GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Course

EDCI 559: Research and Assessment in

Elementary Education
Section 006, 3 credits

Spring 2017

Tuesday 4:30-7:10; Lynbrook Elementary, Room 103

Instructor

Mandy Bean

Office Hours: By appointment

Thompson 1803 (704) 302-6702

abean5@gmu.edu

Prerequisites

Admission into elementary education graduate program; capstone course for degree must be taken last in programmatic sequence.

University Catalog Course Description

Provides teacher candidates an understanding of research paradigms utilizing systematic evidence to improve practice and further skills in assessment of learning outcomes. Emphasizes linking research and practice, making instructional decisions based on systematically collected data.

Course Overview

This course is designed to help teacher candidates understand various research paradigms utilizing research literature and systematic evidence to improve teacher practice, and to further their skills at assessment of learning outcomes. Course emphasizes linking research and practice and making instructional decisions based on systematically collected data.

Nature of Course Delivery

This course includes multiple instructional strategies and formats including face to face and asynchronous online class sessions. Individual session formats vary and may include lecture, small group/large group discussion, hands-on, interactive work, student presentations, and cooperative learning. Practical applications of theory are explored in group activities.

Under no circumstances, may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

Technical Requirements

To participate in this course, students will need to satisfy the following technical requirements:

- High-speed Internet access with a standard up-to-date browser, either Internet Explorer or Mozilla Firefox is required (note: Opera and Safari are not compatible with Blackboard).
- Students must maintain consistent and reliable access to their GMU email and Blackboard, as these are the official methods of communication for this course.
- The following software plug-ins for PCs and Macs, respectively, are available for free download:
 - Adobe Acrobat Reader: https://get.adobe.com/reader/
 - Windows Media Player:
 https://windows.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/downloads/windows-media-player/
 - Apple Quick Time Player: www.apple.com/quicktime/download/

COURSE EXPECTATIONS

COURSE WEEK: Our course week will begin on Tuesdays as indicated on the Schedule of Classes.

LOG-IN FREQUENCY: Students must actively check the course Blackboard site and their GMU email for communications from the instructor, class discussions, and/or access to course materials at least *twice* a week.

PARTICIPATION: Students are expected to actively engage in all course activities throughout the semester, which includes viewing all course materials, completing course activities and assignments, and participating in course discussions and group interactions.

TECHNICAL COMPETENCE: Students are expected to demonstrate competence in the use of all course technology. Students who are struggling with technical components of the course are expected to seek assistance from the instructor and/or College or University technical services.

TECHNICAL ISSUES: Students should anticipate some technical difficulties during the semester and should, therefore, budget their time accordingly. Late work will not be accepted based on individual technical issues.

WORKLOAD: Please be aware that this course is **not** self-paced. Students are expected to meet *specific deadlines* and *due dates* listed in the **Class Schedule** section of this syllabus. It is the student's responsibility to keep track of the weekly course schedule of topics, readings, activities and assignments due.

INSTRUCTOR SUPPORT: Students may schedule a one-on-one meeting to discuss course requirements, content or other course-related issues. Those unable to come to a Mason campus can meet with the instructor via telephone or web conference. Students should email the instructor to schedule a one-on-one session, including their preferred meeting method and suggested dates/times.

NETIQUETTE: The course environment is a collaborative space. Experience shows that even an innocent remark typed in the online environment can be misconstrued. Students must always re-read their responses carefully before posting them, so as others do not consider them as personal offenses. *Be positive in your approach with others and diplomatic in selecting your words*. Remember that you are not competing with classmates, but sharing information and learning from others. All faculty are similarly expected to be respectful in all communications.

ACCOMMODATIONS: Learners who require effective accommodations to insure accessibility must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services.

WRITTEN WORK: All assignments must be written in Times New Roman 12 point font, double-spaced, and follow APA (6th edition) formatting guidelines (see http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/ for more information). Assignments should be submitted electronically via email and are due by class time on the date indicated in an appropriate format (i.e., Word document). Assignments turned in late or in an inappropriate format will be eligible for only 50% of the available points. If there is an

emergency, contact me as soon as possible. All assignments should be submitted with the following naming convention: student's last name and the title of the assignment. For example: Bean_LitReview

ABSENTEE POLICY: I understand that we all get sick and busy. However, if you are absent more than once, I will deduct points from your class participation grade as a result. You cannot contribute to class if you do not attend.

IN CLASS TECHNOLOGY POLICY: When you are using cell phones, laptops, etc., *please be respectful of your peers and your instructor and do not engage in activities that are unrelated to class.* Such disruptions show a lack of professionalism and may affect your participation grade.

LEARNING OUTCOMES

A. Students will be able to:

- 1. Articulate the role of systematic evidence in the improvement of teaching and learning.
- 2. Use educational research literature as a basis for reflecting on and improving their teaching practice.
- 3. Make explicit linkages between research and assessment practice.
- 4. Distinguish between the purposes and methods of theoretical, applied, and action research as well as quantitative and qualitative research.
- 5. Explain the fundamentals of validity and reliability, and articulate the potential threats to validity within various research paradigms.
- 6. Critique the quality of research studies within various paradigms.
- 7. Describe the purpose and cycle of action research, specifically in relationship to assessment of their learners.
- 8. Design appropriate and authentic assessments and analyze student data.
- 9. Design an action research study based on research and student assessments.
- 10. Use technology to assist in locating, using, conducting research, and analyzing data.

B. Outcomes and Standards (see below)

Learning	NBPTS	NETS Standards	InTASC Standards	ACEI Standards
Outcomes	Propositions			
1	1, 3	V	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10	3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 4.0, 5.1
2	1, 3, 4	IV & V	9, 10	5.1
3	1, 3, 4	IV & V	6, 9	4.0, 5.1
4	4	V	6,	4.0
5	4	V	6	4.0
6	4	V	6, 9, 10	4.0, 5.1
7	1, 3, 4	IV & V	6, 7, 9	3.1, 4.0, 5.1
8	1, 3	IV & V	6	4.0
9	4	IV & V	6, 7, 9	3.1, 4.0, 5.1
10	4	V	4	2.1, 2.6

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

Upon completion of this course, students will have met the following professional standards:

❖ ACEI Standards

Standard 3.1: Integrating and applying knowledge for instruction—Candidates plan and implement instruction based on knowledge of students, learning theory, connections across the curriculum, curricular goals, and community

Standard 3.2: Adaptation to diverse students—Candidates understand how elementary students differ in their development and approaches to learning, and create instructional opportunities that are adapted to diverse students

Standard 3.3: Development of critical thinking and problem solving—Candidates understand and use a variety of teaching strategies that encourage elementary students' development of critical thinking and problem solving

Standard 3.4: Active engagement in learning—Candidates use their knowledge and understanding of individual and group motivation and behavior among students at the K-6 level to foster active engagement in learning, self-motivation, and positive social interaction and to create supportive learning environments

Standard 4.0: Assessment for instruction—Candidates know, understand, and use formal and informal assessment strategies to plan, evaluate and strengthen instruction that will promote continuous intellectual, social, emotional, and physical development of each elementary student.

Standard 5.1 Professional growth, reflection, and evaluation—Candidates are aware of and reflect on their practice in light of research on teaching, professional ethics, and resources available for professional learning; they continually evaluate the effects of their professional decisions and actions on students, families and other professionals in the learning community and actively seek out opportunities to grow professionally.

❖ INTASC

Standard #2: Learning Differences. The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

Standard #3: Learning Environments. The teacher works with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self motivation.

Standard #4: Content Knowledge. The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

Standard #5: Application of Content. The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues.

Standard #6: Assessment. The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide the teacher's and learner's decision making.

Standard #7: Planning for Instruction. The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.

Standard #8: Instructional Strategies. The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

Standard #9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice. The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner.

Standard #10: Leadership and Collaboration. The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.

❖ National Board for Professional Teaching Standards

Proposition 1: Teachers are committed to students and learning

Proposition 3: Teachers are responsible for managing and monitoring student learning

Proposition 4: Teachers think systematically about their practice and learn from their experience.

National Technology Standards

Standard IV: Teachers apply technology to facilitate a variety of effective assessment and evaluation strategies.

Standard V: Teachers use technology to enhance their productivity and professional practice.

❖ The Virginia State Technology Standards for Instructional Personnel

- 1. Instructional personnel shall be able to demonstrate effective use of a computer system and utilize computer software.
- 2. Instructional personnel shall be able to apply knowledge of terms associated with educational computing and technology.
- 3. Instructional personnel shall be able to apply computer productivity tools for professional use
- 4. Instructional personnel shall be able to use electronic technologies to access and exchange information.

REQUIRED TEXT

Mills, G. E. (2014). Action research: A guide for the teacher researcher (5th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.

COURSE PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS:

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor.

TK20 Performance-Based Assessment Submission Requirement

Every student registered for any Elementary Education course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit these assessments, Action Research Report and Critical Incident Reflection, to Tk20 through Blackboard (regardless of whether the student is taking the course as an elective, a onetime course or as part of an undergraduate minor). Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in Tk20 through Blackboard. Failure to submit the assessment to Tk20 (through Blackboard) will result in the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required Tk20 submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

ASSIGNMENTS

Assignment	Points
1. Readings and Activities (in-class and online) [Outcomes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]	20
2. Critical Incident Reflection [Outcomes 1, 8, 10)	20
3. Action Research Report (PBA) [Outcomes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]	35
4. Quantitative Data Analysis [Outcomes 4, 5, 6]	10
5. Qualitative Data Analysis [Outcomes 4, 5, 6]	10
6. Action Research Presentation [Outcomes 1, 2, 3, 7]	5
Total	100

1. Reading and Activities (In-Class and Online) (20 points)

I expect that you will complete all assigned readings and tasks each week. You also must be present during each class session by actively engaging with the content and your peers. Online discussions and activities must also clearly demonstrate your thoughtful completion of the readings and tasks. In the event of an emergency, I must be notified of your absence in advance of class.

2. Critical Incident Reflection (20 points) (Due February 21)—a TK20 Requirement

Rationale: According to John Dewey (1933) reflection is an active, persistent, and careful consideration of any belief or supposed form of knowledge in light of the grounds supporting it and future conclusions to which it tends. Critical reflection delves even more deeply. Critical reflection allows teachers to make teaching decisions on the basis of a conscious awareness and careful consideration of the assumptions on which the decisions are based, and the technical, educational, and ethical consequences of those decisions. The end result of critical reflection for the individual is cognitive change (Yost et al., 2011).

Van Manen (1977) describes three hierarchical levels of reflection:

- **Technical reflection** focuses on effective application of skills and knowledge in the classroom so reflection focuses on analyzing the effects of strategies used.
- **Practical reflection** focuses on the assumptions underlying a specific practice and the consequences of that practice on student learning. It implies the assessment of the educational implications of actions and beliefs.
- **Critical reflection** includes emphases from technical and practical reflection and goes deeper. It focuses on questioning moral and ethical dimensions of decisions related, directly or indirectly, to the classroom. Teachers make connections between situations they encounter and the broader social, political, and economic forces that influence those events.

Assignment: Video analysis and Written Reflection

This assignment requires you to reflect at all three levels. Sometime during one of the lessons you teach during your internship, record yourself teaching a lesson. **Prior to recording, be sure to obtain** appropriate permissions from school system, school, and families as needed in your specific context.

Part 1 – Holistic Reflection – Directly following the lesson presentation, write a reflective statement that includes technical, practical, and critical reflections.

Part 2 – Critical Incident Analysis – From your recorded lesson, select a segment (~5-10 minutes in length) that contains a critical incident, an incident that did not go as anticipated, causing you to adjust and/or rethink your instruction.

The following should be used to guide your description of and reflection on the critical teaching incident captured within your videotaped lesson:

- a. Provide a brief description of what is happening in the selected clip.
- b. Explain why this particular segment was selected.
- c. Briefly describe what went well and what aspects of the lesson you would like to revise.
- d. Propose alternative ways of handling the critical incident. You should draw upon your readings, knowledge of best practice, observations, and course work for support.
- e. Summarize what was learned and how it will impact your future teaching.

Students will (a) post the critical incident video to Edthena, and turn in (b) the lesson plan for the recorded lesson, (c) the holistic reflection, and (d) critical incident video written analysis on Blackboard.

3. Action Research Report (35 points) (Final due June 6)—TK20 Requirement

This is the major assignment for this class and is the Performance Based Assessment (PBA) for the course. The final written report will include the seven sections below. These sections will be submitted throughout the semester for formative feedback from peers and your instructor. These drafts MUST be submitted by their syllabus due dates. Not doing so will affect your Readings and Activities (in class and online) grade. Points will also be earned for quality of writing and use of APA style. See attached rubric.

- Part One: Rationale and Research Question(s) (due Jan 31)
- Part Two: Annotated Bibliography Literature Review (due Feb 14)
- Part Three: Context and Instructional Change (due Feb 28)
 - o Describe your school, community, classroom and student population; and
 - Describe the instructional change
- Part Four: Data Collection and Student Assessment (due March 21)
 - Describe how you collected data to answer your research question(s). This includes formative and summative assessments designed to gather that data.
- Part Five: Data Analysis (due May 23)
 - Describe how you analyzed your data
- Part Six: Findings (due May 23)
 - Describe your findings and their implications for teaching and learning
- Part Seven: Implications (due May 30)
 - Describe the implications of your study for your teaching

Final Report must be submitted via TK20 on June 6th

4. Qualitative Data Analysis (10 points) (Due Feb 21)

Groups of students will analyze qualitative data.

5. Quantitative Data Analysis (10 points) (Due March 7)

Groups of students will analyze quantitative data.

6. Action Research Presentation (5 points)

You will present your Action Research. This presentation will be based on your written final report (see above). You will participate with other sections of EDCI 559 in a roundtable session. You will prepare a one-page handout for distribution to your audience.

Grading Scale

94-100 = A	90-93 = A-	88-89 = B+	81-87 = B	70-80 = C	Below 70 = F	

PROFESSIONAL DISPOSITIONS

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times. (See Elementary Education Program Handbook).

COURSE SCHEDULE

Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

Date	Readings	Topics and Activities	Deliverables
1/17		F2F	
		 Introductions and Syllabus 	
		- Review Teacher Research	
		- Critical Friends Groups	
		- Rationale and Research Question	
		 Reviewing the Literature 	
1/24	Mills ch. 1, 3	Online	
		 Work on rationale and research question(s) 	
		- Work on critical incident	
1/31		Online	Part 1: Rationale and
		 Work on annotated bibliography 	Research Question(s)
		- Work on critical incident	
2/7	Mills ch. 4, 5	F2F	Annotated Bibliography: 5
		- Designing your study	research articles related to
		 Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis 	your topic
		 Matching methods to questions (chart) 	
2/14		Online	Part 2: Annotated
		 Work on annotated bibliography 	Bibliography: 5 MORE
		 Work on critical incident 	research articles related
		-	
2/21		Online	Critical Incident due
		 Work on annotated bibliography 	
		- Work on critical incident	
		-	
2/28		Online	Part 3: Context and Change
		- Catch up; questions thus far	
3/7	Mills ch. 6, 7	F2F	
	Appendices B, C	 Quantitative Data Collection and Analysis 	
		 Matching methods to questions (chart) 	
3/14		No Class – Mason Spring Break	
3/21	Mills, ch. 9	F2F	Part 4: Data Collection
	Appendix A	- Ensuring Trustworthiness	
		 Validity, Reliability, Generalizability 	
		- Evaluating the Quality of Action Research	

3/28		No Class – IT, data collection		
		(meet individually or in groups)		
4/4		No Class – IT, data collection		
		(meet individually or in groups)		
4/11		No Class – FCPS Spring Break		
		(meet individually or in groups)		
4/18		No Class – IT, data collection		
		(meet individually or in groups)		
4/25		No Class – IT, data collection		
		(meet individually or in groups)		
5/2		No Class – IT, data collection		
		(meet individually or in groups)		
5/9		F2F		
		- Analyzing data		
5/16		Online		
		- TBA		
5/23	Mills ch. 8	F2F	Part 5: Data Analysis	
		 Preparing final research report 	Part 6: Findings	
		 Preparing presentation 		
5/30		Online	Part 7: Implications	
		- TBA		
6/6		F2F	Final Report	
		- Sharing Symposium		
		- Location TBD		

CORE VALUES COMMITMENT

Core Values Commitment The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/



Promoting Learning Development Across the Lifespan

GMU POLICIES AND CAMPUS RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS

POLICIES

Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/).

Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).

Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.

Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see http://ods.gmu.edu/).

Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

CAMPUS RESOURCES

Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/.

The Writing Center provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (see http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/).

The Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (see http://caps.gmu.edu/).

The Student Support & Advocacy Center staff helps students develop and maintain healthy lifestyles through confidential one-on-one support as well as through interactive programs and resources. Some of the topics they address are healthy relationships, stress management, nutrition, sexual assault, drug and alcohol use, and sexual health (see http://ssac.gmu.edu/). Students in need of these services may contact the office by phone at 703-993-3686. Concerned students, faculty and staff may also make a referral to express concern for the safety or well-being of a Mason student or the community by going to http://ssac.gmu.edu/make-a-referral/.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See http://gse.gmu.edu/].

EMERGENCY PROCEDURES

You are encouraged to sign up for emergency alerts by visiting the website https://alert.gmu.edu. There are emergency posters in each classroom explaining what to do in the event of crises. Further information about emergency procedures exists on http://gmu.edu/service/cert

Action Research Rubric

Levels/Criteria	3	2	1
Introduction:	The problem is described	The problem is adequately	The problem is not
Describes the	in details. The description	described. The description	adequately described. It
problem. Clear	fully leads the reader to	partially leads the reader	does not lead the reader
research	the research question. The	to the research question.	to the research question.
question	research question is clear.	The research question is	The research question is
stated.	It is measureable. The	vague. It is measureable.	unclear. It is not
Significance of	significance of the	The significance of the	measureable. The
problem is	problem is addressed	problem is adequately	significance of the
addressed.	fully. It is clear why this is	addressed, but it is not	problem is unfocused and
InTASC 9	an important problem to	clear as to why this is	rambles. It is not clear
ACEI 5.1	study.	important.	why this is an important
			topic to study.
Annotated	At least nine to ten	Five to eight research	Less than five research
Bibliography:	research studies are used	studies are used. At least	studies are used. The
Research	to support the literature	one of them is from a	articles are not from
studies are	review. These are from	respectable journal. The	respectable journals. The
used.	respectable journals. The	studies are somewhat	studies are not
Studies relate	studies are appropriate	appropriate for the topic	appropriate for the topic
to the research	for the topic and research	and research question.	or research question.
question.	questions. Bibliography is	Bibliography follows APA	
InTASC 9	in APA style with no	style with no more than	
ACEI 5.1	errors.	two errors.	
Context and	The setting is fully	The setting is adequately	Setting is not included or
Intervention	described. It includes, size	described. Most	inadequately described. It
Provided:	of school, location, grade	information is included,	is not clear where the
Setting	level, subject taught, etc.	but not all.	research will be
Described.	All pertinent information	The population is	conducted.
Population	is included.	adequately described.	Population is not included
identified.	The population is fully	Most information is	or inadequately described.
Intervention.	described. It includes	included, but not all.	It is not clear who will be
InTASC 9	number of students,	Intervention is adequately	participating in the
ACEI 5.1	gender and ethnic	described, but either the	research study.
	breakdown, grade levels,	description of the	There is no intervention or
	academic abilities, etc.	intervention or the	implementation explained
	Information relevant to	implementation is	or the intervention does
	the research is included.	confusing.	not align with the
	Intervention is fully		research question.
	described. It is clear what		
	the intervention is and		
	how it will be		
	implemented.		
	Intervention is realistic.		

D 1	- 1 1 1 1		6.1
Research	The methodology chosen	Two of the three stated	None or one of the criteria
Design:	(quantitative, qualitative,	criteria are adequate.	is adequate. The research
Design of study	mixed methods) is	Formative and summative	is not ethical.
matches goals.	appropriate for the	assessments are included	Either formative or
Formative and	research question. There	in the design. Copies are	summative assessments
Summative	is adequate time allowed	not included and they are	are included, but not
Assessments	for data collection. The	not well described.	both. Copies are not
included.	treatment is reasonable	Most, but not all, of the	included and they are not
Data sources	and ethical.	data sources are	well described.
appropriate.	There is a mixture of	appropriate.	The majority of the data
InTASC 9	formative and summative		sources are not
ACEI 5.1	assessments included in		appropriate for the
	the design. They are well-		research question. Data
	described or a copy is		collected is not ethical.
	included.		
	All data sources are		
	appropriate for the		
	research question. The		
	information collected will		
	help answer the question.		
Analysis of	The entire analysis of the	The majority of the	The analysis is
Data:	data is appropriate. The	analysis is appropriate.	inappropriate or not well-
Appropriate	information gathered	However, it is not clear	defined.
analysis for	addresses the research	how other parts were	
data collected.	question.	analyzed.	
InTASC 9			
ACEI 5.1			
Findings and	Findings are presented in	Findings are presented in	Findings are not
Implications:	a clear format. Key	an appropriate format,	presented in a clear
Findings are	findings are thoroughly	although they could be	format or are absent. Key
presented	discussed. Implications	clearer. Key findings are	findings are not
clearly.	from the data are well-	discussed in general	adequately discussed.
Implications	developed and fully	terms. Implications from	Implications from the data
from data	discussed. The	the data are adequately	are inadequately
proposed.	implications are	discussed. It is clear the	discussed. It is not clear
Connects back	connected back to the	student is able to	the student is able to
to the findings.	results of the data	interpret the findings.	interpret the findings. The
I	analysis.	However, the implications	implications are not tied
InTASC 9 ACEI 5.1		are not tied back to the	back to the results.
ACEI 3.1		results.	

Overall Style:
Clear, concise
writing.
Grammar and
punctuation.
Multiple levels
of headings
used to
organize ideas.
InTASC 9
ACEI 5.1

The writing is very clear and concise. The reader can understand what the problem is and how the research will address it. There are no grammar and punctuation errors. There is evidence of multiple levels of headings. These are used appropriately in all instances.

The majority of the writing is clear and concise.
There are one to three grammar and punctuation errors.
There is evidence of

There is evidence of multiple levels of headings. These are used appropriately in the majority of instances.

The majority of the reading is vague and unclear. The reader has difficulty seeing the connections between the various sections.
There are four or more grammar and punctuation errors.
There is no evidence of multiple levels of headings or these are used

inappropriately in the majority of instances.