



**COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION**

**EDLE 634 - 001: CONTEMPORARY ISSUES IN EDUCATION LEADERSHIP
3 credit hours
Spring 2017**

Meeting Days & Times:	Wednesdays, January 25 - May 3, 4:30 – 7:10 p.m.
Meeting Location:	Robinson Hall A247
Instructor:	Ed Stephenson
Office Hours:	By appointment
Office Location:	George Mason University 4400 University Drive, 4C2 Fairfax, VA 22030-4444
E-mail:	lstephel@gmu.edu
Phone:	571.645.4459
Website:	https://mymasonportal.gmu.edu

PREREQUISITE

Admission to the Education Leadership program

UNIVERSITY CATALOGUE COURSE DESCRIPTION

EDLE 634 – Contemporary Issues in Education Leadership examines current and emerging issues and trends impacting education. Includes demographic shifts; globalization; technology; data-based decision making; inclusion of diverse learners in American schools; and recent research on student achievement when influenced by race, gender, and poverty.

COURSE DELIVERY METHOD

Class sessions will consist of lectures, discussions, debate, scenarios and student presentations. Students should see themselves as partners in creating a valuable and memorable educational experience. Under no circumstances, may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

1. Analyze contemporary issues and major trends in education and their implications for society at large and schools and districts.
2. Synthesize recent research relative to student achievement in diverse school settings with attention to relationships between student achievement, gender, race, poverty, and ability.

3. Identify various strategies to maximize the effective use of data to improve student achievement and effective ways to communicate results to diverse community stakeholders

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Students who successfully complete this course will be able to:

1. Identify, define, and articulate competing and contested views on a contemporary issue in education leadership using relevant education research and policy literature.
2. Access, analyze, and summarize publicly available data on a given education research topic in written form.
3. Present orally and visually a research-based synthesis of a contemporary issue in education leadership for diverse stakeholder audiences using relevant education research and policy literature.

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

Participants in this course will demonstrate proficiency in appropriate Virginia Department of Education (VA DOE) competencies and ISLLC standards. VA DOE: 1-d, 1-e, 2-c, 2-d, 3-g, 4-a, 5-c, 6-e, 6-f. ISLLC: 1-K1, 1-K4, 1-K5, 2-K7, 2-K10, 2-P5, 2-P6, 2-P9, 2-P16, 2-P18, 3-K8, 3-P3, 3-P16, 3-P17, 4-K1, 4-K2, 4-P11, 5-K3, 5-P12, 6-K6, 6-K8, 6-P2, 6-P4, 6-P5. This course also fulfills NCATE Curriculum Guidelines by helping students demonstrate understanding in the areas of education leadership; strategic leadership (1.3, 1.6, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4); instructional leadership (3.3, 3.4); and organizational leadership (7.2, 7.4, and 9.3).

REQUIRED TEXT

Frey, William H. (2015). *Diversity explosion: How new racial demographics are Transforming America*. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press.

Suggested readings may be added and provided throughout the semester based on student needs and interests.

COURSE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time as outlined in this syllabus. All papers must be typed (12 pt. font, 1-inch margins, double-spaced in APA format - 6th edition) and submitted electronically to Blackboard. It is expected that student work will be submitted at or before 11:59 p.m. on each due date.

If you miss class the day an assignment is due, it is your responsibility to ensure the assignment is turned in before the deadline. If you anticipate needing an extension (except, of course, in the case of a true emergency), please ask for one prior to the deadline.

Students can earn a total of 100 points in this course. Graded assignments account for 80 points while class participation account for 20 points as follows:

1. Class Discussion and Participation	20 points
2. Issue Brief	20 points
3. Research Advocacy Paper	40 points
4. Research Presentation	20 points
Total Possible Points	100 points

Graded Assignments and Participation Expectations

This section briefly describes assignment and class participation expectations. Detailed instructions and grading rubrics are provided at the end of the syllabus. Please review these items carefully before you begin your work.

1. **Class Discussion and Participation.** Students are expected to actively participate in class by completing readings prior to class discussions. Weekly readings should be completed **prior** to the class meeting for which they are assigned. Students are also encouraged to share relevant materials and resources to stimulate discussion, learning, and improved practice in the field. **(20 points)**
2. **Issue Brief.** Students will select a contemporary issue in education that is of great interest to them and holds important implications for the study and practice of education leadership. Once selected, the student will write an issue brief that: (a) clearly describes the underlying assumptions and arguments informing multiple perspectives on the issue; (b) integrates current research/policy literature and popular media coverage of the issue; (c) analyzes competing perspectives on the prevailing issue and their implications for education leaders, and (d) presents at least three recommendations for policy and/or leadership practice as possible solutions. **(20 points) DUE: March 1, 2017 at 11:59 p.m.**
3. **Research Advocacy Paper.** In this culminating research paper, students will synthesize what they have learned about the education issue of their choice, highlighting related research, publicly available data, newly collected data (i.e., stakeholder interview responses) and ways in which their thinking has developed since the initial issue brief. In order to demonstrate the student's mastery of the course learning objectives, the paper should draw upon **relevant course materials and class discussions**, findings from at least **six research publications/data sources**, and **stakeholder interviews** that expand the student's knowledge of the issue. The paper should conclude with at least two or more policy recommendations and their rationale for implementation. **(40 points) DUE: April 12, 2017 at 11:59 p.m.**
4. **Research Presentation.** The accompanying presentation should succinctly review the research advocacy paper, not to exceed 20 minutes, followed by 10 minutes of Q & A with the audience. **(20 points) DUE: April 19, 2017 by the beginning of class.**

Written Assignments

All written assignments should be typed, formatted, and free from grammatical, spelling, and typographical errors. They should also be clear, concise, and well organized; incorporating literature and research from and beyond the course to support discussion and debate. Students should use the APA Publication Manual, 6th edition as a guide. I maintain high standards and expectations for quality writing so make sure to consult APA guidelines, colleagues, and/or the GMU Writing Center [<http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/>] to review and edit your work before turning it in to me. If you have any questions or concerns about your writing, please feel free to contact me before assignments are due.

Grading

The grading scale for the final course grade is as follows:

A+	=	100 points
A	=	95-99 points
A-	=	90-94 points
B+	=	87-89 points
B	=	83-86 points
B-	=	80-82 points
C	=	75-79 points
F	=	below 75 points

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Class Schedule

	DATE	CLASS OVERVIEW	ASSIGNMENTS/READINGS
1	Jan 25	Course Introduction and Overview Hot Topics in Education Leadership Setting the Tone for Discussion & Debate Researching topics	
2	Feb 1	Topic: Testing & Accountability Measures Discussion Question: Have externally mandated standardized testing and accountability measures led to the narrowing of traditional achievement gaps in most schools?	Independent research of the topic and discussion question.
3	Feb 8	Topic: Funding Schools Discussion question: Has increased government spending improved the quality of public education for the majority of students.	Independent research of the topic and discussion question.
4	Feb 15	Topic: Special education and programs for English Learners Discussion question: Have federal and state laws and regulations pertaining to students with disabilities and English learners improved student achievement and climate in most schools?	Independent research of the topic and discussion question.

6	Feb 22	Topic: Bullying & Harassment Discussion question: Have school discipline codes and bullying-prevention programs increased student well-being and preparedness to function in society?	Independent research of the topic and discussion question. DUE: Issue Brief
7	Mar 1	Topic: Compulsory School Attendance Discussion question: Is compulsory attendance enforceable and necessary for the development of an educated and informed populace?	Independent research of the topic and discussion question.
8	Mar 8	Topic: Immigration & Homelessness Discussion question: Should schools be required to provide education to the homeless and all immigrants regardless of their legal status?	Independent research of the topic and discussion question.
9	Mar 22	Topic: School Choice Discussion question: Are private (or charter) schools better positioned to provide high quality educational experiences to students than public schools.	Independent research of the topic and discussion question. Watch: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=euZ65qtS9E&t=0s
10	Mar 29	Topic: Demographic Shifts (Part 1) Discussion: Changes/trends in different demographic groups	Frey Chapters 1-7
11	April 5	Topic: Demographic Shifts (Part 2) Discussion: Implications of demographic trends for educational policy and practice	Frey Chapters 8-12 DUE: Research Paper
12	April 19	Research Paper Presentations	
13	April 26	Research Paper Presentations	
14	May 3	Research Paper Presentations Course Wrap-up	

* Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles.

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

1. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see <http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/>).
2. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/>).
3. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
4. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see <http://ods.gmu.edu/>).
5. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

1. Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or <https://cehd.gmu.edu/api/tk20>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <http://courseessupport.gmu.edu/>.
2. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (see <http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/>).
3. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (see <http://caps.gmu.edu/>).
4. The Office of Student Support staff helps students negotiate life situations by connecting them with appropriate campus and off-campus resources. Students in need of these services may contact the office by phone (703-993-5376). Concerned students, faculty and staff may also make a referral to express concern for the safety or well-being of a Mason student or the community by going to <http://studentsupport.gmu.edu/>, and the OSS staff will follow up with the student.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <http://cehd.gmu.edu/>.

Assessment Rubric: Class Participation

	Exceeds Expectations (4)	Meets Expectations (3)	Approaching Expectations (2)	Below Expectations (1)
Attendance	Exemplary attendance, no tardies.	Near perfect attendance, few tardies.	Occasional (1-3) absences or tardies.	Frequent (>3) absences or tardies.
Quality of Questions, Interaction	Most queries are specific and on point. Deeply involved in class dialogue. Challenges ideas, seeks meaning.	Often has specific queries, stays involved in class dialogue, though sometimes tentative or off base.	Asks questions about deadlines, procedures, and directions or for help with little specificity. Little discussion of ideas.	Rarely asks questions of any quality.
Effort	Willingly participates when asked. Plays a leadership role in groups. Engages and brings out the best in others.	Willingly participates when asked. Takes on group tasks. Engages others.	Reluctantly participates when asked. Seeks easiest duties in groups. Tolerates others.	Actively avoids involvement when possible. Complains about others. Has large set of excuses.
Engagement	Enthusiastically initiates discussion. Personalizes and takes ownership of activities. Always knows where class or group is.	Sometimes initiates discussion and always works well with direction. Generally knows what's going on.	Seeks direction, but does not initiate discussion. May know where class or group is.	Waits for direction. Knows little of what is going on. Cannot describe where class or group is.

Assessment Rubric: Issue Brief

Criteria	Exceeds Expectations (4)	Meets Expectations (3)	Approaches Expectations (2)	Falls Below Expectations (1)
Overview of Issue (25%)	The brief begins with an accurate overview of the issue that is clear and concise. The author calls attention to key players, divergent points of view and the issue's significance to education leadership.	The brief begins with an overview of an issue, but may wander. The author does at least two of the following: calls attention to key players, divergent points of view and the issue's significance to education leadership.	The brief offers an overview of the essay but misstates critical details. The author does at least one of the following: calls attention to key players, divergent points of view and the issue's significance to education leadership.	The brief does not offer an accurate overview of the issue. The author neglects to call attention to key players, divergent points of view and the issue's significance to education leadership.
Related Research (40%)	The author effectively integrates publicly accessible research/policy literature and popular media coverage of the issue to further illustrate multiple and diverse perspectives on the issue.	The author integrates some publicly accessible research/policy literature and popular media coverage of the issue to present multiple and diverse perspectives on the issue.	The author does not present a sufficient discussion of the relevant research and popular media coverage or multiple perspectives on the issue.	The author does not include any research or popular media coverage of the issue.
Implications for Education Leadership (25%)	The author offers a clear and specific statement and examination of how he/she would lead around this issue as a school leader.	The author offers a statement of how he/she would lead around the issue, but offers few specific details.	The author offers a vague statement of how he/she would lead around the issue with few specific details.	The author does not offer a statement or examination of how he/she would lead around the issue as a school leader.
Mechanics (10%)	The paper is nearly error-free which reflects clear understanding and thorough proofreading.	There are occasional grammatical errors and questionable word choice.	Errors in grammar and punctuation are present, but spelling has been proofread.	There are frequent errors in spelling, grammar, and punctuation.

Assessment Rubric: Research Paper

Criteria	Exceeds Expectations (4)	Meets Expectations (3)	Approaches Expectations (2)	Falls Below Expectations (1)
Overview of Issue; Statement of Position (10%)	The paper begins with an accurate overview of the issue that is clear and concise. The author provides a clear thesis statement that highlights the author's position on the issue. The author offers readers a roadmap of the paper.	The paper begins with a general overview of the issue but fails to do so succinctly and with specific details. The author provides a thesis statement, but does not offer readers a sense of what will come next in the paper.	The core issue is unclear. The thesis statement is vague or unclear. There is no roadmap.	The author does not offer an accurate and succinct overview of the issue. There is no thesis.
Synthesis of publicly available data, research findings, and stakeholder interviews (30%)	The author highlights key points derived from the interviews and research (at least six sources) and integrates these components into an organized and logical discussion. The author highlights salient points of continuity and divergence.	The author highlights key points from the interviews and research (at least six sources), but does not put these two components into conversation.	The author speaks generally about the research and interviews but is unable to derive larger lessons learned from these.	The author does not speak about both the interviews and the research.
Policy intervention (30%)	The author offers a logical and well-conceived policy intervention that specifically attends to the core issue and takes into consideration both the views expressed in the interviews and the research. The author's plan is specific and outlines what action ought to be taken, by whom, and at what potential cost (either financial or other).	The author offers a general policy intervention with some details. The intervention seems to attend to the core issue, but the author considers only the interviews or the research. The author's plan generally outlines what action ought to be taken, by whom, and at what potential cost (either financial or other).	The author offers a vague policy intervention. It is not clear how the intervention takes into consideration the perspectives gleaned from the interviews and research. The author's plan only vaguely mentions what action ought to be taken, by whom, and at what potential cost (either financial or other).	The author does not offer a clear policy intervention.
Reflection (20%)	The author reflects on the data collected over the term (interviews and research) and considers what is learned from this collective body. The author reflects on how his/her position has evolved or adapted since the first	The author offers a general reflection on the data collected and offers some broad ideas about the lessons learned. The author offers general comments regarding how his/her position has evolved over time, but links this only in	The author offers a vague reflection on the data collected. The larger lessons learned are unclear. The author makes vague comments about the ways in which his/her thinking on the topic has evolved over time, but does not link this	The author does not reflect on the body of data collected over the term and offers no statement of larger lessons learned.

	position paper in thoughtful and meaningful ways. These reflections on lessons learned directly correlate to the policy intervention.	broad terms to the intervention proposed.	to the proposed intervention.	
Mechanics (10%)	The paper is nearly error-free which reflects clear understanding and thorough proofreading.	There are occasional grammatical errors and questionable word choice.	Errors in grammar and punctuation are present, but spelling has been proofread.	There are frequent errors in spelling, grammar, and punctuation

Associated Rubric: Research Presentation

Criteria	Exceeds Expectations (4)	Meets Expectations (3)	Approaches Expectations (2)	Falls Below Expectations (1)
Associated Presentation	The presentation clearly highlights essential points of paper. Demonstrates attention to high quality standards visually, technologically, and creatively. Engages classmates in discussion of topic.	The presentation highlights essential points of paper and engages classmates in discussion of topic.	The presentation highlights content of research paper, but does not engage classmates in discussion.	The presentation did not highlight content of research paper nor engage classmates in discussion.