

George Mason University
College of Education and Human Development
[Program Name]

EDUC 872 Sec:001 – *Social Science Research and Education Policy*
3 Credits, Spring 2017
Thursdays 7:30 pm - Class Location – Innovation 316 (Fairfax Campus)

Faculty

Instructor: Penelope M. Earley, Ph.D.
Office Hours: By Appointment
Office Location: West 2102 - Fairfax
Office Phone: 703-993-3361
Email Address: pearley@gmu.edu

Prerequisites/Corequisites

EDRS 810, 811, and 812 or permission of instructor

University Catalog Course Description

Focuses on research base used to support education policy actions. Focuses on analyzing strength of this research.

Course Overview

This course focuses on the research base used to support education policy actions. Students will identify and critically review research for selected K-12 and higher education policy issues and through their analysis determine the strength of the undergirding evidence. Prerequisite: Admission to the Ph.D. program and completion of EDRE 810, 811, & 812, and EDUC 870 or equivalent doctoral-level coursework.

Course Delivery Method

This course will be delivered using a lecture format.

Learner Outcomes or Objectives

This course is designed to enable students to do the following:

1. Demonstrate ability to critique education research articles.
2. Objectively analyze policy options and determine what research would be necessary to support their claims.
3. Identify gaps in the evidence undergirding education policy options.
4. Understand and explain why certain education policy decisions have not had the desired outcome

Professional Standards

The conceptual framework for this course is linked to the goals of the Graduate School of Education and more specifically to the mission of the Center for Education Policy as outlined in its Charter: (1) Translate education research into policy options and recommendations for a variety of audiences (decision makers, practitioners, and the public); (2) Conduct timely, sound, evidence-based analysis; and (3) Develop interdisciplinary and cross-sector policy networks. The student outcomes are linked to this mission, in particular to the importance of evidence-based analysis.

Required Texts and Readings

Brady, B., & Niles M.A (1999). Health-promoting lifestyles and exercise: A comparison of older African American women above and below poverty level. *Journal of Holistic Nursing* 17(2),197-207.

Clement, M. (1999). Reducing the stress of student teaching. *Contemporary Education* 70(4), 20-25.

Fuller. E.J., & Dadey, N. (April 2013). Review of evaluation of teach for America in Texas schools. *National Education Policy Center*. <http://nepc.colorado.edu/thinktank/review-evaluation-tfa-texas>

Jones, W.Paul & Kottler, Jeffrey A. (2006). *Understanding research: becoming a competent and critical consumer*. Pearson Education, Inc, Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Nagayama, M., & Gilliard, J. (2005/6). An investigation of Japanese and American early care and education. *Early Childhood Education Journal* 33(3), 137-143.

McEwan, Elaine K. & McEwan, Patrick J. (2003). *Making sense of research what's good, what's not, and how to tell the difference*. Corwin Press (Sage Publications). Thousand Oaks, CA.

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor. An evaluation rubric for this class is included at the end of this syllabus.

- **Assignments and/or Examinations**

There are four assignments: one team and two individual presentations and one 10 page written critique and analysis. Students will find research articles related to four education policy issues (one will be a team presentation, two will be individual presentations, and one will be a written critique/analysis). The team topic will be selected from the list included with this syllabus, others will be identified by the student and approved by the professor. For the team and individual presentations, the student will be prepared to present to the class an objective summary and critique of a minimum of four research articles (published in peer reviewed journals) **confirming or challenging the selected policy topics**. The team presentation should be no more than 30 minutes and the individual presentations should be approximately 45 minutes long. Students are expected to be creative in their presentations through the use of PowerPoint or other instructional tools and **must** provide handouts with full citations for each article to supplement the presentation (please see

grading rubric for additional information on expectations for this assignment). A copy of the presentation handout should be sent to the professor no later than noon the day of the presentation. Each student will complete an evaluation sheet to be given to the presenter at the conclusion of each class; these evaluations are intended to help students hone their evaluation skills as well as to help the presenter (they will not be reviewed by the instructor). The 10 page paper will be a written critique/analysis of four research articles and must include a concluding statement regarding additional research that might bring additional clarity to the policy issue that is the topic of this work. Students' grades will be determined by the quality of their analysis of the research, not on the quality of the studies themselves.

- (1) Each student will be part of a two-person team to make a presentation analyzing research related to a topic on this syllabus; and
- (2) Each student will make two individual presentations analyzing research on a policy issue approved by the professor.
- (3) Each student will write a 10 page paper analyzing research related to an education policy issue. It must conform to *APA 6th Ed* standards.

80% Research critique and analysis: One team presentation; two individual presentations, and one written assignment (20 points each = 80 total)

20% Critique of presentations

- **Other Requirements**

Presentation checklist:

- ✓ Full APA citation for each article is on the handout
- ✓ There are enough handouts for everyone in the class
- ✓ Your handout is sent electronically to Dr. Earley by noon on the day you present
- ✓ Your handout includes both strengths and weaknesses of each article and whether the evidence presented is strong enough to support or not support a particular policy

- **Grading**

A =	96-100	A- =	92-95
B+ =	89-91	B =	85-88
C+ =	80-87	C =	73-79
F =	72 and below		

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Class Schedule

Week-Class

Topic and Readings

- (1) 1/26 Course Introduction: Critiquing Education Research. Basic concepts for reading and critiquing a research article will be presented.
- Assignment – Class #2: Read McEwan, Chapters 1 - 4 and Jones, Chapters 2 – 4. Also please look at the list of possible topics for presentations that accompanies this syllabus. Be thinking of a topic that is of interest to you that could be used for your team presentation. Also be thinking of topics for your two individual presentations and the written assignment. The topics you propose should have an education policy component and a substantial body of research (pro/con). At the next class students will be assigned teams, select topics from the list included with this syllabus, and propose topics for their individual presentations and paper.**
- (2) 2/02 Critiquing Education Research: Framing Questions and Identifying Answering Tools. Reading and analyzing research.
- Students select topics for their team and individual presentations. A schedule for these presentations will be set at this time. **Assignment – Class #3: Read Jones Chapters 5 – 7 (note in particular pp. 149-150) . Read Fuller & Dadey article.**
- (3) 2/09 Critiquing Education Research: Using the Jones and Locke frameworks for evaluating research articles. **Assignment – Class #4 Read McEwan pp. 13, 48, 69, 86, and 105. Read Brady & Niles; Clement; and Nagayama & Gilliard articles.**
- (4) 2/16 Critiquing Articles (best of the bad)
- (5) 2/23 Policy Issue: Does Reducing Class Size Improve Student Learning?
- Class activity: Students will be randomly assigned to two groups and using Jones or Locke’s framework (or a mix of both), critique evidence presented in the McEwan book on class size reduction. Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps? How would you refute the assertion that class size reduction increases student learning? How would you refute the assertion that class size has no impact on student learning?
- (6) 3/02 Work Break
- (7) 3/09 Three Team Presentations
- March 16 No Class Spring Break
- (8) 3/23 Student Presentations 1/2
- (9) 3/30 Student Presentations 3/4
- (10) 4/06 Student Presentations 5/6
- (11) 4/13 Student Presentations 7/8
- (12) 4/20 Student Presentations 9/10
- (13) 4/27 No Class AERA

May 1 Papers due
(14) 5/04 Student Presentations 11/12

Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/>.

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see <http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/>).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/>).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see <http://ods.gmu.edu/>).
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or <https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <http://coursesupport.gmu.edu/>.

- The Writing Center provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (see <http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/>).
- The Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (see <http://caps.gmu.edu/>).
- The Student Support & Advocacy Center staff helps students develop and maintain healthy lifestyles through confidential one-on-one support as well as through interactive programs and resources. Some of the topics they address are healthy relationships, stress management, nutrition, sexual assault, drug and alcohol use, and sexual health (see <http://ssac.gmu.edu/>). Students in need of these services may contact the office by phone at 703-993-3686. Concerned students, faculty and staff may also make a referral to express concern for the safety or well-being of a Mason student or the community by going to <http://ssac.gmu.edu/make-a-referral/>.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <https://cehd.gmu.edu/>.

Student Presentation Topics (select one)

1. Do students perform better in small rather than large high schools? (Begin with but go beyond studies supported by the Gates Foundation.)

Policy Issue: School Size – What’s too Big and What’s Too Small?

Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps? How would you refute a policy proposal to create smaller learning environments? How would you refute school consolidation to create larger learning environments?

2. What is the best method to prepare new teachers?

(Policy Issue: Are Certain Models of Preparing Teachers Better than

Others? Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps? How would you refute a policy that supports a particular teacher preparation model?

3. How Does the United States’ Education System Compare with Other Nations? Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps? How would you refute assertions that students in U.S. schools are less competent than students in other nations? How would you refute the assertion that the heterogeneous nature of education in the U.S. makes cross national comparisons useless?

4. Is there a successful strategy to address and curb school violence? (Journals for school administrators and counselors are a good place to begin.)

Policy Issue: What Strategies Have Been Found to Reduce or Curtail School Violence? Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gap?

5. Does grouping students by ability promote student achievement? (The special education literature presents one perspective on this, however other research should be reviewed. The body of literature on this topic is large – be selective.)

What Are the Benefits or Liabilities of Grouping Students for Instructional Purposes (tracking, grouping within classes, gifted and talented programs, special education)? Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps? How would you refute the decision to group students for instructional purposes? How would you refute a decision not to group students?

6. Are single sex K-12 schools a successful strategy for promoting student achievement? (Look at research regarding single sex colleges, but do not limit yourself to this body of scholarship.)

What are the Benefits or Liabilities of Creating Single Sex Schools? Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps?

7. Select and evaluate one or more strategies to promote diverse learning environments. (Look at literature pertaining to both K-12 and higher education settings. Don't forget the Supreme Court.).

Are there Effective Models to Achieve Diversity in Education Institutions (K-16)? Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps?

8. Is licensing (or certifying) teachers and/or school administrators a measure of educator competence?

Should K-12 Teachers and Administrators be Required to Hold a State License? Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps?

9. Is school choice (vouchers etc.) a good option for students and their families?

Does School Choice Improve Student Achievement (vouchers, charter schools, magnet schools, etc.)? Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps?

10. Is "pullout" an effective strategy to help students who are struggling in particular areas (reading, mathematics, etc)? (Begin your research search looking at the Title I program, but do not limit your search to research on this program alone.)

Is Pullout an Effective Way to Help Students Who are Weak in Particular Subjects? Is there sufficient evidence to reach consensus on this matter? If not, what evidence is missing and what research might be done to fill the gaps?

11. Does participation of children aged 3-5 in preschool result in higher achievement in elementary school? Many policy makers are suggesting that universal preschool for children who are three and four years old will result in better learning outcomes once they enter elementary school. Does the evidence support this?

12. What is the best tool to predict student success in postsecondary education? In recent years some universities have dropped the requirement that students take and achieve a particular qualifying score on tests like the ACT and SAT. What evidence is available to support or not support the use of these exams or other measures to make college admissions decisions.

Grading Rubric: Social Science Research and Public Policy

Grade/Points	Consensus Group	Research Summary Assignments
A 96 – 100	Outstanding. Participates in and promotes conversation focused on the topic. Comments demonstrate a high level of understanding.	Exceeds Expectations; presentation of research is objective and demonstrates deep reflection; facilitation of class discussion is exceptional and promotes high level conversation on the topic. Work shows evidence of very strong analytic skills. Written material is error free. (APA perfect)
A- 92 – 95	Well above the average doctoral student; actively advances the intellectual level of the discussion.	Well above average doctoral student; presentation of research is objective and on-target; good facilitation of class discussion, keeping discussion focused on the topic. Work shows evidence of strong analytic skills. Written material is primarily error free.
B+ 89 –91	Reliable participant in discussions; questions and comments reveal some thought and reflection.	Presentation of research is solid and objectives; during group discussions, questions and comments reveal some thought and reflection. Work shows evidence of solid analytic skills. Grammar or spelling errors on written materials do not distract the reader.
B 85 – 88	Doesn't contribute often, but generally reveals some thought and reflection. Follows rather than leads group activities.	Presentation of research is solid but not always objective or complete; one or more key points are not covered. Analytic work is generally sound but may have some gaps in logic. Grammar or spelling errors on written materials distract the reader.
C+ 80-87	Weak or minimal participation; passive; often sidetracks group.	Presentation of research is incomplete and not objective. Multiple key points are not covered or are misrepresented. Important studies are not referenced. Written materials are unclear. Facilitation of class discussion strays from the topic.
C 73-79		Presentation of research is incomplete and not objective. Important studies are not referenced or are misrepresented. Written materials are not presented or are unrelated to the topic. Weak facilitation of the discussion as evidenced by lack of focus on the topic. Written materials have multiple spelling and grammar errors.
F 72 and below	No constructive participation; destructive; demeaning toward other points of view.	Assignments are not done or are significantly incomplete.

