GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM

EDEP 594, Section 1: Data-Driven Decision-Making Application in Education Contexts (3 credits)

Spring 2014
February 1, 2014-April 26, 2014
Saturdays, 10:00 am-1:30 pm
Kelly Building, Rooms 1204 and 3011
Prince William County

In partial fulfillment of requirements leading to the Certificate in Data-Driven Decision-Making

Name: Suzanne E. Hiller, Ph. D.

Office hours: Before class or by appointment Office location: West Building, Suite 2100

Phone number: 703-993-3679 Email address: shiller@gmu.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

A. Course description from the university catalog

Applies fundamental knowledge of assessment using team-based projects. Incorporates development of assessments and the analysis, interpretation, and reporting of assessment data to inform curriculum and instruction based on the context-specific needs of educators.

LEARNER OUTCOMES:

This course is designed to enable students to apply the development, analysis and interpretation of assessment findings in a specific context and to be a change agent at the classroom, school and district levels, advocating the value of data-driven decision making.

The educators will:

- Identify data needed to approach particular educational problems and decisions.
- Critically analyze data to identify trends, problems, implications, and validity of data.
- Use data to plan and justify allocation of resources.
- Use data to plan and justify instructional program design.
- Communicate data effectively both in written and oral presentations.
- Explain relationships between data-based decision-making and policy development.
- Implement a team-derived assessment plan for a school-based context.

•

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS:

The program goals are consistent with the Educational Psychology Program standards. The standards, as formulated for assessment for data-driven decision making, are:

- Educators will demonstrate an understanding of principles and theories of learning, cognition, motivation, and development as they apply to a wide variety of contemporary assessment contexts.
- Educators will use their knowledge, skills, and dispositions to apply principles and theories of learning, cognition, motivation, and development to analyze and develop instruction based on sound assessment principles.
- Educators will demonstrate an understanding of the basic concepts, principles, techniques, approaches, and ethical issues involved in educational assessment.
- Educators will use their knowledge of quantitative and qualitative research methodology to develop educational assessment methods for continuing improvement of student learning.

The student outcomes are informed by the Standards for Teacher Competence in Educational Assessment of Students (AFT, NCME, NEA, 1990) and the Standards for Competence in Student Assessment (AASA, NAESP, NASSP, NCME, 1990) guide the course content and emphasis for reaching the learning objectives.

Those standards deemed most relevant to addressing the learning targets for the course are those that state that *educators will have the knowledge, skill and disposition to:*

- 1. Apply basic principles of sound assessment practices for addressing specific educational needs
- 2. Select assessment methods appropriate for instructional decisions
- 3. Develop assessment methods appropriate for instructional decisions
- 4. Administer, score, and interpret the results of both externally-produced and teacher-produced assessment instruments
- 5. Use assessment results in instructional planning, teaching, developing curriculum, and school improvement
- 6. Recognize and appropriately act against the unethical, illegal, and otherwise, inappropriate assessment methods and uses of assessment information.
- 7. Recognize the implications of educational assessments for social justice in schools.
- 8. Discern critical issues related to the role of the design of assessments for school accountability and high stakes testing.

- Burnett, E. (2007, Fall). Applying a holistic decision-making model to priorities in school reform. Catalyst for Change, 35(1), 30-42.
- Honig, M. I., & Coburn, C. (2008). Evidence-based decision making in school district central offices. Educational Policy, 22(4), 578-608. doi: 10.1177/0895904807307067

SUPPLEMENTAL TEXTS

- Creighton, T. B. (2007). Schools and data: The educator's guide for using data to improve decision making. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Kowalski, T. J., & Lasley II, T. J. (2009). *Handbook of data-based decision making in education*. New York: Routledge.

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS

1. Assignment Descriptions

Research shows that frequent assessment of small amounts of material is most effective for learning. Therefore, in this class formal and informal assessment will be continuously provided on assignments and class activities. Assessment is used as a tool for information that informs both learning and teaching, so this two-way communication loop is necessary for optimal learning.

a. Description of Data Sources (10 points)-DUE 2/22

In consultation with their school colleagues, educators will propose a data collection plan or identify a secondary data set that they are interested in analyzing for continuing school improvement. This description will be one to two pages in length.

b. Assessment Plan Proposal (10 points)-DUE 3/8

Educators assemble an assessment plan that they will implement at the classroom, school and/or district level with the supervision of their instructor. The proposal will be written as a formal document between five to seven pages in length. The rubric for the Assessment Plan is found below.

c. Data analysis approach (10 points)-DUE 3/15

Educators will use appropriate qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods data analysis techniques acquired in prior classes in application to a team-based assessment project. Data analysis will be written as a short formal proposal to be graded using the rubric below. The data analysis should be two to three pages in length.

d. Data interpretation (10 points)-DUE 4/5

Educators will interpret the outcomes derived from the data analysis and draw conclusions based on the proposed assessment goals. Interpretation of the data will be presented to the class using an informal roundtable discussion format. Educators are expected to distribute a clear communication of data and analysis to class members for the discussion. The data interpretation section will be three to five pages in length.

e. Communication plan (10 points)-DUE 4/12

Educators will orally present the highlights of their assessment. This plan will be presented in class with the intention that there will also be an outside audience (such as a stakeholders meeting) in the future.

f. Data reporting and policy application of findings (30 points)-DUE 4/26

Educators will develop a written report of the findings/outcomes of the assessment in a clear, concise, and professional manner, following APA style guidelines. In this report, a separate section will address policy implications in the specific school context. See rubric below. This section will be three to five pages in length.

g. Online Discussions (10 points)-DUE Ongoing

A portion of this class will be conducted online in order to facilitate the incorporation of the new information about assessment into school settings. In order for this component of the class to be successful, all educators need to participate in the online sessions.

h. Class Participation (10 points)-DUE Ongoing

Learning depends on the active engagement of the participant and frequent checking by the instructor as to the progress of the learner. Smaller assignments may be given as necessary in class in order to inform your learning and instructor teaching. Part of the class participation is providing feedback to peers when they present their assessment plans (otherwise known as the consultations).

Assignment and Examination Weighting

There are 100 total points for the course, distributed among the following assignments: Assessment Plan Proposal (10%), Description of Data Sources (10%), Data Analysis Approach (10%), Data Interpretation (10%), Data Reporting (30%), Communication plan (10%), Online Discussion (10%), and Class Participation (10%).

Assignments	Points
Assessment plan proposal	10
Description of data sources	10
Data analysis approach	10
Data interpretation	10
Data reporting and policy application of findings	30
Communication plan	10
Online discussions	10
Class participation	10

Total Points: 100

2. Grading Policies

A = 93-100%

A = 90-92%

B+ = 88-89%

B = 80-87%

C = 70-79%

F = Below 70%

3. Course Expectations

Attendance

Because active participation and engagement are imperative for optimal learning, preparation for and participation in class activities will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Students attend class, arrive on time, and stay for the entire class period.
- Students complete readings and prepare for class activities prior to class as is evidenced by their ability to discuss and write about the concepts presented and examined in the texts as well as participate fully in related activities.
- Students are actively involved in in-class and online learning experiences as is evidenced by (1) participating in all activities, (2) engaging in small and large group discussions, (3) using laptops and other electronic devices only to support discussion and learning and not for non-academic uses during class time, (4) completing written work related to the activities, and (5) supporting the participation and learning of classmates.
- Students show evidence of critical reflective thinking through in-class and online discussions, activities, and written reflections.

Note: To determine whether the campus is closed due to inclement weather, call 703-993-1000 or go to www.gmu.edu.

Written Assignments

All written assignments prepared outside of class will be evaluated for content and presentation. The American Psychological Association, Sixth Edition (APA) style will be followed for all written work. Students will do the following:

- 1. Present ideas in a clear, concise, and organized manner.
- 2. Develop points coherently, definitively, and thoroughly.
- 3. Refer to appropriate authorities, studies, and examples to document where appropriate.
- 4. Use correct capitalization, punctuation, spelling, and grammar.

4. Performance Based Assessment

Throughout the semester, students will complete three performance based assessments, 1) the Assessment Plan, 2) Data Analysis Approach, and 3) Data Reporting and Policy of Application of Findings. The rubrics for each assessment appear at the end of this syllabus.

TASKSTREAM REQUIREMENTS

Every student registered for any Educational Psychology course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit the Assessment Plan, Data Analysis Approach, and Data Reporting and Policy of Application of Findings to TaskStream (regardless of whether a course is an elective, a onetime course or part of an undergraduate minor). Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in TaskStream. Failure to submit the assessment to TaskStream will result in the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete(IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required TaskStream submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

GMU POLICIES AND RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS

- a. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See http://oai.gmu.edu/honor-code/].
- b. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/].
- c. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- d. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See http://caps.gmu.edu/].
- e. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform teir instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See http://ods.gmu.edu/].
- f. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- g. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].

PROFESSIONAL DISPOSITIONS

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

CORE VALUES COMMITMENT

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/

PROPOSED CLASS SCHEDULE:

Date	Topics/Learning Experiences	Readings and Assignments
Session 1 Feb. 1	 Assessment and Needs	
Session 2 Feb. 8 ONLINE	 Assessment Planning Assessment Planning Based on Needs Analysis Checking for Alignment in Research Design 	Reading: Burnett DUE: Online Human Subjects Research Course
Session 3 Feb. 15	 Data Collection Collecting empirical evidence Identifying appropriate data sources 	
Session 4 Feb. 22 ONLINE Session 5 March 1	 Data Analysis Methods of Data Analysis Alignment with Purpose Data Analysis Methodologies Focus on Qualitative Methods 	DUE: Description of Data Sources
Session 6 March 8 ONLINE 10:00-2:30	Data AnalysisMixed Methods	DUE: Assessment Plan
Session 7 March 15 ONLINE 10:00-2:30	 Data Interpretation Interpreting and identifying trends, problems, implications, and validity of data 	Reading: Honig & Coburn Individual Meetings with Professor on Data Analysis and Results Sections DUE: Data Analysis Approach

Session 8 March 22	 Data Interpretations Interpreting and identifying trends, problems, implications, and validity of data 	
Session 9 March 29	 Application: Data-Driven Decision-Making Making decisions and/or recommendations based on findings 	
Session 10 April 5 ONLINE 10:00-2:30	ApplicationPolicy DevelopmentInstructional Program Design	DUE: Data Interpretation
Session 11 April 12	ConclusionChecking AlignmentOral Reports, Communication Plan, and Poster Session	DUE: Communication Plan
	Spring Br	<u> </u>
Session 12 April 26	Oral PresentationsDeveloping Final Posters	DUE: Data Reporting and Policy Application of Findings

TASKSTREAM RUBRIC FOR ASSESSMENT PLAN

	Exemplary	Adequate	Marginal	Inadequate
	(4 points)	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 point)
Topic, Purpose	Appropriate	Appropriate	Inappropriate	Inappropriate
and Research	topic, thorough	topic, adequate	topic, does not	topic, missing
Questions	and thoughtful	purpose and	include thorough	thorough and
	purpose and	research	and thoughtful	thoughtful
	research	questions	purpose or	purpose or
	questions		research	research
			questions	questions
Design,	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	One	More than one
Participants	clearly described	adequately	inappropriate	inappropriate
and Materials	design,	described design,	design,	design,
	participants, and	participants, and	participants, or	participants, or
	materials	materials	materials, not	materials, not
			clearly described	clearly described
Data Sources	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	Inappropriate	No mention of
	clearly described	adequately	data sources	data sources
	data sources	described data		
		sources		
Implementation	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	Inappropriate	No mention of
Procedures	clearly described	adequately	implementation	implementation
	implementation	described	procedures; not	procedures
	procedures	implementation	clearly described	
		procedures		
Writing Style,	Exceptionally	Generally clear;	Lacks	Unclear;
Grammar and	clear; easy to	able to follow,	Clarity; difficult	Impossible to
APA Format	follow, no APA	minor APA	to follow, many	follow
	errors	errors	APA errors	

TASKSTREAM RUBRIC FOR DATA ANALYSIS APPROACH

	Exemplary	Adequate	Marginal	Inadequate
	(4points)	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 point)
Topic, Purpose	Appropriate	Appropriate	Inappropriate	Inappropriate
and Research	topic, thorough	topic, adequate	topic, does not	topic, missing
Questions	and thoughtful	purpose and	include thorough	thorough and
	purpose and	research	and thoughtful	thoughtful
	research	questions	purpose or	purpose or
	questions		research	research
			questions	questions
Design,	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	One	More than one
Participants	clearly described	adequately	inappropriate	inappropriate
and Materials	design,	described design,	design,	design,
	participants, and	participants, and	participants, or	participants, or
	materials	materials	materials, not	materials, not
			clearly described	clearly described
Implementation	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	Inappropriate	No mention of
Procedures	clearly described	adequately	implementation	implementation
	implementation	described	procedures; not	procedures
	procedures	implementation	clearly described	
		procedures		
Data analysis	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	Inappropriate	No mention of
	clearly described	adequately	data analysis	data analysis
	data analysis	described data		
		analysis		
Writing Style,	Exceptionally	Generally clear;	Lacks	Unclear;
Grammar and	clear; easy to	able to follow,	Clarity; difficult	Impossible to
APA Format	follow, no APA	minor APA	to follow, many	follow
	errors	errors	APA errors	

TASKSTREAM RUBRIC FOR DATA REPORTING AND POLICY APPLICATION OF FINDINGS $% \left(\mathcal{L}\right) =\left(\mathcal{L}\right) \left(\mathcal{L}\right)$

T 1
Inadequate

	(4 points)	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 point)
Description of	Appropriate,	Adequate	Limited	Limited or no
Current Policies	thorough and	description of	description of	description of
and Practices	thoughtful	current policies	current policies	current policies
	description of	and practices	and practices	and practices
	current policies	informing the	informing the	informing the
	and practices	research topic	research topic	research topic
	informing the	-	and/or mismatch	and mismatch
	research topic		with research	with research
	_		topic	topic
Topic, Purpose	Appropriate	Appropriate	Inappropriate	Inappropriate
and Research	topic, thorough	topic, adequate	topic, does not	topic, missing
Questions	and thoughtful	purpose and	include thorough	thorough and
	purpose and	research	and thoughtful	thoughtful
	research	questions	purpose or	purpose or
	questions		research	research
	•		questions	questions
Design,	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	One element i.e.,	More than one
Participants,	clearly described	adequately	design,	element i.e.,
Materials, and	design,	described design,	participants,	design,
Procedures	participants,	participants,	materials, or	participants,
	materials, and	materials and	procedures not	materials, or
	procedures	procedures	clearly described	procedures not
				clearly described
Data analysis and	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	Inappropriate	No mention of
findings	clearly described	adequately	data analysis	data analysis
	data analysis and	described data	and/or reporting	and/or findings
	findings	analysis and	of findings	
		findings		
Alignment	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	Inappropriate	No mention of
Between Findings	clearly described	adequately	alignment; not	alignment
and Policy	alignment	described	clearly described	-
Recommendations		alignment		
Policy and	Appropriate and	Appropriate and	Inappropriate	No mention of
Practice	clearly described	adequately	implications of	implications of
Implications of	implications of	described	findings; not	findings
Findings	findings	implications of	clearly described	
		findings		
Writing Style,	Exceptionally	Generally clear;	Lacks	Unclear;
Grammar and	clear; easy to	able to follow,	clarity; difficult	Impossible to
APA Format	follow, no APA	minor APA	to follow, many	follow
	errors	errors	APA errors	