GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION LITERACY PROGRAM

Courses

EDRD 633: Literacy Assessments and Interventions for Individuals (3 Credits) 5:00 - 8:15 pm; Tuesdays; May 19, 2015 - June 16, 2015 Triangle Elementary School, Prince William County

EDRD 637: Supervised Literacy Practicum (3 credits) 8:15 am – 2:45 pm; Every business day, June 22, 2015 – June 30, 2015 Kay Waller Barrett Elementary School, Stafford County

Instructors

Lesley King Susan Groundwater (637 Supervision)

Office hours: by appointment
Office location: Thompson Hall, Suite 1500

Office hours: by appointment
Email: sgroundw@gmu.edu

(literacy program)

Office phone: (703) 993-7611 (literacy Karen Doheney (637 Supervision)

program) Office hours: by appointment Email: lking3@gmu.edu Email: kdoheney@gmu.edu

Course Description:

633 Literacy Assessments and Interventions for Individuals (3:3:0)

Prerequisites/Corequisites:

EDRD 630, 631, and 632; admission to literacy emphasis; or permission of program coordinator.

University Catalog Course Description:

Provides literacy assessments and interventions for individuals. Includes diagnosis and remediation for learners who find reading and writing difficult. Requires assigned practicum experience.

Expanded Course Description:

Not Applicable

637 Supervised Literacy Practicum (2-3:2-3:0)

Prerequisites/Corequisites:

EDRD 630, 631, 632; Corequisite: EDRD 633.

University Catalog Course Description:

Supervised literacy practicum that requires students to conduct assessments of and provide instruction to struggling readers.

Expanded Course Description:

Not Applicable

LEARNER OUTCOMES and PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS EDRD 633

- 1. Students will apply diagnostic principles, procedures, and techniques for assessing and evaluating the literacy needs of individual learners.
 - a. Standards Addressed: IRA 3.1 & 3.2
 - b. Performance-Based Assessment: Diagnostic Report (must be posted to TaskStream)
- 2. Students will communicate with professional colleagues and defend their instructional decisions orally and in writing based on their knowledge of both theory and exemplary practice.
 - a. Standards Addressed: IRA 3.4
 - b. Performance-Based Assessment: Weekly Reflections and Case Study Presentation
- 3. Students will communicate and collaborate effectively with learners and families.
 - a. Standards Addressed: IRA 3.4
 - b. Performance-Based Assessment: Family Communication Log and Conference
- 4. Students will communicate results of assessments to specific individuals (students, parents/family, and colleagues)
 - a. Standards Addressed: IRA/NCATE 3.4
 - b. Performance-Based Assessments: Final Summary Report (must be posted to TaskStream)

EDRD 637

- 1. Students will participate in a supervised practicum experience which includes (a) working with an individual learner to assess the learner's literacy needs using at least three appropriate assessment tools, (b) summarizing and analyzing assessment results, (c) making recommendations for instruction and family support, (d) providing tutoring to the learner and (e) preparing a case study portfolio related to the practicum experience.
 - a. Standards Addressed: IRA 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4
 - b. Performance-Based Assessment: Case Study Portfolio
- 2. Students will select appropriate materials and implement a variety of assessment and intervention strategies based on an individual student's interests, strengths, and needs.
 - a. Standards Addressed: IRA 3.3
 - b. Performance-Based Assessment: Instructional Plans, Instructional Summary Report

Required Texts

- Woods, M. L., & Moe, A. J. (2015). *Analytical reading inventory: Comprehensive standards-based assessment for all students, including gifted and remedial* (10th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Caldwell, J. S., & Leslie, L. (2013). *Intervention strategies to follow informal reading inventory assessment: So what do I do now?* (3rd ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson

Recommended Texts

Morris, D. (2014). *Diagnosis and correction of reading problems* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford.

McKenna, M. C., & Stahl, K. A. D. (2009). *Assessment for reading instruction* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford.

Nature of Course Delivery

EDRD 633/637 will be taught in an integrated format. Students are expected to plan and conduct diagnostic and tutorial lessons for an individual student under the direct supervision of the 637 instructors. Students are also expected to complete all class readings prior to each session in order to engage in active dialogue and sharing of ideas. Activities will include lecture, strategy demonstrations, assessment and teaching practice experiences and analysis, small group discussions and presentations, whole class sharing, critical reflection, and individual technical assistance.

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code (see http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George
 Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it
 regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to
 students solely through their Mason email account.
- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of
 professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a
 wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach
 programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance [see
 http://caps.gmu.edu/].
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [see http://ods.gmu.edu/].
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [see http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/].

Professional Dispositions

• Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See http://gse.gmu.edu/]

Assignments

Assignments	Due Dates (see agenda)	Points
EDRD 633		
Class Participation		20
Diagnostic Report		30
Reading Instruction Summary Report		30
Article Discussion Leadership		20
	Total	100
EDRD 637 (Pass/Fail)		
Class Participation – complete assigned readings and		
participate fully in all classes. (633/637)		
Instructional Plans (7 total)		70
(Tutoring for minimum 20 hours – instructional plans		
and reflection for each tutoring session)		
Reading Instruction Summary Report (Submit to	(submitted to both 633 and	
TaskStream)	637 instructors)	
Diagnostic Report (Submit to TaskStream)	(submitted to both 633 and	
	637 instructors)	
Case Study/Parent Conference/Presentation		30
		100

Grading Policy

95-100 Α 90-94 A-B+ 85-89 В 80-84

С

70-79

Late Assignment Policy

All assignments are to be completed and delivered on their due dates. Any late assignment will have points automatically deducted (10% for each day late). This policy will be rigorously applied to Instructional Plans.

Class Participation

Class participation is critical since most of the class sessions will include tutorial practicum experiences as well as critical information on literacy testing and teaching. If you know in advance that you will be absent for any tutorial sessions, you must withdraw from the course. For each absence from a nontutorial session, 5 points will be deducted from the total possible participation points. If there is an unexpected absence from a tutorial session, you will be expected to make arrangements with your tutee's parent/guardian to make-up the practicum time missed and produce acceptable verification. If missed practicum time is not made up by the conclusion of the course, you will not receive a passing grade for the course. If your tutee is absent, the practicum time does not need to be made up, nor will this negatively affect your grade.

TASKSTREAM REQUIREMENTS

Every student registered for a course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit this assessment to TaskStream (regardless of whether a course is an elective, a onetime course or part of an undergraduate minor). Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in TaskStream. Failure to submit the assessment to TaskStream will result in the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required TaskStream submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

NCATE/IRA Standards and Performance-Based Assessments (PBAs)

Throughout the literacy masters programs, students are required to satisfactorily complete one or more PBAs in each course and are required to submit these to an electronic system entitled "TaskStream." Satisfactory completion of every PBA as well as posting to TaskStream is required before moving to the next course. The TaskStream assessment system can be found at https://www.taskstream.com/pub/. Students are REQUIRED to submit the designated PBA to each course instructor so that the assignment can be evaluated when the assignment is due. Only assignments/PBAs posted to TaskStream will be assessed. No final grades for courses will be posted until ALL required PBAs are posted to TaskStream.

Important Note: A pass/fail grade is assigned to 637 and a letter grade to EDRD 633.

Email Access

Students must have access to email and the Internet, either at home, work, or on the GMU campus. GMU provides students with free email accounts that must be accessed for information sent from the university or the Graduate School of Education. Go to http://mason.gmu.edu/ for information on accessing email.

Assignment Descriptions

Supervised Practicum

The purpose of the practicum experience is to practice working with individual students and to broaden understandings of students' literacy needs in K-12 classrooms. As a part of the practicum, instructional plans will be developed and ongoing assessment and intervention strategies will be implemented under the direct supervision of the course instructors. Documentation of work with the practicum student will be compiled into a case study portfolio.

Procedures

Each practicum session will be approximately 3 hours in length.

Diagnostic Sessions: The first two to three sessions will focus on becoming acquainted with the student and conducting preliminary assessments that will inform decisions made when developing instructional plans.

Instructional Sessions: The remaining instructional sessions will focus on providing literacy support for the practicum student based on the initial diagnosis and ongoing interactive assessment. Emphasis will be on providing a comprehensive literacy experience that further develops critical reading and writing skills by building on the student's strengths and addressing the student's needs as evidenced in initial and ongoing assessments. During the instructional sessions, literacy interactions with tutees must include the following four strands:

- (1) Writing as meaningful communication
- (2) Phonemic awareness/phonics/vocabulary development
- (3) Fluency building with self-selected readings
- (4) Strategies for the comprehension of expository/narrative text

Technology: Two lesson plans must incorporate technology. Utilize the SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition) model of digital literacy integration as a guide (SAMR will be discussed in class.)

It is recommended that diagnostic sessions are audiotaped. The diagnostic report, instructional plans, instructional summary report, as well as selected samples of student work, and reflections will be compiled in the case study portfolio. The case study portfolio will be described in a parent/student conference at the conclusion of the practicum period and presented to the class.

Instructional Plans (EDRD 637)

To guide your work with a student and to keep the practicum supervisor informed of your activities and goals, you are required to write instructional plans for each instructional session with your practicum student. Use the following formats (diagnosis and instruction) for your plans.

Diagnosis

Date:

Session: (session number)

Your Name:

Your Student's Name:

Diagnostic Reflections: (This section will be blank for the first diagnostic plan)

- Initial diagnostic findings
- Insights into the student's literacy behavior.
- Reflections and strategies recommended by your coaching partner
- Any parent communication
- Coaching notes and reflections

Diagnostic Strategies and Rationales

During the initial diagnosis sessions, report here on the assessments you intend to use and why

- (1) Writing as meaningful communication
- (2) Concepts of print/phonemic awareness/phonics or word recognition, vocabulary building
- (3) Fluency development
- (4) Comprehension instruction using expository or narrative text strategies

Note: Plans should be typed and no longer than 3 single-spaced pages. At the end of the course, you will post all of your lessons to Taskstream (compile into one document) as well as two samples of the student's work (before/after instruction).

Instruction

Date:

Session (Session number):

Your Name:

Your Student's Name:

Reflections

Provide 1-2 paragraphs summarizing your previous session with the student.

- State your judgment about the relative effectiveness of the strategies and activities you used. Reflect on what occurred.
- Discuss what you are learning about your tutee, and how your instruction will be crafted and modified based on your tutee's needs.
- Include reflections and strategies recommended by your coaching partner

Instructional Strategies and Rationales

- (1) Writing as meaningful communication
 - a. Strategy: Detailed description
 - b. Rationale: based on what you've learned about the student from previous diagnostic information as well as research in literacy development. Provide references to literacy foundational research that guides your literacy instruction
 - c. Materials: Include relevant books and materials needed for the strategy
 - d. Formative assessment: Explain the method you will use to determine if students understood what was taught
- (2) Concepts of print/phonemic awareness/phonics or word recognition, vocabulary building
 - a. Strategy
 - b. Rationale
 - c. Materials
 - d. Formative assessment
- (3) Fluency development
 - a. Strategy
 - b. Rationale
 - c. Materials
 - d. Formative assessment
- (4) Comprehension instruction using expository or narrative text strategies
 - a. Strategy
 - b. Rationale
 - c. Materials
 - d. Formative assessment

Criteria for Evaluation

Each diagnostic and instructional plan is worth 10 points. Points will be awarded based on how clearly and succinctly you describe your past and ongoing work with the student. Plans should be typed and no longer than 3 single-spaced pages.

The Diagnostic Report (EDRD 633)

(In the final report PLEASE delete any lines with the *)

- * Follow this format strictly to write your report.
- * Place the following heading at the top center of your report:

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY – GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION READING DIAGNOSTIC REPORT

* Next, list the following information in this way:
STUDENT: GRADE: AGE: LOCATION OF TESTING:
DATES OF TESTING: DATE OF REPORT:
PRACTICUM TUTOR:
* Place the following subheading against the left margin in the following manner:
BACKGROUND INFORMATION: (Interest Inventories, diagnostic interviews, reading/writing surveys)
* Use the following format to fill in this section:
While talking with many things were learned many things about <i>his/her</i> interests inside and outside of school said he/she enjoys
also stated that he/she likes
Concerning reading, was how he/she views him/herself as a reader, and he/she stated
His/her favorite books are
When asked what he/she does when he/she comes to a word he/she doesn't know, he /she said
was also asked what she/he does when he/she can't remember what was
just read, and he/she said she/he
's behavior and attitude throughout the assessment was
* Place the following subheading against the left margin in the following manner:
RESULTS AND ANALYSIS OF READING TESTING: (ARI Word Recognition, Narrative and Expository
Passages, Fluency)
* Use the following format to fill in this section:

The Analytical F	Reading Inventory (ARI, W	Voods & Moe, 2015) was	administered to assess Henry's
reading ability was first given a practice test at thelevel. The immediate			
concern was to put him,	/her at ease, as well as he	elp him/her become fam	iliar with the format of the test
and what was expected	of him/her.		
The ARI is an inf	formal reading inventory	categorized by grade lev	els, pre-primer through grade
			ither narrative (tells a story) or
_			as follows: decoding words from
			dictions based on the passage
			story, and answering different
	n questions that assess fa		
types of comprehension	r questions that assess ta	ictual recail and migner ic	ver emiking.
	s performance on the	e narrative passages of th	ne <i>ARI</i> was as follows:
	perrormance on the	e narrative passages or ti	ic/iii was as ionows.
Highest Independent le	vel on the Word Lists:		
Passage levels achieved			
i assage ieveis acinevea	are maleated below.		
	Independent	Instructional	Frustration
Word Recognition	писреписи	instructional	Trastration
Comprehension			
Comprehension			
Overall	made a total of	oral roading missu	ies, of whichwere
9	•		's ability to comprehend
what he reads. His sign	ificant miscues fell into the	ne following pattern:	
0 1 1			
Omissions:			
Insertions:			
Substitutions:			
(name others)			
			rubric. Overall,
			strengths were in the specific
areas of (rate, expression	on, phrasing, orchestration	on). Areas of need were	determined to be (rate,
expression, phrasing, or	rchestration). It was note	ed that's flu	iency was
	·	•	
On the whole,'s predictions before reading were generally (accurate, inaccurate, lacking			
detail).			
's retellin	ng of the passages after re	eading them orally indica	ited (excellent, fairly good,
adequate, limited) comprehension. <i>His/her</i> performance on the comprehension questions indicate that			
he/she was (equally capable with both factual and higher level questions; better able to answer the			
factual questions; better able to answer the higher level questions). Out of a total offactual			
-		-	her level questions, he/she
answeredcorrec		at 01 a total 011118	ner level quescions, ne, sne
also res	ad exnository nass	gages to galige his/her ah	ility to handle textbook prose
also readexpository passages to gauge his/her ability to handle textbook prose. He/she was permitted to look back at the text for answers to the comprehension questions and			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
demonstrated (a great deal of skill, a moderate degree of skill, a limited degree of skill) in skimming and			

scanning for relevant information. In addition, was asked to give a summary of the expository passages. <i>His/her</i> summary indicated (a great deal of, an adequate degree of, a limited degree of) skill in condensing the ideas and information to a single coherent paragraph.
*After conducting a formal administration of the <i>ARI</i> , interactive assessment techniques were used to gather further information about's needs and abilities as a reader. Interactive assessment is a process of teaching reading strategies to a child and determining how well the child learns to use the strategies while working together with a story or other text. The was used as the interactive assessment. The specific
interactions included (KWL charts, Venn diagrams, predictions, etc.) before reading; during reading we (traded off reading paragraphs aloud, asked and answered each other's questions, summarized pages of the story, etc.); after reading we (finished a KWL chart, filled in the remainder of a Venn diagram, created a comic strip, etc.) demonstrated (a great deal of, a moderate degree of, a limited level of) enthusiasm for these strategies. The strategies also seemed to (greatly improve, moderately improve) his comprehension of the story.
* * Place the following subheading against the left margin in the following manner:
RESULTS AND ANALYSIS OF WRITING TESTING: (fiction and expository writing prompt with rubric)
AREAS OF LITERACY THAT NEED FURTHER DEVELOPMENT
* Fill in this section with the following information:
Based on the initial assessment ofreading ability and best practice in developing effective readers, an instructional plan was developed that will address the following areas:
* (list strands and areas of concern based on assessment results)

The Reading Instruction Summary Report (EDRD 633)

Use the following format to write your report, placing the following heading at the top center of your report:

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY – GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION READING INSTRUCTION SUMMARY REPORT

Student:
Grade:
Age:
Location of Tutoring
Dates of Tutoring:
Date of Report:
Practicum Tutor:

RATIONALE FOR INSTRUCTIONAL PLAN

This section should summarize the overall reasons for focusing on certain aspects of literacy in your instructional plans. Base this on the rationales from your weekly plans.

SUMMARY OF INSTRUCTIONAL EXPERIENCES

This section should include a description of the reading and writing strategies and methods you employed. You should also include the outcomes of this instruction based on your judgments of the student's performance.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TEACHERS

This section should include ideas you have for strategies and experiences teachers might provide the student to expand literacy.

RECOMMENTATIONS FOR PARENTS

This section should include ideas you have for strategies and experiences parents might provide the student to expand literacy.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- * Report should be no longer than two single-spaced pages
- * Write in third person
- * Spelling and structure need to be as near perfect as possible

Case Study and Presentation (EDRD 637)

Assignment

The purpose of the case study is to document, evaluate, and reflect upon the assessment and intervention process that took place throughout the practicum period and to share the findings of the case study in a parent/student conference as well as to colleagues.

Procedure

- 1. Organize the practicum student's file so that it documents your work with the student. The components should include:
 - a. Diagnostic Report
 - b. Instructional Plans
 - c. Instructional Summary Report
 - d. Student Work
 - e. One-Page Handout Summary
- 2. At the final practicum session,
 - a. Meet with your practicum student and his/her caregiver for a conference.
 - b. Summarize the diagnostic and instructional work you did, highlighting your presentation with sample student work.
 - c. Allow the student to showcase a particular accomplishment (e.g., fluent oral reading of a passage, an authored and illustrated book, etc.).
 - d. Share ideas that can be employed at home for furthering the student's literacy progress.
 - e. Provide the student's caregiver with a copy of the Instructional Summary Report.
- 3. In the <u>final class session</u>, make an oral presentation of your case study to your classmates. Provide a one-page handout to accompany the presentation that uses the following headings and summarizes:
 - a. Results of Diagnosis
 - b. Instructional Approaches and Rationales
 - c. Effectiveness of Strategies and Activities
 - d. Recommendations for Furthering the Student's Literacy Growth
 - e. Critical Reflections on the Practicum Experience (How did the practicum contribute to your professional growth and learning?)

Exemplify instructional approaches with selected student work samples. Limit your presentation to no more than 10 minutes.

Case Study Presentation Grading Sheet

Name	
intervention process	ase study portfolio is to document, evaluate, and reflect upon the assessment and that took place throughout the practicum period and to share the findings of the text/student conference as well as to colleagues.
Documents	
O PInstructioO P	rotocols included inal Plans lans include all components inal Summary Report
Oral Presentation:	
• 10 minute	e presentation
 One Page 	Handout Summary
o R	esults of Diagnosis
o Ir	nstructional Approaches and Rationales
o E	ffectiveness of Strategies and Activities
o R	ecommendations for Furthering the Student's Literacy Growth
	ritical Reflections on the Practicum Experience (How did the practicum contributed your professional growth and learning?)
	xemplify instructional approaches with selected student work samples

Comments:

Article Discussion Leadership (ADL) (EDRD 633)

Assignment

The purpose of this assignment is to provide you the opportunity to read, analyze, and interpret the required journal articles for your peers. This is a paired activity.

Completion Procedures

- 1. With another student in class, identify one article for which you will lead discussion. The article should be taken from the course readings. There will be no overlap.
- 2. Read, analyze, and format its presentation around the following aspects of the article:
 - purpose
 - main points
 - type of research and methodology, if relevant
 - conclusions
 - implications for research and practice
 - personal responses and reactions
- Discussion leaders should also devise ways of engaging the class in critical conversation and reflection on the article. Demonstrations, simulations, role-plays, and debates are recommended.
- 4. PowerPoint slides, overheads, and/or handouts should accompany the article presentations and discussions.
- 5. Article discussion leaders should plan 30 minutes for their article discussions.

Evaluation

Article discussants will be given a grade based on (a) how well they planned and coordinated the presentation and discussion of the article, (b) how succinctly and understandably key information from the article was presented, and (c) the extent to which the discussants used engaging techniques for bringing all students into critical conversation about the article.

ARTICLE DICUSSION LEADERSHIP RUBRIC

Evaluation

Article discussants will be given a grade based on (a) how well they planned and coordinated the presentation and discussion of the article; (b) how succinctly and understandably key information from the article was presented; and (c) the extent to which the discussants used engaging techniques for bringing all students into critical conversation about the article.

Read, analyze, and format the presentation around the following aspects of the article: (a) purpose, (b) main points, (c) type of research and methodology (if relevant), (d) conclusions, (e) implications for research and practice, and (f) personal responses and reactions	/10
Discussants should also devise ways of engaging the class in critical conversation and reflection on the article. Demonstrations, simulations, role-plays, and debates are recommended.	/6
PowerPoint slides, overheads, and/or handouts should accompany the article presentations and discussions.	/4
Total	/20

Agenda

Date	Topics	Readings/Assignments Due
Class One	Course Introduction and Requirements	ARI Sections I and IV
Tuesday, May 19	The Struggling Reader	
5:00 - 8:15	What is an IRI?	Caldwell and Leslie, chs, 1 and 2
	Assessment Checklist	
	Introduction to ARI	
	Case Study: Administering and scoring the	
	vocabulary/word recognition list; what the	
	results mean	
	ARI Case Study: Administering and scoring the comprehension section of the ARI	
	Sign up for ADL	
Class Two	Assessment and Instruction:	Caldwell and Leslie, chs 4, 5, and 6
Tuesday, May 26	Word Recognition	
5:00 - 8:15	 Phonological Awareness 	Marcell – Putting Fluency on a Fitness Plan
	• Fluency	
Class Three	Vocabulary and Comprehension Assessment	Caldwell & Leslie
Tuesday, June 2	and Instruction	chs 7 and 8 (everyone)
5:00 - 8:15		 chs 9, 10, 11, and 12 (jigsaw)
Class Four	Coaching	Caldwell & Leslie, chs, 3 and 13
Tuesday, June 9		
5:00 - 8:15	Video – Literacy Coaching Series: Intro	Jigsaw articles
	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ONqZ2F7	Coaching
	<u>bFBw</u>	 IRA Reading Coaches
		 Knight - What Good Coaches Do
	Coaching video – What Does an Instructional	Bearwald – It's About the Questions
	Coach Do?	
	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-	Technology
	DIM2ypSIXI&list=PLD83D870BB9A83C63&index	 Larson – Digital Readers
	<u>=5</u>	Dalton & Grisham- eVoc Strategies
	Discussing auticles	• Thoermer & Williams – <i>Digital</i>
	Discussing articles	Texts and Fluency
	Introduction to Data and Reflective Coaching	Zipke - Building an e-Book Library
	Technology Integration	
	Article Discussion	
	 Incorporating technology in your 	
	lessons; "high tech" vs "low tech"	
	Time to experiment with programs on laptop	

Class Five	Writing Assassment	Dondings
Class Five Tuesday, June 16	Writing AssessmentWriting assessment discussion	Readings:
5:00 – 8:15		Romeo, 2008 NGTE Writing Assessment Resition
3.00 - 8.13	Creating narrative and expository	NCTE Writing Assessment Position Chatage and
	writing prompts	Statement
	Creating writing rubric	Writing Assessment
	Rubistar: http://rubistar.4teachers.org/	
		Review:
	Interest Inventories	McKenna & Kear, 1990
	Rationale	• Kear et al., 2000
	Sample inventories	
	Preparing for Diagnosis: Planning 1 st session	
	Getting to know you activity	
	Writing the Diagnostic Lesson Plan	
	Diagnostic Interview	
	Materials Logistics	
	<u> </u>	
	Tutor and Tutorial Assignments	
Class Civ	Room Assignment Assignment	Dia su a stia Dia u 4
Class Six	1 st Tutoring Session	Diagnostic Plan 1
Monday, June 22	Getting to Know You activity	ADI was Bas
T 1	ARI Vocabulary list	ADL reading
Tutoring	2 Writing Prompts (narrative and	
8:15 – 11:45	expository)	
Seminar	Debrief first session	
11:45 – 2:45	Bestief in se session	
	ADL (2)	
Class Seven	2 nd Tutoring Session (Diagnosis Lesson Plan)	Diagnostic plan 2
Tuesday, June 23	 Administering Comprehension sections 	
	 Interest Inventories 	Readings
Tutoring		 Chappuis & Chappuis, 2008
8:15 – 11:45	Scoring and interpreting Comprehension	 Formative Assessment examples
	section of ARI; Record on data matrix	 ADL reading
Seminar		
11:45 – 2:45	ADL	
	Writing Instructional Plans (how it is different	
	from assessment lesson plan)	
	J. S. II assessment resson plant	
	Planning tutoring session: based on data	
	gathered, what are your instructional next	
	steps? (use your data matrix, Guide to Noting	
	Behavior and notes from Coaching session)	
	Formative Assessment: including formative	
	Formative Assessment: including formative assessment in the Instructional Plan	
	assessment in the instructional Plan	1

Class Eight	3 rd Tutoring Session	Instructional Plan 1
Wednesday, June 24	-	
	ADL (2)	ADL reading
Tutoring		
8:15 – 11:45	Data and Reflective Coaching with coaching	
	partner(s)	
Seminar		
11:45 – 2:45	Individual Conferences	
Class Nine	4 th Tutoring Session	Instructional Plan 2
Thursday, June 25		
-	ADL	ADL reading
Tutoring		
8:15 – 11:45	Writing the Instructional Summary Report	
Seminar		
11:45 – 2:45		
Class Ten	5 th Tutoring Session	Instructional Plan 3
Friday, June 26		
	ADL (2)	Diagnostic Report and Instructional
Tutoring		Summary Report for Parent so far
8:15 – 11:45		
		ADL reading
Seminar		
11:45 – 2:45	sth —	
Class Eleven	6 th Tutoring Session	Instructional Plan 4
Monday, June 29	ADI (2)	ADL westign
Tuta dia a	ADL (2)	ADL reading
Tutoring	December for Doront Student Conference	Final Dueft of Diagnostic Descrip
8:15 – 11:45	Preparing for Parent-Student Conference	Final Draft of Diagnostic Report
Seminar		
11:45 – 2:45		
Class Twelve	7 th Tutoring Session	Instructional Plan 5
Tuesday, June 30		
	Case Study Portfolio Presentations	Complete Practicum Student's File
Tutoring		
8:15 - 11:45	Parent-Student Conference	Case Study Portfolio Due
		1 pg. Portfolio Summary for class members
Seminar	End of Practicum Celebration	and the professor/instructors
11:45 – 2:45		