

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT
Division of Learning Technologies
EDIT 802 – 001 (3 credits)
Cognition and Technology: A Multidisciplinary Approach
Fall 2014
Thursdays 4:30-7:10 pm
Thompson Hall, Room L019

Professor: Dr. Nada Dabbagh
Office phone: (703) 993-4439
Office location: Thompson, L047
Office hours: by appointment
Email address: ndabbagh@gmu.edu

PREREQUISITES: Completion of LTDR specialization area or equivalent

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Examines learning interactions between cognition and technology using multiple disciplinary perspectives including, cognitive science, psychology, neuroscience, education, design theory, instructional design, technology design, anthropology, sociology, information science, philosophy, semiotics, and linguistics.

COURSE GOALS

The course focuses on the multidisciplinary exploration of cognition and technology. Although, central to doctoral study in Learning Technologies Design Research (LTDR), students from other doctoral programs including education, computer science, psychology, philosophy, sociology, and anthropology are encouraged to participate. The course is designed to provide an opportunity for doctoral students to investigate and discuss the multiple learning sciences disciplines that guide our understanding of human learning and cognition.

NATURE OF COURSE DELIVERY

The class format is a mixture of lectures, discussions, and group activities. Course delivery is both face-to-face and online (approximately 60-40%). Students will share multidisciplinary perspectives through in-class and online discussion/blogs of readings, conduct research on the affordances of learning technologies, contribute to an online knowledge base, and work collaboratively on interdisciplinary projects. Special emphasis may be placed on a specific learning sciences discipline in a particular semester. Such emphasis will depend on the individual student or instructor's research area or collective interests. Several technologies will be used to generate course content and document student learning and contributions.

LEARNING OUTCOMES

- Understand the multidisciplinary nature of human learning and cognition and its impact on learning technologies from a learning sciences perspective
- Understand how knowledge is constructed, shared, internalized, and mediated through each of the perspectives examined
- Understand the theory of affordances and its impact on the design of technology-supported learning environments
- Examine the interactions between technology and cognition and the affordances that this interaction enables
- Analyze a variety of technology supported learning environments (TSLEs) to determine the demands they place on human learning and cognition and the ways in which the human cognitive system responds in these environments
- Improve formal and informal learning in virtual and physical settings by generating design principles based on the theories examined

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

The learning outcomes for this course align with the Design Standard for programs in Educational Communications and Instructional Technologies as established by the Association of Educational Communication and Technologies (AECT).

Standard 1 – Design

- 1.1.b Identify theories from which a variety of instructional design models are derived and the consequent implications.
- 1.1.2.a Demonstrate in-depth synthesis and evaluation of the theoretical constructs and research methodologies related to instructional design as applied in multiple contexts.
- 1.1.3.b Utilize the research, theoretical, and practitioner foundations of the field in the development of instructional materials.
- 1.1.4.a Conduct basic and applied research related to technology integration and implementation.
- 1.1.5.c Articulate the relationship within the discipline among theory, research, and practice as well as the interrelationships among people, processes, and devices.
- 1.3.a Identify multiple instructional strategy models and demonstrate appropriate contextualized application within practice and field experiences.

REQUIRED TEXTS

1. The Design of Everyday Things, Donald Norman, 2013 Edition, Perseus, ISBN: 9780465050659
2. Affordance Based Design: Theoretical Foundations and Practical Applications, Jonathan Maier, Ingram, ISBN: 9783639325010

Additional readings will be on the Blackboard course site. Students are encouraged to contribute additional articles to help build the knowledge base of this course.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS/ASSIGNMENTS

There are three main course requirements or performance-based assessments:

- (1) **Class Participation and Contributions (25%):** Effective class participation involves not only preparation and communication skills, but also listening skills, contributing to the online knowledge base, and commenting on peers' contributions both in-class and online. Specifically, students must make significant contributions towards building a shared interpretation of the readings and theories being discussed individually and collaboratively. This includes participation in class discussion and in critical analysis of the readings. Students are also expected to contribute analytic comments on the readings throughout the semester using a blogging platform (e.g., WordPress) or discussion forum as assigned.
- (2) **Affordance Analysis of Learning Technologies (35%):** In small teams, or individually, students will select a learning technology or platform, critically examine the physical, perceptual, and cognitive affordances of this technology, and develop related cognitive criteria appropriately grounded in the principles of cognition. The goal is to develop a list of cognitive affordance criteria for each technology and ultimately a comprehensive list of technology affordances that can be used to analyze the cognitive affordances of a TSLE.
- (3) **Learning Analysis of a TSLE (40%):** In small teams or individually, students will select an existing and available TSLE and will use the criteria developed in assignment #2 to analyze the cognitive affordances of the TSLE resulting in a comprehensive analytical review of the TSLE and the provision of substantiated recommendations for improving the design of the TSLE. The analysis should include: (a) a brief introduction to the analysis, (b) description of the TSLE, (c) description of the technologies used in the TSLE, (d) description of the analysis process, (e) description of the results, and (f) conclusions and recommendations.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Participation rubric for both in-class and online participation and contributions (20%):

- *Outstanding contributor:* contributions reflect exceptional preparation. Ideas offered are always substantive, providing one or more major insights as well as direction for the class. Frequent references are made to the readings and/or to knowledge from other sources, often showing the ability to generalize or extend the material under discussion. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion and knowledge building would be diminished markedly.
- *Good contributor:* contributions reflect thorough preparation. Ideas offered are usually substantive, providing good insights and sometimes direction for the class. Occasional references are made to the readings and/or to knowledge from other sources, sometimes showing the ability to generalize or extend the material under discussion. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would be diminished.
- *Adequate contributor:* contributions reflect satisfactory preparation. Ideas offered are sometimes substantive, providing some useful insights but seldom offer new direction for the

discussion. Some references are made to the readings and/or to knowledge from other sources but seldom generalize or extend the material under discussion. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would be diminished somewhat.

- *Unsatisfactory contributor*: Contributions reflect inadequate preparation and/or there is little contributions in class or online. Ideas offered are seldom substantive, providing few insights and no direction for the class. References to readings are rare or non-existent. If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion and knowledge building would be unchanged.

Point assessment for Class Participation and Contributions (25%):

| | Category 1 | Category 2 | Category 3 | Category 4 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Criteria | Unsatisfactory Contributor | Adequate Contributor | Good Contributor | Outstanding Contributor |
| In-class participation | 5-6 | 7 | 8 | 9-10 |
| Online participation | 5-8 | 9-11 | 12-13 | 14-15 |
| Score | 10-14 | 16-18 | 20-21 | 23-25 |

Rubric for Affordance Analysis of Learning Technologies (35%):

| | Category 1 | Category 2 | Category 3 |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Criteria | Unsatisfactory Analysis | Good Analysis | Excellent Analysis |
| Affordances of selected LT are reflective of the selected LT and grounded in the course readings | 5-6 | 9-10 | 11-12 |
| Contributions to the knowledge base are ongoing, collaborative, and demonstrate critical analysis of the learning technology | 5-6 | 9-10 | 11-12 |
| Iterations show progressive development, reflection, and incorporation of feedback; and demonstrate understanding of the analysis process | 5-6 | 10-11 | 10-11 |
| SCORE | 15-18 | 28-31 | 32-35 |

Rubric for Learning Analysis of a TSLE (40%):

| | Category 1 | Category 2 | Category 3 |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Criteria | Unsatisfactory Analysis | Good Analysis | Excellent Analysis |
| All components of the analysis are substantively addressed | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9-10 |
| Cognitive affordances criteria are | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9-10 |

| | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| used to analyze the TSLE, analysis process is clearly documented | | | |
| Results of the cognitive analysis are clearly documented and used to provide recommendations for improving the design of the TSLE | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9-10 |
| Evidence of team collaboration on every aspect of this analysis | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9-10 |
| SCORE | 20-24 | 25-35 | 36-40 |

Grading scale:

A = 94-100; A - = 90-93; B+ = 86-89; B = 83-85; B- = 80-82; C = 70-79; F = <70

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY POLICIES AND RESSOURCES FOR STUDENTS

Student Expectations

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See <http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/>].
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See <http://ods.gmu.edu/>].
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/>].
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.
- The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/>

Campus Resources

- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [See <http://caps.gmu.edu/>].
- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See <http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/>].
- For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See <http://gse.gmu.edu/>].