George Mason University College of Education and Human Development

EDEP 653 Culture and Intelligence

Spring 2013

Instructor: Anthony E. Kelly, Ph.D.

Class Date & Time: Tuesday, 7:20 PM - 10:00 PM Thompson Hall 1020

Office Hours: Tuesday 4:30-5:30 Thursday: 2:30—3:30, and by appointment (993-9713;

contact by email preferred)

Office Location: West Building. Office Phone: 703-993-9713.

Email: akelly1@gmu.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Explores different theoretical perspectives on intelligence as they relate to individual and cultural differences. Explores models of intelligence drawn from studies in artificial intelligence and cognitive science. Examines issues related to heritability and measures of intelligence, and intelligence in a global, cultural context.

Prerequisite

None

TASKSTREAM REQUIREMENTS

Every student registered for any EDEP course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit this assessment to TaskStream (regardless of whether a course is an elective, a onetime course or part of an undergraduate minor). Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in TaskStream. Failure to submit the assessment to TaskStream will result in the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete(IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required TaskStream submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

REQUIRED TEXTS

- Sternberg, R. J. & Kaufmann, S. C. (Eds.) (2011). *Cambridge handbook of intelligence*. Cambridge, UK. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978 0 521 51806 2
- Sternberg, R. J. (Ed.) (2007). *Wisdom, intelligence and creativity synthesized*. Cambridge, UK. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978 052 1002714
- Goleman, D. (2006). *Emotional intelligence*. ISBN 978 055 380 4911 Bantam/Random House.
- Nisbett, R. E. (2009). *Intelligence and How to Get It: Why Schools and Cultures Count.* New York: W.W. Norton.

Recommended:

Dehaene, S. (2009). *Reading in the brain: The science and evolution of a human invention*. New York: Viking Penguin.

Sternberg, R. J. & Grigorenko, E. L. (2007). *Teaching for Successful Intelligence: To Increase Student Learning and Achievement.* Thousand Oaks, CA. Corwin Press.

Gould, S. J. (1996). The mismeasure of man. New York: W.W. Norton.

NATURE OF COURSE DELIVERY

The course is structured around readings, reflections on those readings, class projects, technology activities, and papers. This course will be taught using lectures, discussions, and relevant group activities.

STUDENT OUTCOMES

This course promotes a comprehensive view of definitions and theories of intelligence by taking a global and multi-cultural perspective. Non canonical (i.e., non Anglo-US perspectives) emphasize dimensions that consider more personal, situated and cultural aspects including theories of creativity, wisdom and happiness. The course also explores the educational implications of theories of intelligence.

- Students will be able to develop an understanding of the educational implications of theories and research on intelligence as they relate to culture
- Students will be able to understand the historical context of research on cultural differences in intelligence
- Students will be able to identify alternative assessments with racial and ethical differences in intellectual performance
- Students will be able develop a basic understanding of alternative methods and intelligence as they relate to culture
- Students will be able to discuss the educational challenges associated with assessment on intelligence
- Students will be able to understand factors associated with cultural differences in intelligence including genetics, SES, and environmental complexity
- Students will become familiar with misconceptions about cultural group differences in intelligence
- Students will be able to develop and reinforce their critical thinking, problem solving, oral and writing skills

RELATIONSHIP TO PROGRAM GOALS AND PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION

The program goals are consistent with the following Learner-centered psychological principles (APA Division 15) outlined by the American Psychological Association Presidential Task Force in Education.

- Principle 1: The Nature of Learning Process
- Principle 2: Goals of the Learning Process
- Principle 3: Construction of Knowledge
- Principle 4: Strategic Thinking
- Principle 5: Thinking about Thinking
- Principle 6: Context of Learning
- Principle 10: Developmental Influences on Learning
- Principle 11: Social Influences on Learning
- Principle 12: Individual Differences on Learning
- Principle 13: Learning and Diversity

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Article Critiques: Students will critique and evaluate four articles that examine culture and intelligence. Two should be quantitative treatments (assignment 1), the other two qualitative treatments (assignment 2). You may choose from the articles supporting the various chapters, those assigned in class (or substitute others with approval). Four pages each article, single-spaced. [CREDIT: a rubric score of 10 per article; 40 POINTS total]. Quantitative critiques due week 7, and qualitative critiques due week 11. For other articles, also consider Wicherts, J. M. (2009). The impact of papers published in Intelligence 1977-2007 and an overview of citation classics. Intelligence, 37, 443-446. DOI: 10.1016/j.intell.2009.06.004.

2. Research Paper: Students will write a comprehensive literature review and considered analysis examining how one of the themes in the Sternberg Handbook might be considered in the light of different cultural definitions of intelligence outside of the US-Anglo view as reflected through the readings and class discussions on creativity, wisdom and successful intelligence. Themes to consider include those in the readings, for example: nature vs. nurture; ethnicity and culture; test-based or psychometric models of intelligence cultural bias, culture-fair tests; gender differences within and between cultures; the use of technology/ies in determining the definition of intelligence; the role of emotions in defining intelligence; "Multiple intelligences" and classroom practices; the impact of sociocultural or situated cognition models on definitions of intelligence; wisdom traditions and definitions of intelligence; creativity and definitions of intelligence; the history of intelligence testing; intelligence testing and (issues in) special education. 20 pages, double-spaced, not including references.

Research papers must adhere to the APA Publication Manual Guidelines. [CREDIT: Rubric score of 30 POINTS] DUE: 5/14 to course Blackboard.

- 3. Presentation on student perspective on intelligence. Students will present on the topic chosen above. Based on the reading and other sources (e.g., examples of cultural practices sourced from the Internet or otherwise) the student will prepare a 30-minute presentation, which should use the following sections: (1) the perspective taken on definitions and theories of intelligence; (2) the influence(s) of this definitions on theories of intelligence; (3) current research in the topic; (4) a description of how intelligence might be measured (or not) from this perspective; (5) where this perspective leads to models that are similar to or differ from the Anglo-American perspectives, and what the implications are for theorizing about intelligence. Time will be allowed for class discussion following the presentation. [CREDIT: 20 POINTS, see Rubric]. DATE: as assigned.
- **4. Class Participation:** Because of the importance of lecture and discussion in the total learning experience, students are encouraged to both attend and participate in class regularly. Attendance, punctuality, preparation, and active contribution to small and large group efforts are essential. These elements of behavior will reflect the professional attitude implied in the course goals. If students miss a class you must notify the instructor (preferably in advance) and are responsible for completing all assignments and readings for the next class. **[CREDIT: 10 POINTS]**

TOTAL CREDIT: 100 POINTS

Letter grades will be assigned as follows:

A+ 98-100% A 93-97.49% A- 90-92.49% B+ 88-89.49% B 83-87.49% B- 80-82.49%

C 70-79.49% F below 70%

Note:

- All written assignments must be typed and must follow APA format
- Grading on written work will take into account the following factors: quality of written work, knowledge of content area, and adherence to requirements of assignment. As a graduate student, it is expected that all of your work will be turned in on the assigned dates. A late assignment is subject to a penalty of 10% of the award for every day that it is overdue.

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR ARTICLE CRITIQUES

Peer- Contains analysis of Reviewed 2 empirical studies (1) study (0) End of the studies (2) End of the studies (3) Significant errors (3) Significant errors in style (2) End of the studies (3) Significant errors in style (2) End of the studies (3) End of the studies	Criteria	Excellent (10 per	Adequate	Needs Significant
Reviewed Research (1) APA Style No significant errors (3) Contains few significant errors in style (2) Abstract Conveys clearly and sequentially the content of paper (3) Discussion of the studies Clearly analyzes studies and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows contents (1) Contains few significant errors in style (2) Contains few significant errors in style (2) Contains few significant errors in style format (1) Rey information is not included in the summary, or abstract does not provide a clear representation of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Paper conveys the main points of the		article)		
Research APA Style No significant errors (3) Contains few significant errors in style (2) Abstract Conveys clearly and sequentially the content of paper (3) Discussion of the studies Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows coherently, language No significant errors in style grifficant erro	Peer-	Contains analysis of	Contains analysis of 1	
APA Style No significant errors (3) Abstract Conveys clearly and sequentially the content of paper (3) Discussion of the studies Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper does not adhere to APA-Style format (1) Gives a general overview of paper topic, but no sequential elaboration of contents (2) Documents study design, assumptions, claims, type of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Contains few significant errors in adhere to APA-Style format (1) Key information is not included in the summary, or abstract does not provide a clear representation of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Errors in style format make it	Reviewed	2 empirical studies	study (0)	that fails to analyze
APA Style No significant errors (3) Significant errors in style (2) Significant errors in style (3) Significant errors in style (4)	Research	(1)		primary empirical
Significant errors in style (2) Significant errors in style (3) Significant errors in style (4) Significanterior (5) Significant errors in style (4) Significant errors in style (4) Significant errors in style (4) Significant err				studies (0)
Abstract Conveys clearly and sequentially the content of paper (3) Discussion of the studies Clearly analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows contents (2) Conveys clearly and sequential elaboration overview of paper topic, but no sequential elaboration of paper contents (1) Doscussion of the studies Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Style (2) Gives a general overview of paper topic, but no sequential elaboration of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Errors in style format make it	APA Style	No significant errors		Paper does not
Abstract Conveys clearly and sequentially the content of paper (3) Discussion of the studies Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows contents (2) Clearly analyzes topic, but no sequential elaboration of contents (2) Documents study does not provide a clear representation of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Rey information is not included in the summary, or abstract does not provide a clear representation of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Errors in style format make it		(3)	significant errors in	
sequentially the content of paper (3) sequentially the content of paper (3) by content of paper (3) Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Sequentially the topic, but no sequential elaboration of contents (2) Documents study design, assumptions, claims, type of evidence, and lists conclusions. Fails to analyze the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Overview of paper topic, but no summary, or abstract does not provide a clear representation of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Family analyze the studies of interpretation (3) Paper flows coherently, language Overview of paper topic, but no summary, or abstract does not provide a clear representation of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Family analyzes traction of contents (2) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Family analyzes traction of contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Family analyzes traction of contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3)				format (1)
content of paper (3) content of paper (3) topic, but no sequential elaboration of contents (2) Clearly analyzes the studies Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Clearly analyzes Study design, assumptions, claims, type of evidence, and lists conclusions. Fails to analyze the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Writing Paper flows coherently, language topic, but no sequential elaboration does not provide a clear representation of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Errors in style format make it	Abstract	Conveys clearly and	Gives a general	Key information is
sequential elaboration of contents (2) Discussion of the studies Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Primarily repeats material in the studies material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Paper flows on culture and intelligence (3) Paper conveys the main points of the		sequentially the	overview of paper	not included in the
Discussion of the studies Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Of contents (2) Clear representation of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Studies without analyzes the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Paper conveys the main points of the Clear representation of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Errors in style format make it		content of paper (3)	topic, but no	summary, or abstract
Discussion of the studies Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Clearly analyzes bounders study design, assumptions, claims, type of evidence, and lists conclusions. Fails to analyze the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Of paper contents (1) Primarily repeats material in the studies without analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Errors in style format make it			sequential elaboration	does not provide a
Discussion of the studies Clearly analyzes study design, assumptions, claims, type of quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Documents study design, assumptions, claims, type of evidence, and lists conclusions. Fails to analyze the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Paper conveys the coherently, language Documents study design, assumptions, claims, type of evidence, and lists analysis, critique or interpretation (3) Errors in style format make it			of contents (2)	clear representation
the studies study design, assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows coherently, language design, assumptions, claims, type of evidence, and lists conclusions. Fails to analyze the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Errors in style format make it				of paper contents (1)
assumptions, claims, quality of evidence, and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows coherently, language claims, type of evidence, and lists conclusions. Fails to analyze the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Errors in style format make it		Clearly analyzes	Documents study	
quality of evidence, and lists conclusions. Fails to analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows coherently, language evidence, and lists conclusions. Fails to analyze the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Errors in style format make it	the studies		1	
and conclusions. Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Paper conveys the coherently, language Conclusions. Fails to analyze the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Errors in style format make it		assumptions, claims,	claims, type of	studies without
Analyzes studies as part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Analyze the studies' claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Paper conveys the coherently, language main points of the format make it			, and the second	
part of a specified framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows coherently, language part of a specified claims within a specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) Paper conveys the coherently format make it			conclusions. Fails to	interpretation (3)
framework on culture and intelligence (5) Writing Paper flows coherently, language Proper flows main points of the specified framework on culture and intelligence (3) From Errors in style format make it		ı		
and intelligence (5) on culture and intelligence (3) Writing Paper flows Paper conveys the coherently, language main points of the format make it		*		
Writing Paper flows Paper conveys the coherently, language main points of the Frrors in style format make it			I ≛	
Writing Paper flows Paper conveys the coherently, language main points of the Errors in style format make it		and intelligence (5)		
coherently, language main points of the format make it			•	
	Writing	1 -		
is concise, thesis and topic (2) difficult to			_	
		T	topic (2)	
discussion are well- appreciate the		discussion are well-		
structured, purpose of content of this paper				content of this paper
the paper is evident (1)				(1)
(3)		· /		
Interpretations Insightful, original Analytical, draws Paper primarily	Interpretations		I = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
synthesis, goes logical conclusions repeats				-
beyond the scope of based upon evidence interpretations/			-	
the literature (5) from literature (4) conclusions of		the literature (5)	from literature (4)	
others (3)				others (3)

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR RESEARCH PAPER

Criteria	Excellent (30 points possible on Rubric)	Adequate	Needs Significant Changes
Peer-Reviewed Research	Contains references to 10 or more empirical studies (5)	Contains references to 8-9 studies (4)	Does not include at least 7 peer reviewed studies (1-3)
APA Style	No significant errors (3)	Contains few significant errors in style, reader can still interpret and appreciate the content of the paper (2)	Paper does not adhere to APA-Style format (1)
Abstract	Conveys clearly and sequentially the content of paper (5)	Gives a general overview of paper topic, but no sequential elaboration of contents (4)	Key information is not included in the summary, or abstract does not provide a clear representation of paper contents (3)
Discussion of the Literature	Insightful, and critical; clearly written, technical terms are well-defined, does not overly rely on quotes from papers or includes them strategically (5)	Clearly written, most technical terms, author includes lengthy quotes from papers, but less analytical or insightful (4)	Over reliance on quotations, little evidence of student's own analysis or synthesis of the topic (3)
Writing	Paper flows coherently, language is concise, thesis and discussion are well- structured, purpose of the paper is evident (4)	Paper adequately conveys the main points of the topic (3)	Errors in style format make it difficult to appreciate the content of this paper (1-2)
Technical Merit	Contains NO major misspellings or repetitive grammatical mistakes (3)	Contains few major misspellings or repetitive grammatical mistakes (2)	Contains major misspellings and repetitive grammatical mistakes (1)
Interpretations	Insightful, original synthesis, goes	Analytical, draws logical conclusions	Discussion mostly summarizes the main

beyond the scope of	based upon	points of the literature
the literature (5)	evidence from	to support
	literature (4)	conclusions (3)

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR PRESENTATION

Criteria	Excellent	Satisfactory	Inadequate
Time	Clearly addresses	Ends within time	Overly short or
	content within time	limit, but	overly long (time
	limit (5)	presentation not	limit not adequately
		fully finished (3-4)	considered (0-2)
Content	Central points of the	Most points	Poorly selected
	literature review are	covered, but	points or failure to
	covered coherently	sampling from the	address quantitative
	(5)	literature review is	and qualitative
		not comprehensive	papers (0-2)
		(3-4)	
Organization	Clear and coherent,	Reasonably well	Disorganized,
	easy to follow (5)	organized, but order	confusing to the
		does work well in	audience and
		the time limit (3-4)	instructor (0-2)
Oral presentation	Articulate,	Professional	Poor
	professional,	presentation, but	communication
	engaging (5)	delivery detracts	skills that detract
		from its impact (3-	significantly from
		4)	the presentation (0-
			2)

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR PARTICIPATION AND ATTENDANCE

	LEVEL OF			
	PERFORMANCE			
ELEMENT	Distinguished	Proficient	Basic	Unsatisfactory
	(9-10 pts.)	(8 pts.)	(7 pts.)	(6 or less pts.)
Attendance	The student attends	The student	The student is on	The student is
	all classes, is on	attends all	time, prepared	late for class.
&	time, is prepared	classes, is on	for class, and	Absences are
Participation	and follows	time, is prepared	participates in	not documented
_	outlined procedures	and follows	group and class	by following
10 pts.	in case of absence,	outlined	discussions. The	the procedures
Possible	the student actively	procedures in	student attends	outlined in this
	participates and	case of absence;	all classes and if	section of the
	supports the	the student	an absence	syllabus. The
	members of the	makes active	occurs, the	student is not
	learning group and	contributions to	procedure	prepared for
	the members of the	the learning	outlined in this	class and does
	class.	group and class.	section of the	not actively
			syllabus is	participate in
			followed.	discussions.

Date	Class activity	
	Readings/multimedia	Assignments
1/22 Wk 1	Introduction to course, description of syllabus, and introduction to theories of intelligence; impact of beliefs about intelligence. Intelligence as learning, seeing, hearing, and attention http://channel.nationalgeographic.com/channel/brain-games/videos/brain-games-pay-attention/ Apollo Rollins https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dTa7rC1oUnk Apollo Rollins Derren Brown http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vBPG OBgTWg swap http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YhmKYeNgZEw pay with paper, take it it's fine Listening with attention: http://thekojonnamdishow.org/shows/2012-12-27/what-makes-it-great-classical-music-and-beyond-rob-kapilow-rebroadcast http://www.npr.org/2008/10/15/94281015/over-the-rainbow-from-kansas-to-oz	
1/29 Wk 2	Selection of student topics and research papers to review. See suggested topics under Research paper , above. (class and small group discussion). Library searching, e.g., PsycInfo	
2/5 Wk 3	(The effects of beliefs in differences in intelligence. Anglo-US theories of intelligence. Spearman's g; and psychometric views of intelligence. Read: http://www.udel.edu/educ/gottfredson/reprints/2008WISC.pdf Sternberg [Wisdom]: Read Preface and Chapter 1 Nisbett: Read Chapter 1 Sternberg [Handbook]: Review chapters 2, 3, 4 Read: Heritability and mutability [Nisbett, Chapter 2] Sternberg [Handbook] Chapters 5, 18 http://www.udel.edu/educ/gottfredson/reprints/2005suppressingint elligence.pdf	Discussion of student topics and papers
2/12 Wk 4	Intelligence and cultural biases http://www.garysturt.free-online.co.uk/gould.htm http://wilderdom.com/personality/intelligenceCulturalBias.html http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/video/flv/generic.html?s =frol02p66&continuous=1 Stereotype threat: http://www.theatlantic.com/doc/199908/student-stereotype Steele Nisbett Chapter 6; Appendix B	Discussion of student topics and papers
2/19 Wk 5	Influencing intelligence via instruction and socialization Sternberg [Handbook] Chapters 6, 21, 23, 24, 37 Read article by Murayama et al., (2012):	Discussion of student topics and papers

	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/cdev.12036/abstract Nisbett Chapters 3, 4, 7, 10	
2/26	Successful Intelligence and Creativity	First article reviews
Wk 6	Sternberg [Handbook] Chapter 25, 38	due; quantitative
	Sternberg [Wisdom] Chapters 2, 3, 4, 5	(upload to BBoard)
3/5	Successful Intelligence and Creativity Continued	Discussion of
Wk 7	Sternberg [Handbook] Chapters 11, 12, 22	student topics and
		papers
3/12	Spring Break –	
3/19	Wisdom 1. Expanding definitions of intelligence to include	Discussion of
Wk 8	models of wisdom within a framework of "successful intelligence"	student topics and
	Sternberg [Wisdom] Chapters 6, 7, 8	papers
	Sternberg [Handbook] Chapter 40	pupers
	Internet shared data and wisdom	
	http://edr.sagepub.com/content/38/4/260.full?ijkey=2meEfXuohZ	
	FV2&keytype=ref&siteid=spedr	
	· 2 · 2 · . · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3/26	Emotional intelligence 1	Discussion of
Wk 9	Sternberg [Handbook] Chapter 26	student topics and
	Goleman Chapters 3-8, 15; Appendices A-C	papers
	1 / / 11	
4/2	Emotional intelligence 2	Discussion of
Wk	Goleman Chapters 9, 10, 11; 13, 16	student topics and
10	http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2011/03/22/1102693108.abstra	papers
	ct [Kross et al., (2011) rejection and pain]	
	http://www.plosone.org/article/info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0048	
	076 [Lyons & Beilock (2012). Mathematics anxiety and pain]	
	Wisdom traditions	
4.00	Y . 11	0 1 1 1
4/9	Intelligence and non Anglo-US cultures	Second article
Wk	Sternberg [Handbook] Chapters 29, 31, 33	reviews due;
11	Nisbett Chapters 8, 9	qualitative (upload
		to BBoard)
4/16	Review of course material to date	
Wk	Preparation for student reports	
12	Troparation for student reports	
4/23	Student Reports: perspectives on intelligence	
Wk	5 presentations (20 mins + 10 mins Q&A)	
13	r r	
4/30	Student Reports: perspectives on intelligence	
Wk	AERA 5 presentations (20 mins + 10 mins Q&A)	
14		
5/7	Student Reports: perspectives on intelligence	Final research
Wk	5 presentations (20 mins + 10 mins Q&A)	paper due, 5/14
15	Last day of classes	Upload to BBoard
		Subject: "EDEP
		652 Spring 2013
		<your name="">"</your>

GMU POLICIES AND RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS

- a. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See http://oai.gmu.edu/honorcode/].
- b. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/1301gen.html].
- c. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- d. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students personal experience and academic performance [See http://caps.gmu.edu/].
- e. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform teir instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See http://ods.gmu.edu/].
- f. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- g. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].

Revised 12/18/12

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

PROFESSIONAL DISPOSITIONS

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

CORE VALUES COMMITMENT

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/

Watch: http://videolectures.net/aaai2012_tenenbaum_grow_mind/