
- 3. National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS)
- 4. Virginia Standards of Learning (SOLs)

The EDCI 560 relationship to professional organizations include:

EDCI 560 follows the guidelines and recommendations made by the American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL), the National Association for Bilingual Education (NABE), and the National Association for Multicultural Education (NAME). ACTFL along with NABE and NAME, are the largest professional organizations that specialize in research on working with culturally and linguistically diverse populations.

REQUIRED TEXTS:

Must be purchased and brought to class by 2nd class meeting.

- 1. Hall Haley, M. & Austin, T. Y. (2004). *Content-based second language teaching and learning*. Boston: Pearson.
- 2. Kapalka, G. (2009). Eight steps to classroom management success: A guide for teachers of challenging students. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Required Resources: Provided by CRIN-FLL Program on loan

The following resources will be loaned to graduate students in EDCI 560 as needed throughout the semester. <u>Do not use highlighters or make any other marks</u> in resources that are loaned to you from the CRIN-FLL program.

- 1. National Standards in Foreign Language Education Project (NSFLEP). (2006). *Standards for foreign language learning in the 21st century*. Lawrence, KS: Allen Press.
- 2. Blaz, D. (2001). A collection of performance tasks and rubrics: Foreign languages. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.
- 3. Blaz, D. (2006). *Differentiated instruction: A guide for foreign language teachers*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.
- 4. Patrick, P. (2007). The keys to the classroom: A basic manual to help new language teachers find their way. Alexandria, VA: ACTFL
- 5. ACTFL Performance Guidelines for K-12 Learners

Required Online Access: must have access by 2nd class meeting

1. **GMU Email** http://www.gmu.edu/resources/students/

2. BlackBoard: http://mymason.gmu.edu

Recommended Texts and Online Resources:

- 1. Sandrock, P. (2010). *The keys to assessing language performance: A teacher's manual for measuring student progress.* Alexandria, VA: The American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages.
- 2. Erben, T. & Sarieva, I. (Eds.). (2008). *Calling all foreign language teachers: Computer-assisted language learning in the classroom.* Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.
- 3. Merlot website: http://www.merlot.org/merlot/index.htm
- 4. Startalk Teacher Development website: http://startalk.umd.edu/teacher-development
- 5. NOVA StarTalk: Technology-Enhanced Language Instruction website: http://novastartalk.nvcc.edu/
- 6. Teaching Foreign Languages (TFL) Library www.learner.org
- 7. Wiki for Differentiating Instruction: http://daretodifferentiate.wikispaces.com/

COURSE REQUIREMENTS, PERFORMANCE-BASED ASSESSMENTS, AND EVALUATION CRITERIA:

1. Requirements: Students in EDCI 560 are expected to:

- Attend all class meetings, arriving on time and with all relevant course materials. Notify your instructor by email if you cannot attend a class.
- Complete all weekly reading and written assignments according to the syllabus and prepare to discuss these assignments in each class.
- Organize course materials electronically or in paper format by purchasing a large 3" 3-ring binder.
- Visit BlackBoard at least once a week to access course documents and materials in preparation for each class meeting.
- Prepare typed and printed weekly assignments. Your instructor may collect weekly assignments as part of your preparation and participation grade.
- Hand in all graded projects at the beginning of class on the due date. Late assignments will not be accepted unless prior arrangements are made. Late assignments are subject to a penalty of one full letter grade.

Other Requests:

- 1. Please place cell phones on vibrate mode or turn them off completely
- 2. Please refrain from eating in class except during the 10-minute break

2. Performance-Based Assessments

| Ι. | 10 hours of Field Experience & Paper (<i>Pre-Service</i>) | 10 points |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| | -or- Teacher Action Research Project (<i>In-Service</i>) | |
| 2. | Teaching Simulations | 25 points |
| 3. | Midterm Project | 20 points |
| 4. | Final Project | 30 points |
| 5. | Class Attendance, Preparation, and Participation | 15 points |

- **3. Criteria for evaluation:** Using the designated rating scales and rubrics, performance-based assessments will be evaluated and summed to calculate a final course grade.
- **4. Grading scale:** The University-wide system for grading graduate courses is as follows:

| Grade | Standards | Grading |
|-------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| A+ | Substantially Exceeds Standard | 98 - 100 |
| A | Meets Standard | 93 – 97.9 |
| A- | Meets Standard | 90 – 92.9 |
| B+ | Approaches Standard | 88 – 89.9 |
| В | Approaches Standard | 83 – 87.9 |
| B- | Approaches Standard | 80 – 82.9 |
| С | Attempts Standard | 70 – 79.9 |
| F | Does not Meet Standard | Below 70% |

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to the following five values: collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/

Student Expectations

- 1. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See http://academicintegrity.gmu.edu/honorcode/]
- 2. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See http://ods.gmu.edu/].
- 3. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/1301gen.html].
- 4. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- 5. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- 6. Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Campus Resources

- 7. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See http://caps.gmu.edu/].
- 8. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].
- 9. For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See http://gse.gmu.edu/].

EDCI 560 FALL 2011 SCHEDULE: At-A-Glance

| Week/Date | Topic(s) | Type-Written Assignment for Next Class |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| # 1 Aug 31 | Intro to course /FL/WL | 1.) Answer all questions in MHH&TYA on pp. 2 |
| | Education in U.S. | and 9. 2.) Write information on local, regional, |
| | Schools / FL/WL Organizations | and national FL/WL organizations |
| # 2 Sep 2 | Second Language | 1.) Create "To Do List" Brochure 2.) Organize |
| # 2 OCP 2 | Acquisition | course content and bring electronic or paper |
| | 7 toquiottori | version to every class. |
| #3 Sep 14 | Planning for a | 1) Write 5 performance-based objectives and |
| · | Standards-based | state how SFLL apply to each one 2.) Answer |
| | Classroom | questions 1-3 p. 34 and 1-2 top of p. 354 in |
| | | MHH&TYA. |
| #4 Sep 21 | Methods and | 1.) Answer "Chapter Review" questions in |
| | Approaches | MHH&TYA 1-5 and #4 in "Reflecting on What |
| | | You've Read p. 357. 2.) Print and complete |
| | | worksheet (on BB) for WGBH video "Person to |
| | | Person" 3.) Bring copy of state standards for |
| | | FL/WL. |
| #5 Sep 28 | Standards-based | 1.) Write 1 activity for each of the 3 |
| | Teaching and Modes of | communicative modes. Write performance- |
| | Communication | based objectives for each activity and the SFLL |
| | | that apply 2.) Write summary of Prensky article |
| | | (on BB) and explain role of technology in your |
| #6 Oct 5 | Toohnology and Madas | classroom. |
| #6 Oct 5 | Technology and Modes of Communication | Create a 1-day standards-based lesson plan (must use template in syllabus) |
| #7 Oct 12 | Technology | Finish Mid-term Project |
| #8 Oct 19 | Mid-Term Projects | Prepare Kapalka group present/demo and |
| | Due | handouteach group responsible for 1 step on |
| | | classroom mgmt. –Bring handouts |
| #9 Oct 26 | Classroom | Complete field experience report /or/ TAR |
| | Management | project |
| #10 Nov 2 | Field Experience / | 1.) Write 2 activity examples based on 2 ideas |
| | TAR Reports Due | from Blaz Ch. 5 3.) Take the MI Inventory on BB |
| | | and print your responses (and chart) |
| #11 Nov 9 | Diverse Learners | 1.) Answer "Chapter Review" questions 1, 2, 3, |
| | | 5 in MHH&TYA pp. 359-360. |
| #12 Nov 16 | Diverse Learners | 1.) Prepare summary of WGBH video of your |
| NO CLASS NOV 23 | | choice and include how teacher assesses |
| | | learning 2.) Write 5 elements of a "good |
| #40 No. 20 | Accessors and Described | rubric" |
| #13 Nov 30 | Assessment Practices | Bring FL/WL textbook to class |
| #14 Dec 7 | Assessment | Work on Unit Lesson Plan |
| #15 Dec 14 | Final Projects Due | |

WEEKLY SCHEDULE FALL 2011

8/31/11: Week # 1 – FL/WL Education in U.S. Schools: Program Models & Professional Organizations (INTASC Standards: 9.2, 9.3-Reflective Practice and Professional Development). FL/WL Program Models: FLES, FLEX, Immersion, HLL Courses, AP, IB: PYP, MYP, Diploma. Professional organizations, journals, and conferences. Think-Pair-Share: Personal language learning experiences. Field Experience Requirement. Course materials on loan.

ASSIGNMENT For Next Class: (1) Read pp-11-26 in SFLL (On BlackBoard). Be prepared to discuss changes in FL/WL education since your K-12 experiences in the U.S. <u>-or-</u> how FL/WL education in the U.S. differs from that in your home country. (2) Read Chap. 1 (pp 1-8) MHH & TYA. Prepare type-written responses to questions on pp 2 and 9. (3) Read Shrum & Glisan pp 11-27 (on BB) (4) Use Web Links on BB to access websites for: ACTFL, NECTFL, FLAVA, and GWATFL. Prepare type-written information on membership fees, publications, 2011 conferences (date, cost, location). (5) Register for field experience online at: http://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf

9/07/11: Week # 2 -- Second Language Acquisition and Learner Diversity (INTASC Standards: 1.1—Content Pedagogy; 2.1, 2.4, 2.5—Student Development; 3.1, 3.4, 3.5—Diverse Learners). Language Pedagogy and SLA Theories: Affective Filter Hypothesis, i+1, ZPD, comprehensible input, interlanguage, feedback and error correction. MI Theory: Accommodating cognitive, linguistic, cultural diversity of millennial learners.

ASSIGNMENT For Next Class: (1) Read Chap. 1 (pp. 7-13) in Keys to the Classroom (on loan) and browse templates pp.14-27. (2) Create and print your own "To-Do List" Brochure to help new FL/WL teachers prepare for the school year. (3) View WGBH Video #2 "Standards and the 5Cs at: http://learner.org/resources/series185.html. And Read SFLL pp. 27-38 (On BB). Be prepared to discuss (a) the organizing principles of the national standards (b) Name and describe the 5Cs and the 3 Communicative Modes. (4) Organize EDCI 560 course content—use a large 3 ring binder (with section dividers) or do so electronically. (5) REMINDER: Register for field experience online at: http://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf

9/14/11: Week # 3 – Planning for a Standards-based Classroom (INTASC 7.1, 7.2, 7.4—Planning; 10.3—School and Community Involvement). Guest Presenter: Master Teacher on Preparing the Classroom. Patrick Book: Keys to the Classroom--To-Do Lists. Applying the 5Cs to lesson planning—Annenberg Video and SFLL Manual. The Lesson Plan Template: Writing Performance-based Objectives.

ASSIGNMENT For Next Class: (1) Read p. 84 Shrum & Glisan (on BB). **(2)** Write (type-written) 5 performance-based objectives *and* state <u>how</u> the SFLL apply to each one. **(3)** Read Chap 2 in MHH & TYA (pp 31-65). Prepare type-written responses to questions 1-3 pp. 34 and questions 1-2 top of p. 354. **(4)** Review Appendix 2.1 Shrum & Glisan (on BB). **(5)** Read syllabus information on Teacher Action Research / Field Experience Report / Informal Teaching Demos

9/21/11: Week # 4 – Methods and Approaches (INTASC Standards: 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 Multiple Instructional Strategies). Identifying and Selecting Methods and Approaches to Accommodate Diverse Learners. Backward Design: Review Writing Performance-Based Objectives. Three Communicative Modes: WGBH Video—"Meaningful Interpretation." Identifying authentic materials/realia. Q&A on Teacher Action Research and Field Experience Reports. Sign up for Informal Demos. Review expectations for demos.

ASSIGNMENT For Next Class: **(1)** Read Chap 3 in MHH & TYA (pp. 83-111). Prepare type-written responses to "Chapter Review" questions 1-5 and question #4 in "Reflecting on What You've Read" pp. 357. **(2)** View WGBH Video "Person to Person" on the Interpersonal Mode. Complete worksheet available on BB. **(3)** Print (or create an e-copy) of the Virginia State Foreign/World Language Standards (practicing teachers may use the standards to their state/district) and bring them to the next class.

MUST SIGN UP FOR "INFORMAL" TEACHING DEMONSTRATION

9/28/11: Week # 5 – Standards-based Teaching (INTASC 7.1, 7.2, 7.4— Planning; 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 Multiple Instructional Strategies). National, State, and Local Standards: Their Impact on Teaching. Communicative Modes—Integrating Four Language Skills. WGBH Video "Delivering the Message." Lesson Plan Template: Backwards Design. Creating Authentic Tasks. Teaching in the Target Language.

INFORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATIONS: Groups 1 and 2

ASSIGNMENT For Next Class: (1) Write (type-written) one activity for <u>each</u> of the three communicative modes. Write the performance-based objectives for each activity (i.e. how will you measure what students know and are able to do?) State the SFLLs that apply (2) Read Prensky "Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants" (On BB). Summarize article and be prepare to discuss the role of technology in your language classroom. (3) In-service teachers: provide your puzzlement for your teacher action research project / Pre-service teachers provide schedule for your classroom observations.

10/05/11: Week # 6 – Using Technology to Enhance Modes of Communication (INTASC Standards: 5.1, 5.2 — Motivation and Management; 7.1, 7.2, 7.4—Planning; 6.4—Communication and Technology). Review Communicative Mode Activities. Transitions: Connecting Activities. Millennial Learners- Marc Prensky. Synchronous and Asynchronous Communication:

Creating Authentic Tasks with Technologies for Language Learning: Blogs, Wikis and Webquests. Preview: NoVa StarTalk Teacher Professional Development Workshops. TAR and Field Experience Updates.

INFORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATION: Groups 3 and 4

ASSIGNMENT For Next Class: (1) Prepare (type-written) standards-based lesson plan for one day that includes performance-based objectives, 1 activity for each of the three communicative modes with transitions between activities, a warm-up activity, a closure, and homework assignment (use the lesson plan template in the syllabus). (2) Visit NoVa Startalk website: http://novastartalk.nvcc.edu/. Review various resources available for Units 1-5 (Presentation Tools, Audio Tools Video Tools, Collaborative Tools, Online Resources and Social Media. Be prepared to discuss the technology (or technologies) you plan to use in your mid-term project. (3) Begin work on midterm projects—Due October 21th.

10/12/11: Week # 7 – Technology for Teaching Across the Curriculum (INTASC Standards: 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4—Communication and Technology; 7.1, 7.2, 7.3—Planning). Review Lesson Plans. Technologies that promote learner-centered instruction- PowerPoint (Prezi), WebQuests, Wikis, and Blogs. Review: NoVa StarTalk Teacher Professional Development Workshops: Photostory, Voxopop, Animoto, Toondoo, Wordle.

INFORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATION: Groups 5 and 6

ASSIGNMENT For Next Class: Work on Mid Term Projects. Read "Introduction" in Kapalka pp. 1-15). Prepare to discuss your opinion of why students misbehave. How might misbehavior connect to technology-based lessons?

10/19/11: Week # 8 – Mid-Term Projects Presented-DUE IN CLASS Managing a Learner-Centered Classroom: (INTASC Standards: 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5—Motivation and Management; 10.3—School and Community).

Organizing Teacher Records: Student work, absences and make-up work, tracking homework, student infractions, contact with parents/guardians.

Classroom Management-Discipline: Why do students misbehave? Form groups for Kapalka Steps 1-6. Review group presentations on six steps for next class.

Q& A Formal Teaching Demo Format. Sign up for Formal Teaching Demos

ASSIGNMENT for Next Class: (1) Read "Prologue" in Kapalka (pp. 17-25). Be prepared to discuss your strengths and weaknesses when it comes to working with "challenging" students. **(2)** Work with "Kapalka group members" to prepare a 5-minute presentation on your assigned step. Presentations must in include a demonstration of how to implement your step and a 1-page type-written handout with graphics -or- 3 PPT slides that summarize your step. Bring copies of handouts for your classmates. **(3)** Continue work on Field Experience Reports

(pre-service teachers) or TAR projects (in-service teachers) **DUE DATE on Field Experience and TAR projects is Nov 2nd. MUST SIGN UP FOR FORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATION**

10/26/11: Week # 9 – *Managing Classroom Discipline Issues* (*INTASC Standards: 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5—Motivation and Management*). Seating, Forming groups, Learning centers, Bulletin boards. Establishing daily routines, establishing routines for disciplinary actions. Working with Challenging Students. Group Presentations of Kapalka Steps 1-6.

ASSIGNMENT for Next Class: (1) Work on field experience reports /or/ TAR Projects

11/02/11: Week # 10 – Field Experience Reports / TAR Projects Presented and DUE IN CLASS. GUEST TEACHER: DELIA RACINES

Managing Multi-Level Classrooms (INTASC Standards: 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5—Motivation and Management). Case Studies: Implementing Effective Discipline Strategies. Kapalka Book: Eight Steps to Classroom Management Success. WGBH Video: Exploring New Directions-Multi-level Chinese HS Classroom.

ASSIGNMENT for Next Class: (1) Watch WGBH Video #6 "Valuing Diversity in Learners" at: http://learner.org/resources/series201.html (2) Read Chap 1 pp. 1-8 and Chap 3 pp. 37-45 in Blaz-"Differentiated Instruction" (on loan) Be prepared to discuss "what differentiated instruction is and what it is not." (3) Select 2 ideas from Chapter 5- "Ideas Smorgasbord" in Blaz. Prepare typewritten standards-based, thematic examples of how you would use these two ideas in your classroom (be language specific). Include grade and language level for each example. Bring copies to share with your classmates. (4) Take the MI Inventory (available on BB). Bring printed version to class.

11/09/11: Week # 11 – Brain-Compatible Teaching and Learning (INTASC Standards: 2.1-2.5—Student Development; 3.1-3.5-- Diverse Learners). Defining "learner diversity." Differentiating Activities Review-Blaz book-share Ideas Smorgasbord. MIRS WebSite--MI Inventory. MI Activities. MI Activity Tracking Charts. Visit: http://daretodifferentiate.wikispaces.com/

FORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATIONS: Groups 1 & 2

ASSIGNMENT for Next Class: (1) Read Shrum and Glisan pp. 355-364 (On BB). **(2)** Read Chap 4 in MHH & TYA (pp. 116-137). Prepare (type-written) responses to "Chapter Review" questions 1, 2, 3, 5 on pp. 359-360. **(3)** Examine ACTFL Performance Guidelines for K-12 Learners (on loan). How are these guidelines useful for planning assessments?

11/16/11: Week # 12 – Assessment in a Learner-Centered Classroom (INTASC Standards: 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4—Assessment). Formative and Summative

Assessments—WGBH Video Summaries. Admit / Exit Slips, Checklists, Dialogue Journals, Interviews, Observations (anecdotal records), Portfolios. WGBH Video—Planning for Assessment. Examination of ACTFL Performance Guidelines. Preview: Integrated Performance Assessment (IPA).

FORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATIONS: Groups 3 & 4

ASSIGNMENT for Next Class: (1) Read pp. 1-33 in Blaz—A Collection of Performance Tasks and Rubrics (on loan). (2) Prepare (type-written) 5 key elements for evaluating a rubric (3) Select and view <u>one</u> of the videos (Numbers: 4-30) on http://learner.org/resources/series185.html Prepare a 1 page type-written summary of the video and give specific examples of how the teacher assesses learning during instruction.(4) Review final project guidelines and rubrics and bring questions to the next class meeting.

THANKSGIVING BREAK: NO CLASS 11/23/11

11/30/11: Week # 13 – Integrated Performance Assessment (INTASC Standards: 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4—Assessment). WGBH Video Summaries. World Language Assessment Video –YouTube. Backwards Design. Creating an IPA. Blaz Book: A Collection of Performance Tasks and Rubrics for FLs. Identifying Elements of a Good Rubric.

FORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATIONS: GROUPS 5 & 6

ASSIGNMENT for Next Class: (1) Re-read pp. 92-93 in MHH & TYA. (2) View textbook evaluation rubric exemplars available on BB. (3) Bring a language textbook for K-12 (in your TL) to the next class. (4) Begin work on final projects.

12/07/11: Week # 14 – Textbook and Materials Evaluation: The 5Cs Revisited (INTASC Standards: 1.2, 1.5—Content Pedagogy; 10.1—School and Community Involvement). Using "EDCI 560 Tool Box" to Evaluate Textbooks and Instructional Materials. The Communities Standard: Extending Learning Beyond the Classroom. View WGBH Video:

ASSIGNMENT for Next Class: Work on final projects and any outstanding work (if you have pre-approved due date extensions).

12/14/11: Week # 15 – Final Project Presentations: All work is due. GMU Course Evaluations. Return all textbooks on loan to Dr. Haley's Office (Robinson A315).

GUIDELINES, TEMPLATES, & RUBRICS

GUIDELINES AND EVALUATION FOR INFORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATION FALL 2011—10 POINTS

Informal Demo Guidelines:

- You and your partner(s) will prepare and present a <u>15-minute</u> standardsbased lesson in class. At the end of your demonstration the class will take 5 minutes to ask questions and give feedback.
- 2. You and your partner(s) will sign up for a specific date to prepare and present your lesson. You will select a program model (FLES, HS Level 1, etc) and a topic/theme. You will create a simple agenda, performance objectives, a warm-up activity, and one activity of your choice on the topic.
- 3. It is your responsibility to bring the necessary materials (markers, pens, tape, magnets, transparencies, PPTs, sentence strips, poster paper, timers etc), visuals, and manipulatives for your demonstration.
- 4. You will be given very limited class time to "set-up" your lesson. Imagine that you share a classroom and do not have access to the room ahead of time.
- 5. Conduct your lesson in the target language.
- 6. When preparing your lesson, consider students' diverse learning styles, multiple intelligences and proficiency levels. How can you differentiate instruction so that <u>all</u> students' diverse needs and proficiency levels are accommodated?
- 7. You and your partner(s) may choose one, two or any combination of methods/approaches/strategies to present your lesson.
- 8. We will be your students. You and your partner(s) can assign us different proficiency levels and ages. Be realistic about student proficiency.
- 9. Teaching responsibilities can be divided, or you may wish to team-teach.

Informal Demo Evaluation:

Student Name:

Topic / Program Model:

Date:

Instructor Feedback on:

- Performance-based Objectives:
- Agenda:
- Warm-up Activity:
- Planned Activity on Topic/Theme:
- Use of the Target Language:

• Other Comments:

GRADE: ____/ 10

GUIDELINES AND EVALUATION FOR FORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATIONS Fall 2011—15 POINTS

Prepare a lesson plan using the template provided. Read at least three articles on the method/approach or instructional strategy you have chosen. Try to find at least one source by the original developer (if possible).

You will work with one or two other people as a team for your teaching demonstration. You have **30 minutes.** Plan your time carefully. You have a maximum of 10-15 minutes for each person to teach. Your team may take another 5 minutes to provide background information about the method and we will take 5 minutes at the end of your demonstration to give you feedback and ask questions from the class.

The demonstration may include:

- 1. Background information about the method
- 2. The type of class your particular demonstration is intended for, e.g., level of proficiency, grade level, type of class, etc.
- 3. Skills you are teaching and basic objectives of the lesson
- 4. Special teacher-made materials; props and realia are strongly encourage
- 5. Possible follow-up activities to the lesson you have presented
- How you might conduct assessment of the lesson you have presented, if appropriate
- 7. Others you can think of....

BE CREATIVE!

- 8. Try to spend less time talking about the method, more time demonstrating it. You may decide to introduce your lesson by describing the method first or you may wish to immerse us in the experience and explain after the demonstration.
- 9. We will be your students. You may assign us whatever roles and ages you wish. Be realistic about the proficiency level of your students.
- 10. As a team, you may try any combination of team teaching, or each of you may demonstrate a separate activity. If you wish, you may divide teaching responsibilities by proficiency level of students. Remember that you do not have a lot of time (maximum 30 minutes).
- 11. Prepare a handout for the class AND your lesson plan. It can be short. It might be a summary of some points you have made or it might be sharing

something from the lesson you have developed that class members might like to use in their own teaching.

EVALUATION of your teaching demonstration will be based upon the following criteria:

- 1. Quality of lesson plan
- 2. Usefulness of handout
- 3. An accurate summary of the method chosen
- 4. Faithfulness to the general philosophy and techniques of the method chosen
- 5. Evidence of preparation
- 6. Use of teacher-developed materials
- 7. Flexibility in response to students' spontaneity
- 8. Efficient use of time
- 9. Class rapport, warmth, and enthusiasm of teacher
- 10. Creativity

FORMAL TEACHING DEMONSTRATIONS: Methods and strategies to be demonstrated

Methods and Approaches Demonstration

- Audiolingual Method (ALM)
- Cognitive Approach
- Direct Method (such as Berlitz, Jespersen, de Sauze)
- □ Total Physical Response (TPR)
- Natural Approach (Terrell and Krashen)
- Total Physical Response Storytelling (TPRS)

Instructional Strategies Demonstration

- Cooperative Learning
- Games or other Interactive Activities
- Grouping
- Computer Assisted Learning
- Alternative Assessment
- Proficiency Testing

LESSON / UNIT PLAN TEMPLATE FOREIGN/WORLD LANGUAGES

| Teacher | | School | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Grade(s) | Language(s) | Level(s) | | |
| Date | Number of Students _ | Time/Period | | |
| THEME / TOPIO | C OF LESSON / UNIT: | | | |
| PLANNING PHA | ASE | | | |
| Performance-ba | ased Objectives—As a result of a | this lesson/unit, students will be able to: | | |
| 1. | | | | |
| 2. | | | | |
| 3. | | | | |
| Alignment with | Standards: | | | |
| National: | | | | |
| State: | | | | |
| Local: | | | | |
| Assessment of L | earning: | | | |
| Pre-teaching | _ | | | |
| Ongoing/For | mative Assessment: | | | |
| Post-Lesson | Assessment: | | | |
| Materials Neede | ed: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| TEACHING PH | <u>IASE</u> | | | |
| Lesson Outline | | | | |
| Theme or Topic | : | | | |
| Warm up Ac | tivity: | | | |
| Vocabulary: | | | | |
| Verb(s): | | | | |
| Grammatical | structure(s): | | | |
| | pectives: | | | |

| Daily Lesson Plan |
|---|
| Activity 1 Transition |
| Activity 2 |
| Transition |
| Activity 3 |
| Transition |
| Presentation and Practice Three Modes Employed: Interpersonal Activities: |
| Presentational Activities: |
| Interpretive Activities: |
| Methods/Approaches/Strategies Used: |
| |
| |
| CLOSURE: Review of this lesson: |
| Preview for next lesson: |
| Expansion / Extension for learners This lesson could be expanded (in content) by: |
| This lesson could be extended (in scope) by: |
| Other Activities or Lesson Details Accommodations made for varied learning needs: |
| Assessment: |
| Technology: |
| Homework: |
| Follow-up: |
| REFLECTION PHASE |

SELF EVALUATION:

Learning Objectives and Assessments

- Were the class objectives met? How or how not?
- Formative assessment results:

Efforts to Accommodate:

| Vhat | t were t | the results | s of my | efforts to | accommod | ate the: | |
|------|----------|-------------|---------|------------|----------|----------|--|
| 17 | :01.10 | 0.000 | | | | | |

What worked well?

What didn't work well?

What will you do differently as a result of this plan?

How might this lesson be improved?

One important thing I learned was:

How did I use my pre- and post- teaching assessment data to inform my understanding of what the students learned? How will I use the assessment information to inform future instruction?

For the next class:

For longer reaching goals:

EDCI 560 Teaching Foreign/World Languages in PK-12 Settings

Formal Teaching Demonstration Rating Scale

| Presenter(s) |
|--------------|
|--------------|

| Method/Strategy: | | Date: |
|------------------|--|-------|
| | | |

| Evidence Scale: 5 = Outstanding 4 = Above average 3 = Average 2 = | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Below average 1 = No evidence Handout on Method or Strategy | | | | | |
| is Accurate and Useful | | | | | |
| Lesson Models Method or | | | | | |
| Strategy | | | | | |
| Quality of Standards-based | | | | | |
| Lesson Plan | | | | | |
| Activities Appeal to Various | | | | | |
| Learning Styles and Multiple | | | | | |
| Intelligences | | | | | |
| Target Language Use | | | | | |
| Appropriate for Age and Level | | | | | |
| of Learners | | | | | |
| Use of Transitions Between | | | | | |
| Activities | | | | | |
| Use of Teacher-made | | | | | |
| Materials | | | | | |
| Flexibility in Response to | | | | | |
| Students | | | | | |
| Efficient Use of | | | | | |
| Time | | | | | |
| Evidence of | | | | | |
| Preparation | | | | | |
| Class Rapport, Warmth, | | | | | |
| Enthusiasm | | | | | |
| Creativity | | | | | |
| | I | I | | ĺ | 1 |

Comments:

Recommendations:

GUIDELINES FOR MID-TERM PROJECT Due October 19, 2011 20 POINTS

Option A

Using Technology to Enhance Learning Experiences for Foreign/World Language Learners

"Learner-centered instruction is based on the premise that children learn more effectively by becoming active participants in the process" (Hall Haley & Austin, 2004, p. 282). The challenge for many teachers is creating lessons that engage their students in tasks that are relevant and meaningful to the learner. This is particularly true for technology-based lessons, as many millennial language learners state that they are often asked to unplug the technologies they use in their everyday lives when they enter the classroom (Prensky, 2001).

The goal of this mid-term project is for you to challenge yourself to create a lesson that includes a technology with which you are NOT familiar. NOVA Startalk has several tutorials that will teach you how to use technologies such as Voxopop, Photostory, and Prezi. The website is: http://novastartalk.nvcc.edu/Your use of this technology must do more than enhance a teacher-centered lesson (as is often the case with PowerPoint presentations). Your learner-centered, standards-based technology lesson must enhance the learning experiences of your students and must be age and language level appropriate.

Objectives:

Teachers in EDCI 560 will be able to:

- Create a learner-centered, standards-based product/project that engages the student in the target language and cultures through the use of technology
- Write an introduction that situates this lesson within the larger curriculum and includes references to course readings to support the use and choice of technology
- Create a step-by-step user guide for a novice teacher to use the project

Task:

Create a learner-centered, technology-based product/project that includes a: WebQuest, Interactive PowerPoint / SmartBoard Activity, Blog, Wiki, Podcast, Voxopop, Flip Video, Facebook/MySpace, Skype, YouTube, Photostory, or other technology

1. Prepare/create a technology-based product or project that employs a course outline topic of your choice and apply it to a teaching setting. The lesson time should be no less than 30 minutes. The purpose of the project is to focus on one course topic (such as standards-based teaching; alternative assessments;

grouping, or learner diversity) and illustrate its implications for teaching through the use of technology.

- 2. Your product/project should be interactive in the sense that the language learners are active participants in the lesson (No "Death by PowerPoint" projects please!). Tutorials for various technologies are available through NOVA Startalk at: http://novastartalk.nvcc.edu/
- 3. Prepare a printed guide to your product/project to help a novice teacher use it. Include the following:
 - An introduction that describes the student population and their diverse needs.
 - A section that explains how your project can be adapted to the context of a standards-based lesson or thematic unit plan.
 - A section that provides step-by-step details of how to use your product/project
 - Several references to various course readings to support your choice of technology <u>and</u> your course topic.
- 4. Submit your project in both electronic and in paper format.
- 5. Mid term projects are due on or before October 19, 2011

Option B

If you have other ideas for ways to increase your knowledge on the successful implementation of technology in your classroom, please see your instructor for permission to pursue another option. You must obtain approval for this option at least 2 weeks in advance of the due date (October 19, 2011).

Analytic Scoring Rubric – Mid Term Project Option A EDCI 560– Fall 2011

| Accomplished | Developing: | Beginning: | No Evidence |
|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Strongly meets | Meets Expectations | Does not adequately | Little or No Evidence |
| Expectations. Clear, | Adequately. | meeting Expectations | |
| Consistent, and Convincing Evidence | Clear Evidence | Limited Evidence | |
| 18-20 points | 15-17 points | 12-14 points | 9-11 points |
| Â | B | Ĉ | $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$ |
| | | nt of task – | |
| Prepares a 30 minute | Prepares a 30 minute | Prepares a WebQuest, | Does not prepare a 30 |
| WebQuest, Wiki, Blog, | WebQuest, Wiki, Blog, | Wiki, Blog, Interactive | minute WebQuest, Wiki, |
| Interactive PowerPoint or | Interactive PowerPoint or | PowerPoint or other | Blog, Interactive |
| other learner-centered | other learner-centered | learner-centered | PowerPoint or other |
| technology-based project | technology-based project | technology-based project | learner-centered |
| | | that is less than 30 | technology-based project |
| | | minutes. | |
| | Completion of tas | | |
| Project is based on a | Project is partially based | Project is not based on a | Project is not based on a |
| course outline topic | on a course outline topic | course outline topic | course outline topic |
| D : . 1 1 1: . | D : 4 1 1 1 | D : | B i i i |
| Project clearly applies to a | Project mostly applies to a | Project partially applies to | Project does not apply to a |
| teaching setting | teaching setting | a teaching setting | teaching setting |
| Submits project on both | Submits project on both | Submits project on either | Does not submit project on |
| CD and in paper format | CD and in paper format | CD or paper format | either CD or paper format |
| 1 1 | • • | lness of materials selected— | 1 1 |
| Describes the | Partially describes the | Does not clearly describe | Does not describe the |
| teacher/student population | teacher/student population | the teacher/student | teacher/student population |
| and their needs | and their needs | population and their needs | and their needs |
| | Anal | ysis— | |
| Prepares a printed guide of | Partially prepares a printed | Partially prepares a printed | Does not prepare a printed |
| the product to help a | guide of the product to | guide of the product to | guide of the product to help |
| novice use it | help a novice use it | help a novice use it | a novice use it |
| D 11 1 1 1 1 | D 11 (1) | D 11 1 4 4 | 5 |
| Provides clear adaptation | Provides a partial | Provides adaptation or | Does not provide any |
| to a standards-based | adaptation to a standards- | partial adaptation to | adaptation to a lesson/unit |
| lesson/unit plan | based lesson/unit plan | lesson/unit plan that is not standards-based | plan |
| Clearly and concisely | Refers to several course | buildards based | Does not refer to course |
| refers to several course | readings in support of | Refers to few course | readings to support |
| readings in support of | technology and selected | readings that may partially | technology and/or course |
| technology and selected | course topic | support technology and/or | topic |
| course topic | | selected course topic | |
| Table topic | | and to the topic | |
| | <u>I</u> | | |

| Student name: | Score: |
|---------------|--------|
|---------------|--------|

Comments/Feedback:

GUIDELINES FOR FINAL UNIT LESSON PLAN EDCI 560-FALL 2011—30 POINTS

Performance-Based Assessment for ACTFL NCATE Assessment #3
Assessment Project: Assessment of candidate ability to plan for instruction - Unit
Lesson Plan

Description of Assessment (Unit Lesson Plan) and Its Use in the Program

The Unit Lesson Plan is the culminating project for the methods course, EDCI 560, *Methods of Teaching Foreign/World Languages*. The Unit Lesson Plan is an assessment of the candidate's understanding of the goal areas and standards of the *Standards for Foreign Language Learning* (SFLL) and Virginia Standards of Learning (SOLs); integrating the SFLL and SOLs into language instruction; using the standards and curricular goals to evaluate, select, design, and adapt instructional resources; demonstrating an understanding of language acquisition at various developmental levels; and developing a variety of instructional practices that reflect language outcomes and addressing the needs of diverse learners. This assessment connects theory to practice in the application of most of the content, i.e., second language acquisition and methodology, learned throughout the course. The candidates design a standards-based unit plan that is to be taught over the course of five consecutive days.

PROCEDURE FOR CANDIDATES

- Use the lesson plan template provided in the syllabus for planning a unit/lesson plan that is specific to your own *current or future* teaching circumstance.
- Provide a written text scenario of the setting for which this plan is intended. This should include geographic location (urban, rural, suburban), approximate time during school year, brief description of student population, and where this unit lesson plan fits in with the school district's curriculum.
- This plan should cover 5 days (1 separate lesson plan for each day) and each day's plan must include national (ACTFL) and state standards (SOLs).
- Choose one theme or topic and the level/age/grade you want to teach. Include this in the title.
- Define the program model, i.e., Foreign Language in the Elementary School, Foreign Language Exploratory, Advanced Placement, Immersion etc.
- <u>Include all components</u> in the table "Alignment with ACTFL Standards, Explanation and Description" (revised version).
- <u>Use the "Analytic Scoring Rubric</u>-Unit Lesson Plan" (included below) as a guide for meeting and exceeding the criteria for this performance-based assessment.

Objectives of the Unit Lesson Plan

This signature performance assessment's objectives require Foreign Language licensure candidates to:

Alignment With ACTFL Standards, Explanation, and Description (Revised version)

| Points | ACTFL | | Explanation/Description | |
|--------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | Standard | | 2. Aprillation 2 contribution | |
| | Surrur | Scenario, Context, Title | One paragraph scenario describing the setting Target language, grade, level, program model (FLES, FLEX, Immersion, etc.), time (minutes/day, days/week) Title of the unit plan | |
| | 4.a. | Goals | List the major learning goals, i.e., what will students learn in terms of learning outcomes (what students will be able to know and do as a result of the lesson) | |
| | 4.a. 4.b. | Standards | Virginia Standards of Learning (SOLs) and ACTFL Standards for the unit. Each of the five days will list national and state standards. | |
| | 5.a. 5.b. | Assessment | One paragraph describing strategies you use throughout to assess student learning How will you assess special needs learners? How will assessment be used to inform your practice? How will you integrate assessment with planning and instruction? | |
| | 2.a., 2.c. 3.a., 3.b. | Five Day Unit Plan | Create a five-day unit plan that demonstrates sustained and sequential teaching. The plan must include integration of the 3 communicative modes: interpersonal, interpretive, and presentational. The unit plan must include the following (although not every day): 1. Evidence of planning for language acquisition (Standard 3.a.) 2. Planning for diverse/special needs students (Standard 3.b.) 3. Integration of cultural products, practices, and perspectives (Standard 2.a., 4.b., 4.c.) 4. Student critical thinking and problem solving (Standard 3.b.) 5. Integration of other content areas (Standard 2.c., 4.b.) 6. Describe an assessment (formative or summative) for each day (Standard 5.a.) | |
| | 4.b. | Lesson Plans | Create 5 consecutive days lesson plans using the GMU lesson plan template for secondary or elementary levels: 1. Objectives must be stated in behavioral terms and measurable 2. Lesson plan format must include number of minutes anticipated for each activity as well as a description of the transition 3. At least one technology application 4. Describe one formative or summative assessment 5. Must include a warm-up and closure activities | |
| | 3.b. | Diverse Learners | One paragraph describing strategies (instructional and assessment) for meeting the needs of diverse (culturally, linguistically, and cognitively) learners. How does the unit plan accommodate diverse learning styles, multiple intelligences, heritage speakers, etc.? | |
| | 2.a. 4.a. 4.b. 4.c. | Materials | Include instructional materials that <u>you</u> created for the unit plan: 1. One technology application 2. One of the cooperative, collaborative, or other interactive activity 3. Adaptation of authentic materials (literary and/or media texts) | |
| | 6.a. | Reflection | Reflection: One paragraph describing what you learned about the planning process. How have you grown in what you now know about standards-based planning? What were your challenges? Were there any surprises? How will this experience impact your future classroom teaching? | |

Analytic Scoring Rubric Unit Lesson Plan Addressing ACTFL/NCATE Standards 2.a., 2.c., 3.a., 3.b., 4.a., 4.b., 4.c., 5.a., 5.b., 6.a.

[Required for Licensure Portfolio]

(This Revised version will be implemented fall 2011.)

| Requirement + ACTFL Standard | Not Acceptable (Minimum Evidence Provided) | Not Acceptable (Approaches Standard) | Acceptable (Meets Standard) | Target (Exceeds Standard) |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Scenario, Context, Title and Goals 4 points | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Scenario, Context, Title | Description of scenario, and context are not included. Format does not follow the requirements. | Most elements are included, but some or many are not complete. Format does not follow the requirements. | Contains all required elements (scenario, target language, grade level, program model (FLES, FLEX, Immersion), time (minutes/day, days/week), and title. | Has all the required elements. scenario, target language, grade level, program model (FLES, FLEX, Immersion), time (minutes/day, days/week),Is exceptional in organization. |
| Goals (4.a.) | No description of how the goal areas and standards (national and state) are addressed in the unit plan. No description of how the elements fit together, what students know beforehand, and what they will study later. Candidates provide only a minimal level of understanding of the Standards and their application in the unit plan. | Incompletely or partially describes how the goal areas and standards (national and state) are addressed in the unit plan. Little description provided of how the elements fit together, what students know beforehand, and what they will study later. Candidate has an emergent understanding and application of the Standards. | Describes the goal areas of the unit plan, and provides a rationale for using national and state standards for curriculum development. Refers to textbook, local standards, goal areas and Standards. Describes accurately how the elements fit together, what students know beforehand, and what they will study. Demonstrates an understanding of the standards. | Describes the goal areas of the unit plan. Clearly and accurately. Articulates a clear rationale for using national and state standards as a basis for curriculum development. Refers to textbook, local standards, goal areas and Standards. Describes clearly and in detail how the elements fit together, what students know beforehand, and what they will study later. Demonstrates a clear and strong understanding of enhancing knowledge and expertise with standards. |
| Standards and Five Day Unit Plan 8 points | 1-2 | 3-5 | 6-7 | 8 |
| Standards (4.a., 4.b.) | Does not demonstrate knowledge and skills to integrate national and/or state standards for the unit plan. The plan lacks the 5Cs and | Demonstrates limited or partial knowledge and skills to integrate national and/or state standards for the unit plan. The plan lacks | Demonstrates the knowledge and skills to integrate national and/or state standards for the unit. The unit plan includes some of | Demonstrates strong understanding of knowledge and skills needed to integrate national and/or state standards for the unit |

| Five Day Unit Plan (2.a., 2.c. 3.a., 3.b. | Does not create a five day unit plan that demonstrates sustained and/or sequential teaching. Does not include integration of the 3 communicative modes: interpersonal, interpretive, and presentational. Does not provide: Evidence of planning for language acquisition; Planning for diverse/special needs students; Integration of cultural products, practices, and perspectives; Student critical thinking and problem-solving; and Integration of other content areas. | several of the 5Cs and/or 3 modes. Creates fewer than five days unit plan that demonstrates little or no sustained and sequential teaching. Includes integration of 2 communicative modes. Provides: Little or no evidence of planning for language acquisition; No planning for diverse/special needs students; No integration of cultural products, practices, and perspectives; No student critical thinking and problem-solving; and no integration of other content areas. | the 5Cs and all 3 modes. Creates a five-day unit plan that partially demonstrates sustained and sequential teaching. Includes integration of the 3 communicative modes: interpersonal, interpretive, and presentational. Provides: Some evidence of planning for language acquisition; Some planning for diverse/special needs students; Little integration of cultural products, practices, and perspectives; Student critical thinking and problem-solving and Some | plan. These then are the focus of all classroom activities. The unit plan includes all 5Cs and all 3 modes. Creates a five-day unit plan that demonstrates sustained and sequential teaching. Includes integration of the 3 communicative modes: interpersonal, interpretive, and presentational. Provides: Evidence of planning for language acquisition; Planning for diverse/special needs students; Integration of cultural products, practices, and perspectives; Student critical thinking and problem-solving; and Integration of other |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lesson Plans | | | solving; and Some integration of other content areas. | content areas. |
| and Materials 6 points | 1 | 2-3 | 4-5 | 6 |
| Lesson Plans 4.b. | Creates fewer than 4 consecutive days standards-based lesson plans using the GMU lesson plan template for secondary or elementary levels. Objectives are not stated in behavioral terms and measurable. Lesson plan format does not include number of minutes anticipated for each activity or a description of the transition. Does not include at least one technology application. Does not either one formative or summative assessment. Does not include warm-up and closure activities. | Creates 4 consecutive days standards-based lesson plans using the GMU lesson plan template for secondary or elementary levels. Objectives are not stated in behavioral terms and measurable. Lesson plan format does not include number of minutes anticipated for each activity or a description of the transition. Does not include at least one technology application. Describes one formative but not a summative assessment. Does not include a warm-up and closure activities. | Creates 5 consecutive days standards-based lesson plans using a modified GMU lesson plan template for secondary or elementary levels. Most objectives are stated in behavioral terms and measurable. Lesson plan format mostly includes number of minutes anticipated for each activity as well as a description of the transition. Includes at least one technology application. Describes one formative or summative assessment. Includes a warm-up and closure activities. | Creates 5 consecutive days standards-based lesson plans using the GMU lesson plan template for secondary or elementary levels. Objectives are stated in behavioral terms and measurable. Lesson plan format includes number of minutes anticipated for each activity as well as a description of the transition. Includes at least two or more technology applications. Describes two or more formative or summative assessments. Includes a warm-up and closure activities. |

| | T | T | T | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Materials | Does not include age | Does not include age | Includes a few age | Includes several age |
| (2.a., 4.a., 4.b., | and level appropriate | and level appropriate | and level appropriate | and level appropriate |
| 4.c.) | materials valued by the | materials valued by | materials valued by | materials valued by |
| | target language/culture. | the target | the target | the target |
| | Uses no authentic | language/culture. | language/culture. | language/culture. |
| | materials and no | Uses a few authentic | Uses some authentic | Uses numerous |
| | technology | materials and no | materials and at least | authentic materials |
| | applications in | technology | one technology | and more than 3 |
| | activities. No evidence | application in some | application in | technology |
| | of cooperative, | activities. Little | cooperative, | applications in |
| | collaborative, and | evidence of | collaborative, and | cooperative, |
| | interactive activities. | cooperative, | interactive activities. | collaborative, and |
| | Does not adapt | collaborative, and | Activities. Where | interactive activities. |
| | materials to make | interactive activities. | possible, adapts | Where possible, |
| | standards-based | Little evidence of | materials to make | adapts materials to |
| | learning more student- | attempts to adapt | standards-based | make standards-based |
| | centered. Does not | materials to make | learning more | learning more |
| | include the use of | standards-based | interactive. Includes | student-centered. |
| | literary and cultural | learning more student- | some use of literary | Includes the use of a |
| | texts to help students | centered. Includes | and cultural texts to | variety of literary and |
| | gain insight into | fewer than 2 uses of | help students gain | cultural texts to help |
| | products, practices, and | literary and cultural | insight into products, | students gain insight |
| | perspectives of the | texts to help students | practices, and | into products, |
| | target language/culture. | gain insight into | perspectives of the | practices, and |
| | | products, practices, | target | perspectives of the |
| | | and perspectives of | language/culture. | target |
| | | the target | | language/culture. |
| | | language/culture. | | |
| Assessment | | | | |
| 4 points | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Assessment | Paragraph on | Paragraph on | Paragraph on | Paragraph on |
| Assessment (5.a., 5.b.) | assessment does not | assessment | assessment | assessment describes |
| | assessment does not describe formative or | assessment description is | assessment description contains | assessment describes how the unit plan is |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative | assessment description is incomplete or does | assessment description contains formative and | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the | assessment description contains formative and summative | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate- |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate- designed assessments |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students identify products, | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their knowledge and skills. |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- designed assessments | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their knowledge and skills. There is a plan for |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- designed assessments that have students | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their knowledge and skills. There is a plan for adapting assessments |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their knowledge and skills. There is a plan for adapting assessments for students with |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic documents. | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their knowledge and skills. There is a plan for adapting assessments for students with special needs. The |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic documents. There is a plan for | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their knowledge and skills. There is a plan for adapting assessments for students with special needs. The assessment plan |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic documents. There is a plan for adapting assessments | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their knowledge and skills. There is a plan for adapting assessments for students with special needs. The assessment plan describes how results |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic documents. There is a plan for adapting assessments for students with | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their knowledge and skills. There is a plan for adapting assessments for students with special needs. The assessment plan describes how results of assessments will be |
| | assessment does not describe formative or summative assessments to measure achievement. There is no evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate-designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic | assessment description is incomplete or does not include how the unit contains a formative and summative assessment. There is little evidence of candidate-made assessments that measure students' ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using analytical or holistic scoring. It contains no candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic | assessment description contains formative and summative assessments to measure achievement. Includes candidate- designed assessments that measure student's ability to negotiate meaning; for interpretation of texts that contain a variety of response types, and presentational tasks, using holistic and/or analytical scoring. Contains candidate- designed assessments that have students identify products, practices, and perspectives embedded in authentic documents. There is a plan for adapting assessments | assessment describes how the unit plan is an integrated system of formative and summative assessments that measure development of proficiency. Includes candidate-designed assessments for interpretation of texts, interpersonal tasks, presentational tasks, and problem solving. Describes a plan to teach students how to reflect upon their performance and help them identify gaps in their knowledge and skills. There is a plan for adapting assessments for students with special needs. The assessment plan describes how results |

| | | | | learning. Included is at least l candidate- made quiz or rubric. |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Diverse Learners 4 points | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Diverse Learners (3.b.) 4 Points | Provides less than one paragraph. Does not demonstrate a clear understanding of strategies (instructional and assessment) for meeting the needs of diverse (culturally, linguistically, and cognitively) learners. No evidence of ability to integrate appropriate design and use of questioning strategies and task-based activities. | Provides less than one paragraph describing strategies (instructional, but not assessment) for meeting the needs of diverse (culturally, linguistically, but not cognitively) learners. Does not integrate appropriate design and use of questioning strategies and taskbased activities. | Provides one paragraph describing strategies (instructional, but not assessment) for meeting the needs of diverse (culturally, linguistically, and cognitively) learners. Integrates some appropriate design and use of questioning strategies and task-based activities. | Provides one paragraph describing strategies (instructional and assessment) for meeting the needs of diverse (culturally, linguistically, and cognitively) learners. Integrates appropriate design and use of questioning strategies and task-based activities. |
| Reflection 4 points | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Reflection (6.a.) | Paragraph includes few, if any of the reflection prompts. Does not articulate level of understanding of systematic engagement in a reflective process for standards-based teaching and learning. | Paragraph includes few reflection prompts. Articulates low level of understanding of systematic engagement in a reflective process for standards-based teaching and learning. | Paragraph includes most all reflection prompts. Articulates a modest level of understanding of systematic engagement in a reflective process for standards-based teaching and learning. | Paragraph includes all reflection prompts. Articulates high level of understanding of systematic engagement in a reflective process for standards-based teaching and learning. |

| Student name: | Score: | |
|---------------|--------|--|
| | | |
| | | |

Comments/Feedback:

GUIDELINES FOR FIELD EXPERIENCE COURSE TITLE: TEACHING FOREIGN/SECOND LANGUAGES IN PK-12 SCHOOLS (EDCI 560) DUE DATE: November 2, 2011

INSTRUCTOR: Melissa S. Ferro CLOCK HOURS: 10

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

Study of theories and methods of foreign/second language teaching, with practical application to the classroom. Field experience required for those seeking initial teacher certification.

FIELD EXPERIENCE OBJECTIVES: PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS

- A. To observe the application of foreign/second language teaching strategies and methods embodied in the classroom procedures of the cooperating teachers at K-16 levels.
- B. To learn and become familiar with successful and innovative practices.
- C. To gain valuable insight into student responses to each type of activity.
- D. To get to know students at a given age and grade, areas of strength and weakness, and general learning pace.
- E. To become familiar with special foreign/second language resources and activities in the particular school: (a) SmartBoard or similar technology, computer laboratory, its functioning and specific software available for use; (b) videos, interactive online workbooks, multimedia CD-ROMs or any other types of multimedia relating to language; (c) special foreign/second language classrooms, i.e., electronic, transition immersion, self contained, as well as those decorated with posters, interactive bulletin boards, and learning centers.

<u>Method:</u> As a requirement of the GMU Graduate School of Education, participants will engage in 10 hours of school-based field experiences. Students will engage in observations, interactions with students and in teacher interviews in the school setting. A short written report, should consist of **three principle parts** and be a **total of 6-8 pages, double-spaced, 12 point font**. Guidelines and suggested report format are listed below

Part I -- INTRODUCTION - Visit the school website to report information on where you observed, demographics of the school community, etc. Then, follow the list below as a guide for things you may wish to observe. It is not necessary to cover all 20 items.

- 1. Observe how the teacher handles multiple learning styles
- 2. Seating arrangements
- 3. Discipline problems
- 4. Daily routine

- 5. What percentage of time is devoted to each of the 4 skills?
- 6. Describe the way the teacher began the class. Did it lead into the lesson effectively? Why or why not?
- 7. Combined classes
- 8. Homework how was it assigned and checked?
- 9. Amount, if any of students' L1 used
- 10. Variety of activities
- 11. Use of daily lesson plan
- 12. Use of visuals and/or technology
- 13. Works with accelerated and slower students
- 14. Use of textbook(s)
- 15. Classroom appearance
- 16. How the teacher creates a community of learners
- 17. Were the objectives of the day's lesson given to the students? If yes, what were the objectives and how were they given?
- 18. Was the atmosphere conducive to motivating students to participate actively? Explain.
- 19. How did the teacher keep the students on task?
- 20. Describe the rapport between teacher and students

PART II – INTERVIEWS WITH THE TEACHER – plan to conduct at least two interviews with teacher(s). Use the following questions as a guide (add your own as appropriate).

- 1. What instructional approaches work well for this age group?
- 2. In terms of classroom management, what strategies are most effective for your students?
- 3. What are some effective methods/strategies/assessments for working with students from many different countries?
- 4. What are the areas of weakness or difficulty for students at your grade level and what do you do to overcome these difficulties?
- 5. In what ways do you assess student progress?
- 6. How would you describe your teaching style?
- 7. How has your teaching style changed since your started your career?

PART III – REFLECTION - Summarize your learning from each observation and interview. This provides you the opportunity to connect *theory to practice*. As you think and write about these school-based experiences, refer to the course content, readings, and discussions. Synthesize this information and apply it to the class content as well as your future (or current status) as a foreign/world language teacher.

SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES FOR FIELD EXPERIENCE:

1. Ask to conference with the teacher **both** <u>before</u> and <u>after</u> your observation to discuss the day's lesson and to ask questions. While this is NOT possible for each visit, a minimum of 3 times is expected.

- 2. Work with individual students or small groups to gain confidence and make some initial contact with students.
- 3. Seek advice and guidance in preparing for teaching assignment from faculty supervisor and cooperating teacher.
- 4. As student teaching time approaches, begin to plan in written form some general format for each week's work, including types of exercises in logical sequence, with an attempt at varying the activities sufficiently, along with games, visuals, and innovative strategies.

Note: Pay strict attention to the above guidelines. Remember, you are a guest in these schools and classrooms. <u>Appropriate attire and conduct are mandatory.</u> Professional courtesy is essential. If you must cancel a scheduled visit, call as soon as possible to let the teacher know.

These teachers are **not** on display. They have willingly agreed to make themselves available to you. Try to be helpful and lend assistance where possible. This is to be an **interactive** experience - not passive.

FIELD EXPERIENCE REPORTS ARE DUE NO LATER THAN NOVEMBER 2, 2011.

Analytic Scoring Rubric PRE-SERVICE Teacher Field Experience and Report EDCI 560 – Fall 2011

| Accomplished Strongly meets Expectations. Clear, Consistent, and | Developing: Meets Expectations Adequately. Clear Evidence | Beginning: Does not adequately meeting Expectations Limited Evidence | No Evidence Little or No Evidence |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Convincing Evidence 9-10 points A | 8 points B | 7 points C | 0-6 points F |
| 7.1 | | requirements | |
| Meets all requirements | Meets most | Meets some | Does not meet |
| 1 | requirements | requirements | requirements |
| | Hours of Field Expe | rience requirements | |
| Completes 10 hours of | Completes 8-9 hours of | Completes 6-7 hours of | Completes fewer than 5 |
| field experience | field experience | field experience | hours of field experience |
| Familiarity w | ith student cognitive, ling | uistic and social developm | |
| Illustrates a clear | Illustrates a partial | Illustrates a limited | Does not illustrate a |
| familiarity with students | familiarity with students | familiarity with students | familiarity with students |
| at a given age and | at a given age and | at a given age and | at a given age and grade, |
| grade, areas of strength | grade, areas of strength | grade, areas of strength | areas of strength and |
| and weakness and | and weakness and | and weakness and | weakness and general |
| general learning pace | general learning pace | general learning pace | learning pace |
| | | Analysis | |
| Organizes paper in a thoughtful manner | Paper lacks some clarity | Paper is not well- organized | Paper is not well- organized. |
| | Partially describes | | |
| Clearly describes | resources and activities | Provides a limited | Does not describe |
| resources and activities | in a world/second | description of resources | resources and activities |
| in a world/second | language classroom | and activities in a | in a world/second |
| language classroom | Duovidos o montial | world/second language | language classroom |
| Provides a clear | Provides a partial description of | classroom | Doos not provide e |
| description of | application of | Provides a limited | Does not provide a description of |
| application of | world/second language | description of | application of |
| world/second language | teaching strategies and | application of | world/second language |
| teaching strategies and | methods and student | world/second language | teaching strategies and |
| methods and student | response to those | teaching strategies and | methods and student |
| response to those | strategies and methods | methods and student | response to those |
| strategies and methods | saategies and memous | response to those | strategies and methods |
| | Reflection demonstrates | strategies and methods | 2.2 |
| Reflection demonstrates | personal growth and a | | Reflection does not |
| personal growth and a | partial synthesis of | Reflection demonstrates | demonstrate personal |
| clear synthesis of | applying theory to | some personal growth | growth and/or lacks a |
| applying theory to | practice. | and/or partial synthesis | synthesis of applying |
| practice. | | of applying theory to practice. | theory to practice. |

| Student name: | Score: |
|---------------|--------|
| | |

Comments/Feedback:

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY Graduate School of Education

Field Experience Reporting Form

Name: Semester/Year Fall '11

School:

Course: EDCI 560

Instructor: Melissa S. Ferro Clock hours: 10

Use this form as a way of keeping track of your required 10 hours of field experience.

Return this form when you submit your written report.

FIELD EXPERIENCE OBJECTIVES: IN-SERVICE TEACHERS Details for Teacher Action Research In-service Teachers Only DUE DATE: November 2, 2011

In order to satisfy VA's requirement of 10 hours of field experience for this course, you will **(a)** observe and collaborate with colleagues in your school **(5 hours)**, submitting a brief one-page reflective summary and **(b)** conduct an action research project **(5 hours)** in your own classroom. Refer to http://www.gse.gmu.edu/research/tr for additional resources.

Instructions for Observations and Reflective Summary:

- 1. Use the list from "Part 1" on page 29-30 of this syllabus to guide your observations.
- 2. Write a 1-page reflective summary that illustrates how your observations will impact your practice (i.e. classroom management, instructional methods, use of technology, assessment practices, etc...)

Instructions for Teacher Action Research

- Develop a research question (puzzlement) based on your own curiosity about teaching and learning in your classroom
- Examine your underlying assumptions about teaching and learning
- Systematically collect data from and with your students
- Analyze and interpret data
- Write about your research
- ❖ Share your findings with students, colleagues, and classmates
- ❖ Assume responsibility for your own professional growth

Sample "puzzlements" – 1.) How do I get my students to do their homework? 2) How can I stay in the target language during instruction? 3) How can I move toward more learner-centered instruction without losing control? 4) How can I motivate my students to <u>want</u> to learn? 5) What can I do to ensure that I accommodate all my students' learning styles?

REFLECTIVE SUMMARIES (OF 5 HOUR OBSERVATIONS) AND TAR PROJECTS ARE DUE ON NOVEMBER 2, 2011.

PLEASE SEE YOUR INSTRUCTOR TO <u>PRE-ARRANGE ANY EXTENSIONS</u> <u>BEFORE OCTOBER 19, 2011.</u>

Outline for TAR Paper (Estimated 5-7 Pages)

Title Page:

ACTION RESEARCH TITLE Your Name George Mason University EDCI-560 (semester and year) Submitted: (date)

Body of Paper:

- 1. Introduction including the rationale
- 2. Puzzlement
- 3. School Setting, Program, and/or Class Description
- 4. Methodology: Participants, data collection procedure, data collection instruments, research procedures
- 5. Results/Analysis
- 6. Conclusion or Discussion
- 7. References
- 8. Appendices: Instruments developed and used, surveys, questionnaires, etc.

EDCI 560 – Fall 2011 Analytic Scoring Rubric IN-SERVICE Teacher Field Experience and TAR Project Report

| Accomplished Strongly meets Expectations. Clear, Consistent, and | Developing: Meets Expectations Adequately. Clear Evidence | Beginning: Does not adequately meeting Expectations Limited Evidence | No Evidence Little or No Evidence |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Convincing Evidence | Clear Evidence | Limited Evidence | |
| 9-10 points | 8 points | 7 points | 0-6 points |
| Â | В | C | F |
| | Fulfillment of | requirements | |
| Meets all requirements | Meets most | Meets some | Does not meet |
| | requirements | requirements | requirements |
| | Hours of Field Evne | rience requirements | |
| Completes 5 hours of | Completes 4 hours of | Completes 3 hours of | Completes fewer than 3 |
| field experience | field experience | field experience | hours of field experience |
| neid experience | neid experience | neid experience | nours of field experience |
| Completes a thoughtful | Completes written | Partially completes | Does not complete |
| written summary | report summary that | written report summary | written report summary |
| · | lacks some clarity | 1 | 1 , |
| TAR Project: Famil | iarity with student cogniti | ve, linguistic and social de | velopment and needs |
| Puzzlement question | Puzzlement question | Puzzlement question | Puzzlement question and |
| and examination of | and examination of | and examination of | examination of |
| underlying assumptions | underlying assumptions | underlying assumptions | underlying assumptions |
| illustrate a clear | illustrate a partial | illustrate a limited | do not illustrate a |
| familiarity with students | familiarity with students | familiarity with students | familiarity with students |
| at a given age and | at a given age and | at a given age and | at a given age and grade, |
| grade, areas of strength and weakness and | grade, areas of strength and weakness and | grade, areas of strength and weakness and | areas of strength and |
| | general learning pace | | weakness and general |
| general learning pace | general learning pace | general learning pace | learning pace |
| | TAR Project: V | Vritten Analysis | |
| Organizes paper in a | Paper lacks some clarity | Paper is not well- | Paper is not well- |
| thoughtful manner | | organized | organized. |
| | Partially describes | | |
| Clearly describes | analysis and | Provides a limited | Does not describe |
| analysis and | interpretation of data | analysis and | analysis and |
| interpretation of data | 75 11 11 | interpretation of data | interpretation of data |
| D | Provides a partial | Day 14 15 14 1 | Daniel and the |
| Provides a clear | description of application of | Provides a limited | Does not provide a |
| description of application of | world/second language | description of application of | description of application of |
| world/second language | teaching strategies and | world/second language | world/second language |
| teaching strategies and | methods and student | teaching strategies and | teaching strategies and |
| methods and student | response to those | methods and student | methods and student |
| response to those | strategies and methods | response to those | response to those |
| strategies and methods | | strategies and methods | strategies and methods |

| Student name:_ | Score: |
|----------------|------------|
| | |

Comments/Feedback:

Analytic Rubric Class Attendance, Homework, and Participation EDCI 560 – Fall 2011

| Accomplished | Developing: | Beginning: | No Evidence |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Strongly meets | Meets Expectations | Does not adequately | Little or No Evidence |
| Expectations. Clear, | Adequately. | meet expectations | |
| Consistent, and | Clear Evidence | Limited Evidence | |
| Convincing Evidence | 12.14 | 11 12 14 | 0.10 |
| 15 points | 13-14 points | 11-12 points | 0-10 points |
| A | B Class Att | ondonoo | F |
| A44 1 . 1 . 11 . 1 | | | M 12 |
| Attended all classes | Missed 1 class. | Missed 2 classes. | Missed 3 or more |
| arriving on time. | | | classes. |
| | Or arrived late or left | Or arrived late or left | Or arrived late or left |
| | early 2-3 times. | early 4 times. | early 5 or more times. |
| | Home | | |
| Completed all weekly | Completed most weekly | Completed few weekly | Did not complete |
| written and reading | written and reading | written and reading | weekly written and |
| assignments on time | assignments on time | assignments on time | reading assignments on |
| | | | time |
| Organized course | Organized course | Partially organized | |
| materials and brought | materials and brought | course materials and/or | Did not organize course |
| relevant materials to | relevant materials to | brought relevant | materials and/or did not |
| every class meeting | most class meetings | materials to few class | bring relevant materials |
| _ | _ | meetings | to class meetings |
| | Partici | pation | - |
| Engaged in meaningful | Engaged in class | Rarely engaged in class | Did not engage in class |
| class discussions | discussions | discussion | discussions |
| Participated in all class | Participated in most | Rarely participated in | Did not participate in |
| activities | class activities | class activities | class activities |
| Provided constructive | Provided some | Rarely provided | Did not provide |
| feedback to class | constructive feedback to | constructive feedback to | constructive feedback |
| members | class members | class members | to class members |

| Student name: | Score: |
|---------------|--------|
|---------------|--------|

Comments/Feedback

STUDENT BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

Please Print <u>clearly!</u>

| Name: | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| E-mail address: | |
| Home phone: | Work phone: |
| Home address: | |
| | Academic Advisor Expected completion year |
| Currently teaching? | If yes, where, what, and for how long? |
| Level(s) of proficiency | where? |
| Career goals: | |
| What you hope to gain from th class: | is |
| | |
| Favorite leisure/pastime activities: | |

Materials Release Form for EDCI 560 Fall 2011

Dr. Marjorie Hall Haley / Instructor: Melissa S. Ferro

In this course, I will be electronically collecting and storing work samples of your projects and/or papers as performance evidence for program accreditation, which is conducted every seven years by the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) programs. If you agree to let me use your materials for this purpose, please sign below. Pease note that every precaution will be taken to protect your anonymity.

| 1. I, | | , give permission for |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| (p | lease print you | r name) |
| materials produ samples for th | | ne requirements of this course to be used as work riew process. |
| 2. Please repla | ace my name w | vith a code on my papers and projects. |
| YES | NO | |
| Signature | | Date |
| Tel. No | | (Home or cell phone) |
| Email address | | |