

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT
Division of Learning Technologies
EDIT 802 (3 credits)
Cognition and Technology: A Multidisciplinary Approach
Fall 2013
Thursdays 4:30-7:10 pm
Thompson Hall, Room L018

Professor: Dr. Nada Dabbagh
Office phone: (703) 993-4439
Office location: Thompson, L047
Office hours: by appointment
Email address: ndabbagh@gmu.edu

PREREQUISITES: Completion of LTDR specialization area or equivalent

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course examines learning interactions between cognition and technology using multiple disciplinary perspectives including, cognitive science, psychology, neuroscience, education, design theory, instructional design, technology design, anthropology, sociology, information science, philosophy, semiotics, linguistics and other applicable fields.

COURSE GOALS

The course focuses on the multidisciplinary exploration of cognition and technology. Although, central to doctoral study in Learning Technologies Design Research (LTDR), students from other doctoral programs including education, computer science, psychology, philosophy, sociology, and anthropology are encouraged to participate. The course is designed to provide an opportunity for doctoral students to investigate and discuss the multiple learning sciences disciplines that guide our understanding of human learning and cognition.

NATURE OF COURSE DELIVERY

The class format is a mixture of lectures, discussions, and group activities. Course delivery is both face-to-face and online (approximately 60-40%). Students will share multidisciplinary perspectives through in-class and online discussion/blogs of readings, conduct research on the affordances of learning technologies, contribute to an online knowledge base, and work collaboratively on interdisciplinary projects. Special emphasis may be placed on a specific learning sciences discipline in a particular semester. Such emphasis will depend on the individual student or instructor's research area or collective interests. Several technologies will be used to generate course content and document student learning and contributions.

LEARNER OUTCOMES

- Understand the multidisciplinary nature of human learning and cognition and its impact on learning technologies from a learning sciences perspective
- Understand how knowledge is constructed, shared, internalized, and mediated through each of the perspectives examined
- Understand the theory of affordances and its impact on the design of technology-supported learning environments
- Examine the interactions between technology and cognition and the affordances that this interaction enables
- Analyze a variety of technology supported learning environments to determine the demands they place on human learning and cognition and the ways in which the human cognitive system responds in these environments
- Improve formal and informal learning environments in virtual and physical settings by generating design principles based on the theories examined

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

The learning outcomes for this course align with the Design Standard for programs in Educational Communications and Instructional Technologies as established by the Association of Educational Communication and Technologies (AECT).

Standard 1 – Design

- 1.1.b Identify theories from which a variety of instructional design models are derived and the consequent implications.
- 1.1.2.a Demonstrate in-depth synthesis and evaluation of the theoretical constructs and research methodologies related to instructional design as applied in multiple contexts.
- 1.1.3.b Utilize the research, theoretical, and practitioner foundations of the field in the development of instructional materials.
- 1.1.4.a Conduct basic and applied research related to technology integration and implementation.
- 1.1.5.c Articulate the relationship within the discipline among theory, research, and practice as well as the interrelationships among people, processes, and devices.
- 1.3.a Identify multiple instructional strategy models and demonstrate appropriate contextualized application within practice and field experiences.

REQUIRED TEXTS

Theoretical Foundations of Learning Environments (Jonassen & Land, Editors), **second edition**, 2012, ISBN-10: **0415894220** | ISBN-13: **978-0415894227**

The Design of Everyday Things, Donald Norman, 2002 edition, ISBN-10: 0-465-06710-7/ISBN-13: 978-0-465-06710-7

Designs for Learning Environments of the Future: International Perspectives from the Learning Sciences (Jacobson and Reinmann, Editors), Publication Date: **February 19, 2010** | ISBN-10: **0387882782** | ISBN-13: **978-0387882789** | Edition: **1st Edition**.

ARTICLES (see course website for links)

Norman, D.A. (1999). Affordance, convention and design.

Greeno, J. (1994). Gibson's affordances. *Psychological Review*, 101(2), 336-342.

Bower, M. (2008). Affordance analysis – matching learning tasks with learning technologies. *Educational Media International*, 45(1), 3-15.

Hartson, H. (2003). Cognitive, physical, sensory, and functional affordances in interaction design. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 22(5), 315-338.

Gaver, W.W. (1991). Technology affordances. *CHI '91 Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems: Reaching through technology*. New Orleans, USA.

Additional articles are available on the course website. Students are encouraged to contribute additional articles to help build the knowledge base of this course.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS/ASSIGNMENTS

There are three main course requirements or performance-based assessments:

- (1) **Class Participation and Contributions (20%):** Effective class participation involves not only preparation and communication skills, but also listening skills, contributing to the online knowledge base, and commenting on peers' contributions both in-class and online. Specifically, students must make significant contributions towards building a shared interpretation of the readings and theories being discussed individually and collaboratively. This includes participation in class discussion and in critical analysis of the readings. Students are also expected to contribute analytic comments on the readings throughout the semester using a blogging platform (e.g., WordPress) or discussion forum as assigned.
- (2) **Affordance Analysis of Learning Technologies (40%):** Each student will build a personal learning environment or PLE using a platform called *Reclaim Hosting* to explore a variety of open source technologies and critically examine their cognitive affordances and learning design capabilities. The PLE will be your sandbox or web space allowing experimentation and control of a variety of technologies and web services. As you build this PLE, you will develop cognitive affordance criteria for each technology and ultimately a comprehensive list of technology affordances that can be used to analyze the cognitive affordances and learning interactions of a technology supported learning environment or TSLE.
- (3) **Learning Analysis of a TSLE (40%):** Students will select an existing and available TSLE developed by cognitive scientists (the readings are a good source for this) or a TSLE known to the student and will use the criteria developed in assignment #2 to analyze the cognitive affordances of the TSLE resulting in a comprehensive analytical review of the TSLE and the provision of substantiated recommendations for improving the design of the TSLE. The analysis should include: (a) a brief introduction to the analysis, (b) description of the TSLE, (c) description of the technologies used in the TSLE, (d) description of the analysis process, (e) description of the results, and (f) conclusions and recommendations.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Participation rubric for both in-class and online participation and contributions (20%):

- *Outstanding contributor*: contributions reflect exceptional preparation. Ideas offered are always substantive, providing one or more major insights as well as direction for the class. Frequent references are made to the readings and/or to knowledge from other sources, often showing the ability to generalize or extend the material under discussion. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion and knowledge building would be diminished markedly.
- *Good contributor*: contributions reflect thorough preparation. Ideas offered are usually substantive, providing good insights and sometimes direction for the class. Occasional references are made to the readings and/or to knowledge from other sources, sometimes showing the ability to generalize or extend the material under discussion. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would be diminished.
- *Adequate contributor*: contributions reflect satisfactory preparation. Ideas offered are sometimes substantive, providing some useful insights but seldom offer new direction for the discussion. Some references are made to the readings and/or to knowledge from other sources but seldom generalize or extend the material under discussion. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would be diminished somewhat.
- *Unsatisfactory contributor*: Contributions reflect inadequate preparation and/or there is little contributions in class or online. Ideas offered are seldom substantive, providing few insights and no direction for the class. References to readings are rare or non-existent. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion and knowledge building would be unchanged.

Point assessment for Class Participation and Contributions (20%):

	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	Category 4
Criteria	Unsatisfactory Contributor	Adequate Contributor	Good Contributor	Outstanding Contributor
In-class participation	5-6	7	8	9-10
Online participation	5-6	7	8	9-10
Score	10-12	13-14	15-17	18-20

Rubric for Affordance Analysis of Learning Technologies (40%):

	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3
Criteria	Unsatisfactory Analysis	Good Analysis	Excellent Analysis
Cognitive affordances of selected technology are reflective of the selected technology, and grounded in cognitive science	5-6	7-8	9-10
Contributions to the knowledge base are ongoing, collaborative, and demonstrate critical analysis of the learning technology	5-6	7-8	9-10
PLE development is progressive, reflective, collaborative, and invites peer feedback	5-6	7-8	9-10
Collective list of affordance criteria is comprehensive	5-6	7-8	9-10
SCORE	20-24	25-35	36-40

Rubric for Learning Analysis of a TSLE (40%):

	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3
Criteria	Unsatisfactory Analysis	Good Analysis	Excellent Analysis
All components of the analysis are substantively addressed	5-6	7-8	9-10
Cognitive affordances criteria are used to analyze the TSLE, analysis process is clearly documented	5-6	7-8	9-10
Results of the cognitive analysis are clearly documented and used to provide recommendations for improving the design of the TSLE	5-6	7-8	9-10
Evidence of team collaboration on every aspect of this analysis	5-6	7-8	9-10
SCORE	20-24	25-35	36-40

Grading scale:

A = 94-100; A - = 90-93; B+ = 86-89; B = 83-85; B- = 80-82; C = 70-79; F = <70

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY POLICIES AND RESSOURCES FOR STUDENTS

Student Expectations

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See <http://oai.gmu.edu/honor-code/>].
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See <http://ods.gmu.edu/>].
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/>].
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.
- The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles.

Campus Resources

- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See <http://caps.gmu.edu/>].
- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See <http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/>].
- For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See <http://gse.gmu.edu/>].

EDIT 802 - Fall 2013
PROPOSED CLASS SCHEDULE

Date	Topics/Activities/Assignments/Due Dates	Readings for Next Class
Week 1 Aug. 29 F2F	Intro to course Setup the PLE through Reclaim Hosting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greeno (1994). Gibson's affordances • Norman, D.A. (1999). Affordance, convention and design
Week 2 Sept. 5 F2F	Affordances <i>Blog contribution on week 1 readings due Wednesday Sept. 5</i> <i>Demo PLE</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gaver, W.W. (1991). Technology affordances • Hartson, H. (2003). Cognitive, physical, sensory, and ... • Bower, M. (2008). Affordance analysis
Week 3 Sept. 12 F2F	Affordances <i>Start list of affordances for PLE technologies based on week 2 readings</i> Discuss week 2 readings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Design of Everyday Things (textbook)
Week 4 Sept. 19 Online	Affordances & Design <i>Peer critique on blogs due</i> <i>List of LT affordances due</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Design of Everyday Things (textbook)
Week 5 Sept. 26 F2F	Affordances & Design <i>Blog contribution on assigned DOET chapters due Wednesday Sept. 25 (use as talking points)</i> <i>Informal presentation on LT analysis</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapters 1 & 2 in Theoretical Foundations of Learning Environments (textbook)
Week 6 Oct. 3 Online	Learning, Cognition, & Technology <i>Refine LT affordance analysis</i> <i>Peer critique on blogs due</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapters 4 & 6 in Theoretical Foundations of Learning Environments (textbook)
Week 7 Oct. 10 F2F	Learning, Cognition, & Technology <i>Formal Presentation on LT Analysis</i> Discuss week 5&6 readings in class	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapters 7 & 9 in Theoretical Foundations of Learning Environments (textbook)
Week 8 Oct. 17 F2F	Learning, Cognition, & Technology <i>Develop cognitive affordance (CA) criteria</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapter 10 in Theoretical Foundations of Learning Environments (textbook)
Week 9 Oct. 24 F2F	Learning, Cognition, & Technology <i>Refine CA criteria/integrate new concepts from readings</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapters 1 & 2 in Designs for Learning Environments of the Future (textbook)
Week 10 Oct. 31 Online	Designs for Learning Environments <i>Blog contribution due on week 9 readings</i> <i>Refine CA criteria</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapters 4 & 5 in Designs for Learning Environments of the Future (textbook)
Week 11 Nov. 7 F2F	Designs for Learning Environments Discuss week 10 readings in class <i>Refine CA criteria/Select TSLE</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapters 6 in Designs for Learning Environments of the Future (textbook)
Week 12 Nov. 14 F2F	Designs for Learning Environments <i>Peer critique on blogs due</i> <i>Finalize CA criteria</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapters 8 & 10 in Designs for Learning Environments of the Future (textbook)
Week 13 Nov. 21 F2F	Designs for Learning Environments Discuss week 12 readings in class <i>Work on TSLE analysis</i>	
Week 14 Nov. 28	Thanksgiving!!!	

Week 15 Dec. 5 Online	Designs for Learning Environments <i>Work on TSLE analysis</i>	
Week 16 Dec. 12 F2F	<i>Analysis of TSLE presentations</i> <i>Analysis Report due</i>	