George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Advanced Studies in Teaching and Learning (ASTL) Program

EDRD 634 School-Based Leadership in Literacy 3 Credits

Spring 2013

Instructor: Shanon D. Hardy, Ph.D.

Time: 4:30-7:10 Dates: Mondays

Room: Robinson A 412

Office Hours: Before or after class and by appointment

Telephone: (W) 703-993-9717 Email: shardy1@gmu.edu

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION:

Prerequisites: EDRD 630, 631, 632, and 633; admission to the Literacy emphasis or permission of the literacy program coordinator. Prepares the reading specialist as a school leader. Expands knowledge of literacy gained in prerequisite courses, and applies it to professional development work with teachers at their own sites.

II. LEARNER OUTCOMES

EDRD 634 prepares the reading specialist as a school leader and expands knowledge of literacy gained in prerequisite courses and applies it to professional development work with teachers at their own school sites. The requirements for EDRD 634 are focused on designing and implementing specific literacy professional development activities. Consequently the assignments focus on individual and collaborative work to create, implement, and evaluate a variety of professional development experiences for teachers, administrators, and paraprofessionals. This course addresses new and required state and national competencies for K-12 Reading Specialists. This course also provides an advanced, research-based study of literacy professional development for teachers seeking a Virginia Reading Specialist License.

Please note that ASTL/Literacy students must re-take any course in which they receive a grade of C or lower, in order to be eligible for licensure (Please note that the grade of B- is not given). Incompletes must also be cleared before moving to any course for which the course is a prerequisite. (Note that EDRD 634 is a prerequisite for EDRD 635).

III. PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS (Standards for Reading Professionals): <u>International Reading Association Standards (2010)</u> 1.1, 2.1, 4.1, 4.2, 6.1, 6.3

1,1 Understand major theories and empirical research that describes the cognitive, linguistic, motivational, and sociocultural foundations of reading and writing development, processes, and

components, including word recognition, language comprehension, strategic knowledge, and reading-writing connection.

- 2.1 Use foundational knowledge to design or implement an integrated, comprehensive, and balanced curriculum.
- 4.1 Recognize, understand, and value the forms of diversity that exist in society and their importance in learning to read and write.
- 4.2 Use a literacy curriculum and engage in instructional practices that positively impact students' knowledge, beliefs, and engagement with the features of diversity.
- 6.3 Participate in, design, facilitate, lead, and evaluate effective and differentiated professional development programs.

Virginia State Standards addressed in this course

- 6c. Demonstrate an understanding of the significance of cultural contexts upon language
- 6g. Demonstrates the ability to instruct and advise teachers in the skills necessary to differentiate reading instruction for both low and high achieving readers
- 6h. Demonstrates the ability to organize and supervise the reading program within the classroom, school, or division

IV. NCATE/IRA Standards and Performance-Based Assessments

Satisfactory completion of every PBA as well as posting to Taskstream is required before moving to the next course. The Taskstream assessment system can be found at http://www.taskstream.com/pub/. Students are required to submit the designated PBA to each courses instructor so that the assignment can be evaluated when the assignment is due. Only assignments/PBAs posted to Taskstream will be assessed. No final grades for courses will be posted until ALL required PBAs are posted to Taskstream.

V. Required State Licensure Assessment

Reading for Virginia Educators: Reading Specialist (RVE for Reading Specialists). Taking this test is a program requirement for students in the K-12 Reading Specialist Concentration, and achieving a passing score is a licensure requirement for reading specialists. *Students must scan their score sheet and it to Taskstream by the last session of EDRD 635*. This is a new version of the test (changes take place July 1, 2011. (If a student has taken it earlier the score on the old test will be accepted (Virginia Reading Assessment for Reading Specialists). The Virginia Board of Education is expected to set passing scores for the RVE assessments in late spring 2011. Registration information for the new test is: http://www.va.nesinc.com/ available on the ETS Web site http://www.ets.org/praxis/register.

Graduation and Licensure

- RVE (you must have a passing score on this test before you apply for licensure). See http://www.va.nesinc.com/ for more information.
- Meet with GMU Licensure Specialist Joanna Bosik (jbosik@gmu.edu). You will apply for your licensure *immediately* after you have completed the literacy coursework and master's degree. If you wait until the following term you may have to pay a fee to reactive your file.
- Review in the GMU Registrar guidelines for graduation. You must file an "Intent to Graduate: form several months prior to the end of your certificate or master's program. Note: Do NOT file for the certificate if you are going on for the master's degree. See http://registrar.gmu.edu/gif/index.html for more information. Students may apply for January and May graduation at the beginning of our fall semester.

VI. General Requirements

Readings and participation

The completion of all readings assigned for the course is assumed. Because the class will be structured around discussion and small group activities pertaining to literacy leadership activities, it is critical for you to keep up with readings and to participate in class. It is your responsibility to come to class with insights, questions, comments, and concerns from the readings: you will keep a reading log that includes both notes on and reactions to readings. Class participation is a required component of your grade.

Class attendance

Class attendance is both important and required. If, due to an emergency, you will not be in class, you must contact the instructor via phone or email. You are expected to email assignments regardless of class attendance on the day that the assignment is due. Students are responsible for obtaining information given during class discussions despite attendance. Attendance will influence your grade.

Assignments

All assignments should be turned in on the due date in the schedule below via paper copy OR email attachment (by 4:30pm, whether or not you are in class that evening). Save all electronic files with your last name and assignment titles (ex: HARDY_ProfDevProposal.docx). All assignments must be typed in 12-point Times New Roman font, and double-spaced with one—inch margins. Writing quality (including mechanics, organization, and content) is figured into the overall points for each writing assignment, so please proofread carefully. Late papers and projects will not be accepted without penalty, excepting extraordinary circumstances.

General

Please see me with questions and concerns about assignments, expectations, or class activities. I am happy to clarify and lend assistance on projects and assignments, but please come to me

within a reasonable time frame. I will be available for the 15 minutes following class, by appointment, and by-email. I look forward to collaborating with each of you as you work towards your goals.

Our course website will include information and resources important to your successful completion of the course. These will include the course syllabus, an announcement page, notes and class presentations, assignment descriptions and rubrics, and a bibliography of course readings and web resources. We will also hold discussions via MyMason.

Core Values

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to the following five values: collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practices, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/

VII. GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY - COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

Student Expectations

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See oai.gmu.edu/honor-code/].
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See http://ods.gmu.edu/].
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/1301gen.html].
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Campus Resources

- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See http://caps.gmu.edu/].
- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See http://gse.gmu.edu/].

VIII. TASKSTREAM REQUIREMENTS

• Every student registered for any ASTL course with a required performance-based assessment (leadership project and diversity website for EDRD 634) is required to submit this assessment, (leadership project and diversity website) to TaskStream (regardless of whether a course is an elective, a onetime course or part of an undergraduate minor.) Evaluation of your performance-based assessment will also be provided using TaskStream. Failure to submit the assessment to TaskStream will result in a the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete(IN). Unless this grade is changed upon completion of the required TaskStream submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

IX. Class Structure & Nature of Course Delivery

The class will be structured around discussion and small-group activities. It is critical for you to keep up with the readings and to participate in class. Instructor-and-student-generated questions related to course readings and assignments/projects will often be the focus of group discussions. Be prepared to discuss the content of the required reading and its relation to your teaching experiences, course assignments, and projects, and to ask questions for clarification, exploration, or to promote discussion. In general, we will engage in three types of activities:

- 1. Mini-lectures, activities, and discussions related to literacy leadership activities
- 2. Discussion of the week's readings
- 3. Pair and small group meetings in which you will provide feedback and support for each others' literacy leadership activities

4. Presentations by class members of workshop components and leadership of article discussions.

X. REQUIRED TEXTS:

Guth, N. & Pratt-Fartro, T. (2010). *Literacy coaching to build adolescent learning: 5 pillars of practice.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

Vogt, M. E., & Shearer, B. (2007). Reading specialists and literacy coaches in the real world $(2^{nd} Ed)$. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Electronic Requirements:

Students **must have access to email** and the Internet, either at home, work or GMU campus. GMU provides students with free **email accounts** which **must be accessed for** information sent from the university or the Graduate School of Education. Go to http://mason.gmu.edu/ for information on accessing mail.

After introductory training, students will also be expected to access Blackboard prior to every class session to download agendas and other pertinent course documents. **Blackboard can be accessed by going to http://gmu.blackboard.com.**

XI. Course Requirements and Evaluative Criteria

- 1. <u>Class Participation</u> (10%) Daily attendance and consistent, thoughtful participation will earn the full 10 points for class participation. For each session, you are (unexcused) absent, 5 points will be deducted from your class participation points up to the total of 10 points. Attendance will be taken beginning on the first class session. Two tardies are equivalent to one absence, and missing 30% or more of our class sessions will result in automatic failure of the class.
- 2. <u>Literature/Idea Circle Reflection Logs (15%)</u> Candidates will initially create "literature circles" roles or responsibilities advocated by research (e.g. discussion leader, connector, illustrator, passage master, and "comprehension strategy user") that will guide the literature circle discussion. In addition to participation, each literature circle member will write a summary reflection on the process of using the literature/inquiry circle and reflection upon pedagogical theories highlighted in the course textbooks and relevance to classroom practice. The paper will be typed and approximately four pages (you may include any illustrations or postits as appendices to paper). Being prepared for discussion, actively listening, developing questions, and supporting responses with specific book statements will be the criteria for evaluation. Evaluation will also include observations and learner self-evaluation.

3. <u>Professional Development Mentoring Project</u> (50%). See PBA description

4. <u>Diversity Website (25%)</u>. See PBA description

These are course PBAs. You must post to Taskstream at the end of the semester. Your grade in the course will not be posted until the document is available to the instructor on Taskstream.

Assignments		Grading Scale
Class participation	10 points	A = 94% - 100%
Literature/Idea Circle Participation	15points	A-= 90% - 93%
*Professional Development Project	50 points	B+= 87% - 89%
*Diversity Website	25 points	B = 80% - 86%
Total:	100 points	C = 75% - 79%

XII. Resources:

Guth, N., & Pettengill, S. (2005). *Leading a successful reading program.* Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

IRA (2004). Preparing reading professionals: A collection from the International Reading Association. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

McAndrew, D. (2005). *Literacy leadership: Six strategies for peoplework.* Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

McKenna, M. & Walpole, S. (2009). The literacy coaching challenge. New York: Guilford.

Nieto, S. (2003). What keeps teachers going? New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Toll, C. (2005). *The literacy coach's survival guide: Essential questions and practical answers.* International Reading Association: www.reading.org/publications/bby/books/bk565/

Walpole, S., & McKenna, M. (2005). *The literacy coach's handbook: A guide to research-based practice.* New York: Guilford.

Coaching Websites:

http://www.literacycoachingonline.org (NCTE/IRA literacy coaching resource site)

Professional Organizations:

Greater Washington Reading Council: www.gwrc.net Virginia State Reading Association: www.vsra.org

International Reading Association (IRA) (organization for educators/and researchers) www.reading.org

National Reading Conference (NRC) (an international literacy research organization) www.nrconline.org
National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE): www.ncte.org

Tentative Class Schedule

This schedule may be changed at the discretion of the professor or as needs of the students or the ASTL Literacy Emphasis Program dictate.

Week – Class	Topics	Assignments	Readings
1. 1/28/13	Syllabus Overview Reflect on past program experiences (KWL) The role of the reading	In class, set up literature/idea circles for Guth * Fartro; Vogt & Shearer texts	Begin review of standards/position statements with group In class – explore history of literacy & choose readings for next week Introduction of diversity website assignment
2. 2/4/13	Questions about Syllabus Historical Perspectives – where are we going & where have we been? Defining the Role of the Reading Specialist/ Reading Coach	Obtain principal permission for Literacy Coaching Project Develop needs assessment survey for Literacy Coaching project	In class assignment: review one of the documents below, and with a partner, share in class IRA Position Statement: Teaching All Children to Read: The Roles of the Reading Specialist (ALSO an Appendix in Vogt & Shearer — http://www.reading.org/General/AboutIR A/PositionStatements/ReadingSpecialistP osition.aspx IRA Position Statement: The Role and Qualifications of the Reading Coach in the United States — http://www.reading.org/General/AboutIR A/PositionStatements/ReadingCoachPosition.aspx Standards for Middle & HS Literacy Coaches — http://www.reading.org/General/Current Research/Standards/CoachingStandards.aspx Explore and read 2 or 3 resources of your

			choice from the Literacy Coaching Online Library http://www.literacycoachingonline.org/library.html
3. 2/11/13	Creating a schoolwide vision School literacy plans	Literature Circles meeting 1 Touch base on school needs assessment & how that segues into study group & mentoring project	Literature Circle: Guth & Pratt- Fartro Ch. 1 Do's and Don'ts for Literacy Coaches (Bean & DeFord, LCC)
4. 2/18/13	NO CLASS	Work on School Needs Assessment *5 page proposal	
5. 2/25/13	Contexts, assessments, and adapting instruction	Literature Cirlce 2 School Needs Assessment and 5-page proposal	Literature Circle: Guth & Pratt-Fartro Ch. 2
6. 3/4/13	Culture & Language	Literature Circle	Literature Circle: Guth & Fartro Chapter 3
	Reading/litera cy programs in schools	Ideas for study group	Walpole & Blamey (2008) Elem. Lit Coaches/Dual Roles
	Study groups	Final Literature Circle	Brozo & Hargis (2003) Reform to make HS reading more responsive

	& mentoring	Study group plan due	
		In-class select diversity website groups	
7. 3/11/13	NO CLASS	Work on Study Group & Mentoring Project	
8. 3/18/13	NO CLASS	Work on Study Group & Mentoring project	
9. 3/25/13	NO CLASS	Work on Study Group & mentoring project	
10. 4/1/13	Individual Conferences for everyone	Work on Study Group & mentoring project	
11. 4/8/13	Coaching	Work on Study Group & mentoring project	Peterson, Taylor, Burnham & Schock (2009) Reflective Coaching Conversations Steckel (2009) Coaching in Urban Schools
			McKenna & Walpole (2008) chapter 1 (e-reserves) Hasbrouch & Denton (2007) Student-focused coaching

12. 4/15/13	Evaluating and selecting instructional & technological materials	Diversity website groupwork	Vacca, Vacca & Mraz (2011) Ch. 2 (ereserves)
13. 4/22/13	In class presentations	STUDY GROUP & MENTORING PROJECT DUE	
14. 4/29/13	No Class	Work on diversity website	Send draft of website text
15. 5/6/13	Diversity Website Presentations Course evaluations	Diversity Website description, text, teacher reaction & links – see PBA	

George Mason University College of Education and Human Development

NCATE Assessment # 6 Literacy Coaching Project

EDRD 634: School-Based Leadership in Literacy

IRA Standards Addressed: 2 (Curriculum and Instruction), 4 (Diversity), 5 (Literate Environment) and 6 (Professional Learning and Leadership)
IRA Elements Reading Specialists/Literacy Coaches: 2.1, 4.1, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3
Please note that in rubrics, numbers and letters (e.g. 6.1a) indicate alignment with the specific evidence suggested in the IRA Standards Chart for Reading Specialists/Literacy Coaches

Purpose

The purpose of this assignment is for candidates to develop proficiency as literacy coaches within a K-12 school setting. This project will include a mini-internship in the teacher's school or a field placement.

Directions to Candidates

For this PBA, you will complete the Literacy Coaching Project as part of a mini-internship in your own school or a field placement, if you are not working in a school. You will also participate in university seminars at key points in the term, as well as small group meetings. You will have choices within this project, but everyone will be working toward the same standards, which are outlined on two rubrics. Note that EDRD 634 also includes Assessment #7 (Diversity Website).

Part I (Getting Started): During the first month:

- 1. For the first seminars, you will have reading assignments of research-based chapters and articles related to principles of adult development and teacher professional development as well as school culture and organizational change. These will be discussed in class and will be important for you to consider as you move forward in this project.
- 2. You also will meet with the school's principal or other administrator and reading specialist (if there is one) to learn about the reading program at the school and student population, and to gain permission as well as ideas for this project.
- 3. You will then (with the help of your seminar instructor and the school personnel), design a needs assessment survey for the teachers at the school (related to professional develop needs and interests at the school) and collect and analyze the results. Be sure to include questions related to diverse learners in your survey. For this you may use a paper or electronic format, with school permission. Discuss the results of this survey with a group of teachers, such as your school team. Include your school administrator, if possible. In your discussion, get ideas for #4 (below).

4. After completing these steps, write a **5 page proposal** for an overall professional development plan for the school for the school year. In your proposal demonstrate your knowledge of adult/teacher development, ways school culture and other influences affect organizational change, effective literacy instructional practices, and the specific strengths and needs of the diversity of learners at this school. Your plan should include at least one study group for teachers that you will implement (see Part II of this assignment). Share this with your school administrator and reading specialist and gain their suggestions and support. You instructor will provide a letter introducing the project to your school administration.

Rubric for Part I (Project proposal)

IRA	Exemplary (3)	Proficient (2)	Developing (1)	Not Met (0)	Score
Standards/ Elements					
6.1a Use literature and research findings about adult learning, organizational change, professional development, and school culture in working with teachers and other professionals	Candidate's work demonstrates very strong knowledge and understanding of adult learning and the impact of school culture on organizational change.	Candidate's work demonstrates strong knowledge and understanding of adult learning and the impact of school culture on organizational change.	Candidate's work demonstrates some knowledge and understanding of adult learning and the impact of school culture on organizational change.	Candidate's work demonstrates very limited or no knowledge and understanding of adult learning and the impact of school culture on organizational change.	
6.1c Use the research base to assist in building an effective, school wide professional development program	Candidate's work demonstrates very strong ability to use the research base to assist in building an effective, school wide professional development program.	Candidate's work demonstrates strong ability to use the research base to assist in building an effective, school wide professional development program.	Candidate's work demonstrates some ability to use the research base to assist in building an effective, school wide professional development program.	Candidate's work demonstrates very limited or no ability to use the research base to assist in building an effective, school wide professional development program.	
6.1b Use knowledge of students and teachers to build effective professional development programs	Candidate's work demonstrates very strong knowledge of students and teachers for building an effective professional development	Candidate's work demonstrates strong knowledge of students and teachers for building an effective professional development	Candidate's work demonstrates some knowledge of students and teachers for building an effective	Candidate's work demonstrates very limited or no knowledge of students and teachers for building an effective	

	program	program	professional	professional
			development	development
			program	program
6.2a Articulate	Candidate's work	Candidate's work	Candidate's	Candidate's
the research	demonstrates a very	demonstrates	work	work
base related to	strong	strong	demonstrates	demonstrates
the connections	understanding of	understanding of	some	very limited or
among teacher	the research base	the research base	knowledge of	no knowledge
dispositions,	related to the	related to the	the research	of the research
student	connections among	connections	base related to	base related to
learning, and	teacher	among teacher	the connections	the connections
the	dispositions,	dispositions,	among teacher	among teacher
involvement of	student learning,	student learning,	dispositions,	dispositions,
parents,	and the	and the	student	student
guardians, and	involvement of	involvement of	learning, and	learning, and
the community	parents, guardians,	parents, guardians,	the	the
	and the community.	and the	involvement of	involvement of
		community.	parents,	parents,
			guardians, and	guardians, and
			the community.	the community.
2.1 Works with	2.1d Candidate	2.1d Candidate	2.1d Candidate	2.1d Candidate
teachers and	works with others	works with others	works with	does not work
others to use	in the school site to	in the school site	others in the	with others in
foundational	analyze school	to analyze school	school site to	the school site
knowledge to	literacy needs and	literacy needs and	analyze school	to analyze
design or	plan professional	plan professional	literacy needs	school literacy
implement an	development with	development with	and plan	needs and plan
integrated	excellent success.	good success.	professional	professional
comprehensive			development	development or
and balanced			with some	works with
curriculum			success.	very limited
				success.

Part II: Study Group Leadership and Mentoring

- 1. Design a study group for teachers in your school and meet with them for at least 3 sessions (45 minutes each) or the equivalent. There should be 4-6 teachers in the group.
- a) The group can study any literacy topic identified by the needs assessment, but be sure to include information and opportunity for discussion on themes in the Standards (see rubric below) that go across topics, including *appropriate use of resources* (including technology), *differentiation for diverse learners*, and *creating a literate environment*. For example, as you help the teachers learn comprehension strategies for teaching Science, you would also include discussion of themes that go across topics.
- b) Before beginning the study group, submit an overall plan to your instructor and gain approval to begin.
- c) After each group meeting, write a reflection and create a detailed plan for the next meeting based on what occurred. Between sessions, ask teachers to try out some of the strategies they learned so that informal results can be discussed at the next session. At the end of the 3 sessions, collect anonymous evaluations completed by the teachers and include this information in a final reflection.
- 2. Mentor at least one teacher in the group individually by attending their class and watching their instruction (you can do this via video if it is not possible to attend due to your own teaching schedule). Ask to observe at a time when they are implementing something they learned in the study group. Discuss the lesson with the teacher beforehand (to get a sense of goals) and afterwards. Make recommendations related to improving literacy instruction (e.g., ideas for next time and/or additional resources). Remember that you are not an evaluator.
- 3. At the end of all of your study group meetings, turn in your plans, session reflections, and an overall reflection on what went well and what you would change next time. Also include a reflection on your observation. **Please make this into one document to submit to Taskstream.**

Rubric for Part II: Study Group Leadership

IRA Standards/	Exemplary (3)	Proficient (2)	Developing (1)	Not Met (0)	Score
Elements					
5.1, 5.2 Help	Candidate's	Candidate's	Candidate's	Candidate's	
teachers to create a	work	work	work	work	
literate environment	demonstrates	demonstrates	demonstrates	demonstrates	
for learners that	very strong	strong ability to	some ability to	limited or no	
optimizes their use	ability to help	help teachers to	help teachers to	ability to help	
of texts, is low risk,	teachers to	create a literate	create a literate	teachers to	
and includes	create a literate	environment	environment for	create a literate	
scaffolded support,	environment for	for learners that	learners that	environment for	
choice, and	learners that	optimizes their	optimizes their	learners that	
motivation.	optimizes their	use of texts, is	use of texts, is	optimizes their	
	use of texts, is	low risk, and	low risk, and	use of texts, is	
	low risk, and	includes	includes	low risk, and	
	includes	scaffolded	scaffolded	includes	
	scaffolded	support, choice,	support, choice,	scaffolded	
	support, choice,	and motivation.	and motivation.	support, choice,	
	and motivation.			and motivation.	

6.2d Demonstrate effective interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills.	Candidate's work demonstrates very strong interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills	Candidate's work demonstrates strong interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills	Candidate's work demonstrates some interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills	Candidate's work demonstrates very limited or no interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills
6.3a Collaborate in planning, leading, and evaluating professional development activities for individuals and groups of teachers.	Candidate's work demonstrates very strong collaboration in planning, leading, and evaluating professional development activities for individuals and groups of teachers.	Candidate's work demonstrates strong collaboration in planning, leading, and evaluating professional development activities for individuals and groups of teachers.	Candidate's work demonstrates some collaboration in planning, leading, and evaluating professional development activities for individuals and groups of teachers.	Candidate's work demonstrates very limited or no collaboration in planning, leading, and evaluating professional development activities for individuals and groups of teachers.
6.3c Support teachers in their efforts to use technology in literacy assessment and instruction.	Candidate demonstrates very strong support of teachers in their efforts to use technology in literacy assessment and instruction.	Candidate demonstrates strong support of teachers in their efforts to use technology in literacy assessment and instruction.	Candidate demonstrates some support of teachers in their efforts to use technology in literacy assessment and instruction.	Candidate demonstrates limited or not support of teachers in their efforts to use technology in literacy assessment and instruction.
4.1b Assist teachers in developing reading and writing instruction that is responsive to diversity.	Candidate demonstrates very strong ability to assist teachers in developing reading and writing instruction that is responsive to diversity.	Candidate demonstrates strong ability to assist teachers in developing reading and writing instruction that is responsive to diversity.	Candidate demonstrates some ability to assist teachers in developing reading and writing instruction that is responsive to diversity.	Candidate demonstrates very limited or no ability to assist teachers in developing reading and writing instruction that is responsive to diversity.

Diversity Website

IRA Standards Addressed: 1 (Foundational Knowledge), 2 (Curriculum and Instruction) and 4 (Diversity)

IRA Elements Reading Specialists/Literacy Coaches: 1.1, 2.3, 4.1, 4.2

Please note that in rubrics, numbers and letters (e.g. 2.1a) indicate alignment with the specific evidence suggested in the IRA Standards Chart for Reading Specialists/Literacy Coaches

Overview

This PBA enables the candidate to demonstrate their understanding of diverse learners and to use technology to share this understanding with others in and beyond their own school.

Directions to Candidates

In this assignment, you will develop, with other members of your class (about 3 per group), materials related to teaching reading and writing to the diverse populations in northern Virginia schools to share with other teachers. You will seek guidance from a technology expert at your school or the university to help you gain ideas and technical skills for sharing these through a technological medium (e.g., a website or a wiki).

Use the standards in the rubric below as a guide on what topics to cover (you may also include other topics). Each person in your group must write at least 4 pages of research-based text to post (with citations – ideally links to other resources). Remember that you can use techniques such as scenarios and mini-cases as long as you keep the names of individuals and schools confidential. Your goal is to make the site as interesting, useful, and accurate as possible! You can cite, but not copy, other sources (though you can link to other reputable sites or to useful articles).

You must demonstrate your technology site to teachers both in your class and in your school and gain their ideas for its improvement. When finished, make it available to all teachers, administrators, and families at your school. Also makes a plan for continually updating your site.

For this project, you will turn in a link to the site and a four-page description of how you contributed to the project, the response of the teachers, and how you plan to use it in the future. Also submit a copy of the 4 pages you produced to post to the site (as noted above).

Rubric for Diversity Website

Rubric for Diversity Website					
IRA Standards/	Exemplary (3)	Proficient (2)	Developing	Not Met (0)	Score
Elements			(1)		
1.1e Inform other	Candidate's	Candidate's	Candidate's	Candidate's	
educators about major	work	work	work	work	
theories of reading and	demonstrated a	demonstrated	demonstrated	demonstrated	
writing processes,	very strong	a strong	a limited	a weak or	
components, and	ability to inform	ability to	ability to	non-existent	
development with	other educators	inform other	inform other	ability to	
supporting research evidence, including	about major theories of	educators	educators	inform other	
information about the	reading and	about major	about major	educators	
relationship between the	writing	theories of	theories of	about major	
culture and native	processes,	reading and	reading and	theories of	
language of English	components, and	writing	writing	reading and	
learners as a support	development	processes,	processes,	writing	
system in their learning	with supporting	components,	components,	processes,	
to read and write in	research	and	and	components,	
English.	evidence,	development	development	and	
	including	with	with	development	
	information	supporting	supporting	with	
	about the	research	research	supporting	
	relationship	evidence,	evidence,	research	
	between the culture and	including	including	evidence,	
	native language	information	information	including	
	of English	about the	about the	information	
	learners as a	relationship	relationship	about the	
	support system	between the	between the	relationship	
	in their learning	culture and	culture and	between the	
	to read and write	native	native	culture and	
	in English.	language of	language of	native	
		English	English	language of	
		learners as a	learners as a	English	
		support	support	learners as a	
		system in	system in	support	
		their learning	their learning	system in	
		to read and	to read and	their learning	
		write in	write in	to read and	
		English.	English.	write in	
		Liigiidii.	Liigiisii.	English.	
2.3 Demonstrate	Candidate	Candidate	Candidate	Candidate	
knowledge of and a	demonstrates	demonstrates	demonstrates	demonstrates	
critical stance toward a	very strong	strong	moderate	limited or no	
wide variety of quality	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	knowledge of	
traditional print, digital,	and a critical	and a critical	and a critical	and a critical	

and online resources.	stance toward a	stance toward	stance toward	stance toward
and online resources.	wide variety of	a wide variety	a wide variety	a wide variety
	quality	of quality	of quality	of quality
	traditional print,	traditional	traditional	traditional
	digital, and	print, digital,	print, digital,	print, digital,
	online resources.	and online	and online	and online
	online resources.			
41 D	C 11.1.4	resources.	resources.	resources.
4.1a Demonstrate an	Candidate	Candidate	Candidate	Candidate did
understanding of the	demonstrated a	demonstrated	demonstrated	not
ways in which diversity	very strong	a strong	a limited	demonstrate
influences the reading	understanding of	understanding	understanding	an
and writing	the ways in	of the ways in	of the ways in	understanding
development of	which diversity	which	which	of the ways in
students, especially	influences the	diversity	diversity	which
those who struggle with	reading and	influences the	influences the	diversity
reading and writing.	writing	reading and	reading and	influences the
	development of	writing	writing	reading and
	students,	development	development	writing
	especially those	of students,	of students,	development
	who struggle	especially	especially	of students,
	with reading and	those who	those who	especially
	writing.	struggle with	struggle with	those who
		reading and	reading and	struggle with
		writing.	writing.	reading and
				writing.
4.1c Assist teachers in	Candidate	Candidate	Candidate	Candidate
understanding the	provided very	provided	provided	provided very
relationship between	strong assistance	strong	limited	limited or no
first-and second-	to teachers in	assistance to	assistance to	assistance to
language acquisition	understanding	teachers in	teachers in	teachers in
and literacy	the relationship	understanding	understanding	understanding
development.	between first-	the	the	the
	and second-	relationship	relationship	relationship
	language	between first-	between first-	between first-
	acquisition and	and second-	and second-	and second-
	literacy	language	language	language
	development.	acquisition	acquisition	acquisition
	de velopment.	and literacy	and literacy	and literacy
		development.	development.	development.
4.2b Support classroom	Candidate	Candidate	Candidate	Candidate
teachers in providing	provided very	provided	provided	provided very
differentiated	strong assistance	•	limited	limited or no
instruction and	•	strong assistance in	assistance in	assistance in
	in supporting classroom			
developing students as		supporting	supporting	supporting
agents of their own	teachers in	classroom	classroom	classroom
literacy learning.	providing	teachers in	teachers in	teachers in
	differentiated	providing	providing	providing
	instruction and	differentiated	differentiated	differentiated

	developing	instruction	instruction	instruction
	students as	and	and	and
	agents of their	developing	developing	developing
	own literacy	students as	students as	students as
	learning.	agents of their	agents of their	agents of their
		own literacy	own literacy	own literacy
		learning.	learning.	learning.
4.2e Provide support	Candidate's	Candidate's	Candidate's	Candidate's
and leadership to	work provided	work provided	work provided	work provided
educators, parents, and	very strong	strong support	limited	very limited
guardians, students, and	support and	and leadership	support and	or no support
other members of the	leadership to	to educators,	leadership to	and leadership
school community in	educators,	parents and	educators,	to educators,
valuing the	parents and	guardians,	parents and	parents and
contributions of diverse	guardians,	students, and	guardians,	guardians,
people and traditions to	students, and	other	students, and	students, and
literacy learning.	other members	members of	other	other
	of the school	the school	members of	members of
	community in	community in	the school	the school
	valuing the	valuing the	community in	community in
	contributions of	contributions	valuing the	valuing the
	diverse people	of diverse	contributions	contributions
	and traditions to	people and	of diverse	of diverse
	literacy learning.	traditions to	people and	people and
		literacy	traditions to	traditions to
		learning.	literacy	literacy
			learning.	learning.