George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Graduate School of Education

EDCI 545: Assessment and Differentiation

Summer B 2013
Tuesday/Thursday 4:30-7:10 pm, West Bldg. 1007
June 4 – July 18

Instructor: Dr. Shannon King Office: N/A Phone: (703) 409-5522

Email: shannon.rae.king@gmail.com **Skype ID:** drshannonking **Office Hours:** By appointment; Skype/Facetime appointments can be made

"Everybody is a genius. But if you judge a fish by its ability to climb a tree, it will live its whole life believing that it is stupid" (Einstein)

Course Description

Provides a research-based introduction to differentiated instruction for children in grades PK-6. Emphasis on the assessment of learners and differentiation of instruction to meet the needs of all learners. Prerequisites: Admission to the program and taken in program sequence.

Learning Outcomes

- 1. Students will be able to discuss current, validated research underlying the theory, principles, and practices of differentiated instruction.
- 2. Students will be able to identify and explain the core principles of differentiated instruction and the ways in which these principles inform and guide all aspects of instructional implementation.
- 3. Students will be able to apply the core principles of differentiation when planning and assessing lessons.
- 4. Students will be able to discuss the interdependent relationship between assessment and instruction in a learning environment.
- 5. Students will be able to identify formal and informal assessment tools to collect data on the readiness, interests, and learning profiles of students as the basis for differentiation before and during instruction.
- 6. Students will be able to identify and discuss strategies for assessment and grading in a differentiated classroom.
- 7. Students will be able to generalize course content to reflect how the multicultural, special needs, gifted students and other diverse populations within classrooms have their needs met via the application of the skills, strategies, and knowledge of this course.

Nature of Course

This course is structured to utilize multiple instruction formats. We will engage in face-to-face class sessions, as well as regularly scheduled online activities. Face to face class sessions will include small/large group discussions and tasks, videos, lecture, and student-led discussions.

King

Standards Addressed in Course

INTASC 3 Diverse Learners INTASC 7 Planning INTASC 8 Assessment

ISTE NETS for Teachers

- 2. Design and Develop Digital-Age Learning Experiences and Assessments

 Teachers design, develop, and evaluate authentic learning experiences and assessment incorporating contemporary tools and resources to maximize content learning in context and to develop the knowledge, skills, and attitudes identified in the NETS•S. Teachers:
 - a. design or adapt relevant learning experiences that incorporate digital tools and resources to promote student learning and creativity.
 - b. develop technology-enriched learning environments that enable all students to pursue their individual curiosities and become active participants in setting their own educational goals, managing their own learning, and assessing their own progress.
 - c. customize and personalize learning activities to address students' diverse learning styles, working strategies, and abilities using digital tools and resources.
 - d. provide students with multiple and varied formative and summative assessments aligned with content and technology standards and use resulting data to inform learning and teaching
- 5. Engage in Professional Growth and Leadership

Teachers continuously improve their professional practice, model lifelong learning, and exhibit leadership in their school and professional community by promoting and demonstrating the effective use of digital tools and resources. Teachers:

- a. participate in local and global learning communities to explore creative applications of technology to improve student learning.
- b. exhibit leadership by demonstrating a vision of technology infusion, participating in shared decision making and community building, and developing the leadership and technology skills of others.
- c. evaluate and reflect on current research and professional practice on a regular basis to make effective use of existing and emerging digital tools and resources in support of student learning.
- d. contribute to the effectiveness, vitality, and self-renewal of the teaching profession and of their school and community.

Required Texts

Burke, K. (2009). How to assess authentic learning (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Tomlinson, C. A. (2005). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed ability classrooms* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Additional readings are posted on Blackboard

Assignments

Brief assignment descriptions follow. Details will be given in class. The *Planning for Differentiated Instruction and Assessing Student Learning (PBAs)* rubrics are attached to the end of this syllabus.

Outcomes	Assignment	Points
1, 2	Readings and Activities (In-class and Online)	20
3, 7	Lesson Redesign Differentiated Task/Strategy	5
	Resource Contribution	5
4, 5, 6	Assessment Jigsaw	15
1, 3, 5, 7	Planning for Differentiated Instruction (PBA #1)	30
	Partner lesson plan feedback	5
4	Assessing Student Learning (PBA #2)	20
		100

Readings and Activities (In-class and Online)

The readings for the course are essential to your learning. They provide you with the theory necessary to implement, with meaning, the practical strategies involved in differentiating instruction. It is expected that each week you will read the assigned selections and that you will participate with your peers in discussion of the readings. Each week you are expected to be in class and to have read and completed any assigned tasks. If you are not prepared and/or present, it affects not just your own learning, but that of your peers.

Resource Contribution

We will create a course repository for resources you find useful to assessment and differentiation. These can be documents you create or find, books, links to useful websites, and/or academic journal articles. You are expected to post to the repository at least once throughout the semester. For each resource added, you must include a brief annotation so that readers will know what the resource is and how it could be potentially useful to them. Include in your annotation:

- Resource name and description
- Why it could be (or is) potentially useful to differentiating instruction and assessment (have you applied/learned something from it?)
- Where the resource lacks/things to be critical of when reviewing the resource (connect to readings/class work and experience, if applicable)

Assessment Jigsaw

With a group of 3-4, you will be assigned an assessment technique (Rubrics, Checklists, Performance Tasks, Metacognitive Strategies, Graphic Organizers, Teacher-Made Tests, or Informal Checks for Understanding). Your job will be to research the technique (utilizing class text <u>AND</u> external resources) and then *teach* the class about it and how to effectively use it for instruction. You will create a handout for the class and submit it to Blackboard before class on your assigned day. Only one person from your group should submit. Include all group members' last names in the file name. In the assignment submission box, include ONE question about your assessment technique for inclusion on a quiz.

Lesson Redesign

Often high-prep differentiation can be the most difficult to wrap our heads around, yet used in conjunction with low-prep strategies during a lesson, often holds the most promise for meaningful student learning. For your task, you will revise a previously created lesson to include one low-prep differentiated strategy and one high-prep differentiated task. Possible high-prep differentiated tasks include:

Tic-Tac-Toe Board Tiered centers
Learning Menu Literature circles
Curriculum Compact Jigsaw
R.A.F.T. Cubing

You must include: complete lesson plan and mock-up of the high-prep task, description of the low-prep strategy(ies) and how it would be employed, and a rationale for your decisions that includes how the task and strategy are appropriate to the objective(s) and identifies what has been differentiated and by how.

PBA #1: Planning for Differentiated Instruction

Using the skills in assessment and differentiation that you have developed, you will create a formal lesson plan sequence that details three days of instruction in a core subject area (towards the end of the semester). Your plan will include an overarching goal for the lessons, measurable sub-objectives, learning standards, grouping methods, activities, and detailed evaluation methods. You will include appropriate references justifying your decisions throughout the plan. A lesson plan template will be provided in class. You will receive feedback from your instructor as well as work with a critical friend to collaboratively refine your lesson sequence. You will then implement the sequence and, if teaching, collect data of student learning for analysis. A detailed description and rubric for evaluation of this task is included at the end of the syllabus.

*Partner lesson plan feedback

After draft Lessons 1 and 2 are each submitted, you will offer supportive critical feedback to a partner to help them refine their use of assessment and differentiation in their lesson sequence. You will submit your Critical Friend's Feedback to Blackboard when you submit your PBA to TaskStream.

PBA #2: Assessing Student Learning

Using the skills in assessment that you have developed, you will analyze the student learning data collected from your lesson sequence. You will be expected to examine it to such a level that you are able to identify areas of strength and weakness for individual students while also identifying learning trends across the classroom. A detailed description and rubric for evaluation of this task is included at the end of the syllabus.

Grading Scale

A=93-100; A-=90-92; B+=87-89; B=80-86; C=70-79; F=below 70

Note:

Assignments earning less than a passing grade may be rewritten and resubmitted so that the assignment is satisfactorily completed. In fact, because learning is the goal, I may *require* you to redo an assignment that is far below expectations.

Late work will NOT be accepted without prior approval by your instructor. <u>Any assignment submitted</u> past the syllabus deadline without prior approval will earn 0 points.

All written papers must be double spaced, with 1" margins, and in 12-point font (Times New Roman, Calibri, or Arial).

Your assignments are only accepted via Blackboard (except the PBA- that is uploaded to TaskStream). You must name your file in the following way:

Yourlastname_assignment

For example, for the assessment jigsaw assignment, mine would be: dodman_jigsaw

TaskStream Requirements

Every student registered for any Elementary Education course with a required performance-based assessment (designated as such in the syllabus) is required to submit this assessment (EDCI 545: Planning for Differentiated Instruction and Assessing Student Learning) to TaskStream (regardless of whether a course is an elective, a onetime course or part of an undergraduate minor). Evaluation of your performance-based assessment will also be provided using TaskStream. Failure to submit the assessment to TaskStream will result in a the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete(IN). Unless this grade is changed upon completion of the required TaskStream submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

- a) Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See http://oai.gmu.edu/honor-code/].
- b) Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/1301gen.html].
- c) Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it

- regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- d) The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See http://caps.gmu.edu/].
- e) Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See http://ods.gmu.edu/].
- f) Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- g) The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See http://gse.gmu.edu/].

EDCI 545-SumB

EDCI 545 Summer B 13 Course Calendar

Week	Date	Торіс	Reading/Assignment Due	Weekly Due Dates (outside of F2F class time)	
	Tu June 4 ONLINE	Online Introductions What does differentiated instruction mean? For whom are we teaching? Your learning profile	Pre-Course Survey Read: Tomlinson, 1999	By Wednesday 6/5 midnight: Take learning profile survey (on BB)	
1	TH June 6	Face to face introductions © Digging in deeper Rationale Misconceptions Differentiation v. accommodations	Tomlinson, Ch. 1-4		
	Tu June 11 ONLINE	 Defining assessment What is the role of assessment? Effective and equitable assessment Before and during-lesson assessment 	Burke, Introduction & Ch. 1 Chappuis & Stiggins (pdf)		
2	Th June 13	Differentiating by Readiness, Interest, and Profile • Pre-assessments • Learning about our students as people who learn	Tomlinson, Ch. 8-10 Burke, Ch. 2		
3	Tu June 18	Differentiating by Content, Process, and Product Presentation, grouping/task, outputs Learning community Anchor activities and menus Lesson Redesign: bring previously written LP	Tomlinson, Ch. 11-13 and 5-7	By Friday 6/21 midnight: Lesson Redesign Due (submit to BB) By Sunday June 22 midnight: Midterm Quiz! (on BB)	
	Th June 20	Assessment How do I know if they know? Objective alignment Aligning formal and informal assessment	Wiggins & McTighe (pdf) Choose Continuous Assessment video from http://differentiationcentral.com/videos2.h tml		

4	Tu June 25	Exploring Assessment Techniques (jigsaw)	Read chapter relevant to your jigsaw: • Burke, Ch. 4 and 5	By Friday 6/28 midnight: 3 lesson overview and Lesson Plan 1 Draft Due (submit to BB and	
	Th June 27	 Exploring Assessment Techniques (jigsaw) Metacognitive Strategies Graphic Organizers Teacher made tests Informal Checks for Understanding 	Read chapter relevant to your jigsaw: Burke, Ch. 6-8 Fisher & Frey, Ch. 2-3	email to your CritFr) By Monday 7/1 midnight: Feedback to your critical friend	
5	Tu July 2 <u>ONLINE</u>	Catch up on tasks due		By Monday 7/8 midnight Lesson Plan 2 Draft Due (submit to BB and email to your CritFr)	
	Th July 4	No Class- INDEPENDENCE DAY			
	Tu July 9	Planning for Student Diversity • English Language Learners	Lenski et al (pdf) Hoover & Patton (pdf)		
6	Th July 11	 Planning for Student Diversity DI and Response to Intervention Students with Disabilities Gifted Students 	Bender & Shores, Ch. 3 and 4 (pdf) King-Sears (pdf)	By Thur 7/11 midnight: Feedback to your critical friend	
	Tu July 16	 Using Assessment Data to plan Analyzing assessments Making next step decisions 		By Thursday 7/18 midnight: PBA #1 Due- all 3 lessons (submit to TaskStream and post a link	
7	Th July 18	 Grading Organizing for reporting Standards based report cards Statement of intention Reaffirming core principles 	Tomlinson, Ch. 14 Tomlinson & Imbeau, Ch. 7 (pdf) FCPS Report Card site	online) By Sunday 7/21 midnight online task (on BB) & Final Quiz!	
8	M July 22	Submit PBA #2: Assessment Analysis (submit to TaskStream)			

Note: Syllabus and Calendar are tentative and may be modified in line with course needs.

Task Description 1: Planning

Create a series of <u>three</u> lessons that effectively differentiate instruction for various groups of students in a classroom. You will design these lessons to promote equity in learning opportunities for all students. This means that intentional decisions will need to be made to consider student readiness, interests, and learning profiles. You will need to consider how content, process, and/or products of the lesson will be different groups of students depending on their strengths.

In order to plan effective instruction, in this assignment - three consecutive lesson plans, you will need to know students academically, personally, and socially. If you are not currently teaching full-time, a composite profile representing a diverse third grade classroom will be provided to you. If you are a practicing teacher or currently in your internship, you will receive blank student profiles to complete with your own classroom data. In each case, composites will include a variety of information for each student that will aid you in making instructional decisions. Using the composite data, you will prepare lesson plans that support the learning of all students by effectively differentiating instruction to target students' strengths to meet their needs*. For each part of your lesson plan, you will describe in detail the rationale for designing your lesson plan using relevant course readings and research literature (e.g., literature in Differentiated Instruction, assessment, effective literacy instruction, and effective instruction for specific student groups) to support your decisions.

This task will engage you in a feedback cycle of professional development. For these purposes, your professional development feedback cycle will involve formative reviews of your first two lesson plans to support your final submission, the third lesson plan. Specifically, you will create the first lesson towards the beginning of the semester, the second lesson towards the middle of the semester, and the final lesson at the end of the semester. After the submission of each lesson design, you will receive feedback from your instructor and your peers related to your utilization of learning theory, differentiation in practice, and assessment. It is expected that after receiving feedback on each lesson plan, you will use the feedback to drive the construction of your next lesson plan. Because this assignment involves several formative assessments, your final grade for the task will be earned after you create your **third lesson**.

Each lesson will have its own objectives for student learning, but all lessons need to be conceptually connected by a large overarching question (e.g., "How do good readers make sense of expository text?"). It is expected that the lessons act as the beginning to a larger "unit" of study.

Lesson plans should contain all sections of the elementary program lesson plan template provided to you.

*Assignment notes:

- For students who are currently teaching full time, the lesson series will need to be an actual series that you will be teaching in your curriculum towards the end of the semester.
- For you student profiles, if you teach multiple blocks of students, choose the one to whom you
 will teach your sequence. Your student profiles must be from the class for whom you plan your
 lessons.)

PBA Task 1 Rubric: Planning

Criteria	Exceeds Requirements (A) 5 Points	Meets Requirements (A-, B+, B) 2-4 Points	Needs Improvement (C and below) 0-1 Points	Weight
Objectives ACEI 3.1	There is a clear overarching conceptual question for the three plans. The objectives clearly state what students will do and learn during each lesson. The objectives clearly state the content/essential understandings of the lesson sequence and individual lessons. The objectives target appropriate higher order and real life learning opportunities. The objectives are tied to state/national standards. As necessary, multiple subobjectives are stated representing differentiation.	The majority of the objectives state what students will do during each lesson. The majority of the objectives are tied to state/national standards. The majority of the objectives are tied to assessment and it is clear how the learning will be assessed.	No objectives are stated or inappropriate objectives are used. Objectives are not distinguishable from state/national standards. Few of the objectives are tied to the assessment. It is not clear how learning will be assessed.	.05
Materials ACEI 3.1	A list of materials necessary for each lesson is included. Copies of the materials are included as possible. A variety of materials are used in each lesson (manipulatives, technology, etc.). Appropriate materials are selected for the concepts being taught. Worksheets, if used, are generally used in ways that promote higher order thinking. Materials are differentiated as appropriate.	A partial list of necessary materials is provided. A copy of some of the materials is provided. There is a lack of variety of materials used. Most of the materials are appropriate for the concepts being taught, but some need more modifications.	No list of materials is provided or materials chosen are not appropriate for the concepts being taught. The materials chosen do not reflect differentiation. The lessons rely on worksheets. Materials are not differentiated.	.05
Procedures (includes Technology Integration as appropriate) ACEI 3.1	The lesson sequence is substantive in length, breadth, and depth. The sequence should be so explicit that a substitute could teach from the plan. Actions are described throughout each lesson (e.g., lesson does not merely state "review lesson from yesterday" but describes HOW you plan to review and WHAT content you plan to review)	The lesson sequence is adequate in length, breadth, and depth. The majority of the procedures outline what the teacher will do during the lessons, but parts are vague and unclear. The majority of the procedures outline what students will do during the lessons, but parts are vague and unclear. Estimated times are provided, but seem unreasonable (either too short or too long). There is a lack of	The lesson sequence is not adequate in length, breadth, or depth. It is not clear what the teacher will do during the lessons. It is not clear what the students will do during the lessons. Estimated times are not provided. No questions or content the teacher uses during the lessons are included in the procedures. The procedures do not include an introduction for activating prior knowledge or a plan for	.2

	The procedures thoroughly and completely outline what the teacher will do during the lessons: How will you present and guide the lesson? The procedures thoroughly outline what the students will do during the lesson. Estimated times for each phase are provided. Important questions to ask during the lesson are included. The procedures include an introduction for surfacing and activating prior knowledge. The procedures include a plan for closing the unit and checking for understanding. If you have different groups doing different activities, each group's activity is clearly explained.	teacher questions. The procedures include either an introduction for activating prior knowledge or a plan for closing the lessons and checking for understanding, but not both. There is a general lack of specificity across the lessons.	closing the lessons and checking for understanding. There are many omissions of key lesson components across the lessons.	
Assessment ACEI 4.0	The assessment methods directly relate to the objectives. A <u>variety</u> of formal and informal assessments are described for before, during, and after the lesson. The assessment is differentiated as necessary. Assessment descriptions include exactly how each method will contribute to student learning evidence for your (1) Conceptual question and (2) your lesson specific measurable learning objectives.	A variety of formal and informal assessments are listed in each lesson, but descriptions are vague and may only vaguely tie to lesson objectives. The assessment is differentiated as necessary. Learning of each and all students is clearly able to be demonstrated by the methods selected.	Formal <i>or</i> informal assessments are listed in each lesson. Descriptions may not be included or be vague. The assessment is not differentiated as necessary. It is not clear what the students will do to demonstrate their understanding in the lessons.	.3

Differentiation ACEI 3.2	Identifies and then explains how the lesson is organized to address the interests, readiness, and/or learning profiles of the students. Provides a strong rationale for differentiation decisions that provides clear reference to literature. Names and then explains how the features of the lesson address the 2nd means of differentiation (content, process, and/or product). Provides a strong rationale for differentiation decisions that provides clear reference to literature. Differentiation described here is evident throughout procedures.	Identifies and then explains how the lesson is organized to address the interests, readiness, and/or learning profiles of the students. Provides an adequate rationale for differentiation decisions that provides some, but limited, reference to literature. Names and then explains how the features of the lesson address the 2nd means of differentiation (content, process, and/or product). Provides an adequate rationale for differentiation decisions that provides some, but limited, reference to literature. Differentiation described here is somewhat evident throughout procedures.	Identifies but does not explain how the lesson is organized to address the interests, readiness, and/or learning profiles of the students. Provides no, or very weak, rationale for differentiation decisions that provides no reference to literature. Identifies but does not explain how the features of the lesson address the 2nd means of differentiation (content, process, and/or product). Provides no, or very weak, rationale for differentiation decisions that provides no reference to literature. May confuse differentiation with accommodations. Differentiation described here is not evident throughout procedures.	.35
Accommodations ACEI 3.2	Identifies and describes students who need individualized special support to be successful during instruction. Lists the specific accommodations planned for each of these unique learners, whether they need special accommodations for a learning disability, for language development, for attention problems, for behavioral support, for giftedness, etc. It is clear from the description that accommodations are distinct from the differentiated instruction planned in the lessons.	Identifies and vaguely describes students who need individualized special support to be successful during instruction. Lists the specific accommodations planned for each of these unique learners. It is clear from the description that accommodations are distinct from the differentiated instruction planned in the lessons.	Does not identify or describe students who need individualized special support to be successful during instruction. Does not list the specific accommodations planned for each of these unique learners. It is <i>not</i> clear from the description that accommodations are distinct from the differentiated instruction planned in the lessons.	.05

Task Description 2: Assessing

*Note this task may or may not be directly related to the planning from Part I.

Given an assessment of student learning, you will analyze student performance related to a lesson's objectives and sub-objectives. You will go beyond merely attending to percentage correct/incorrect of the assignment and instead will "break the assessment down" to its skills and subskills.

First, you will evaluate what the student demonstrated that he/she knew or did not know within each objective. Second, you will pose implications for further instruction based on your analysis.

PBA Task 2 Rubric: Assessing

Criteria	Exceeds Requirements (A) 10 Points	Meets Requirements (A-, B+, B) 8-9 Points	Needs Improvement (C and below) 7 Points and Below
Analysis of learning ACEI 4.0	Analyzes each piece of student learning evidence. The analysis goes beyond identification of correct/incorrect. Student learning is analyzed in terms of skills and sub-skills. The analysis identifies patterns and trends within and among students. The data is clearly analyzed in terms of instructional objectives.	Analyzes each piece of student learning evidence. The analysis goes beyond identification of correct/incorrect but student learning is only weakly analyzed in terms of skills and sub-skills. The analysis is weak in identification of patterns and trends within and among students. The data is only vaguely analyzed in terms of instructional objectives.	Does not analyze each piece of student learning evidence. The analysis does not go beyond identification of correct/ incorrect. The analysis is missing identification of patterns and trends within and among students. The data is not analyzed in terms of instructional objectives.
Implications for learning and instruction ACEI 4.0	Implications for instruction are thoroughly discussed. The implications are explicitly tied to the learning analysis. Implications include both student learning implications and instructional implications. If the lesson was taught, how differentiation decisions did/did not impact learners is explored.	Implications for instruction are vaguely discussed. The implications are somewhat tied to the learning analysis. Implications include either student learning implications or instructional implications. If the lesson was taught, how differentiation decisions did/did not impact learners is somewhat explored.	Implications for instruction are not discussed, or are discussed very limitedly. If the lesson was taught, how differentiation decisions did/did not impact learners is not, or is only very limitedly, explored.

- 3.1 Integrating and applying knowledge for instruction: Candidates plan and implement instruction based on knowledge of students, learning theory, connection across the curriculum, curricular goals, and community3.2 Adaptation to Diverse Learners: Candidates understand how elementary students differ in their development and approaches to learning, and create instructional opportunities that are adapted to diverse students
- 4.0 Assessment for Instruction: Candidates know, understand, and use formal and informal assessment strategies to plan, evaluate, and strengthen instruction that will promote continuous intellectual, social, emotional, and physical development of each elementary student