George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Research Methods

EDRS 897 – Special Topics in Research Methods (Grounded Theory) 3 Credits, Spring 2017 Monday/10am-12:40pm/TH1010/Fairfax Campus

Faculty

Name: L. Earle Reybold
Office hours: By appointment
Office location: West 2203
Office phone: 703-993-9174
Email address: ereybold@gmu.edu

Prerequisites/Corequisites

EDRS 812

University Catalog Course Description

Develops knowledge and skills of selected advanced research methods topic(s).

Course Overview

Specifically, this special topics course prepares students to apply and critique grounded theory and related methods. Includes various approaches to design with particular attention to analysis techniques and theoretical selection, sensitivity, and saturation. Recommends students obtain IRB approval prior to beginning this course.

This class will be collaborative and interactive—be prepared for discussion! Questions are encouraged and expected, and alternative viewpoints are welcome. I value contributions to our discussions and ask you to speak up! However, I do expect you to support your assertions. Also, I expect all of us to create an educational climate of open debate that is respectful and democratic. Your participation as a team member and a class member will be evaluated, not by the quantity of your contribution, but by the quality and integrity of your contribution. Please note reading and assignment due dates. Contact me if you have questions or concerns about this material. I am available via e-mail or scheduled appointments.

There are five main components of the course:

- 1. Class meetings. Each class will incorporate a blend of mini-lectures on key topics, demonstrations, class exercises, and/or discussion.
- 2. Discussion. We will dedicate a considerable amount of time to discussion; be prepared to connect your specific interests to the readings and to offer feedback to peer projects.
- 3. Assigned readings. These readings are an essential part of the course; they provide necessary

preparation for class lectures, activities, and discussions, and they cover important aspects of the topic for further learning and understanding. Additional readings are provided to support individual exploration of methods and application.

- 4. Peer review. Each student in the class will provide peer review for at least two other student projects. This is not graded as a separate assignment, but it will count toward participation.
- 5. Data collection and analysis project. The final project will focus on methods of data collection/analysis, and critique of readings and application. Guidelines for this project are provided below; guidelines for the final project report will be given out in class and posted on the course Blackboard site.

Course Delivery Method

This course will be delivered using a seminar format.

Learner Outcomes or Objectives

This course is designed to enable students to do the following:

- Review and critique development of grounded theory across disciplines,
- Identify and critique opportunities for theoretical selection, sensitivity, and saturation,
- Distinguish between grounded theory and "theorizing from qualitative data,"
- Apply various analysis techniques appropriate to design and research questions, and
- Critique the literature and application of grounded theory related methods.

Professional Standards

Upon completion of this course, students will have met the following professional standards: Not Applicable.

Required Texts

- Charmaz, K. (2014). Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis (2nd ed.) Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Clarke, A. E. (2005). Situational analysis: Grounded theory after the postmodern turn. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Corbin, J. M., & Strauss, A. L. (2014) *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Recommended Texts

- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research.* New York, NY: Aldine de Gruyter.
- Clarke, A. E., Friese, C, & Washburn, R. (Eds.). (2016). *Situational analysis in practice: Mapping research with grounded theory*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy). All assignments will be submitted as hard copy to the instructor at the beginning of class.

• Assignments and Examinations

<u>Draft Data Collection/Analysis Report.</u> You will develop a draft report that communicates your design choices and rationale. We will discuss formats in class. However, if you want to use this as a part of your dissertation, I suggest strongly that you talk with your advisor about technical expectations. I want this to be a useful foundation for both your class research project and academic development. The assignment should not exceed 10 pages (double space, one-inch margins); this page limitation does not include title page, abstract, references, and appendices. (Be careful, though, about over-relying on appendices; if you cannot make the argument in the manuscript itself, appendices will not be useful.)

<u>Final Data Collection/Analysis Report.</u> Based on my comments and feedback from at least two peers (and your dissertation chair/methodologist, if applicable), you will finalize your report and critique the methods and related readings. The assignment should not exceed 20 pages (double space, one-inch margins); this page limitation does not include title page, abstract, references, and appendices. (Again, be careful about over-relying on appendices; if you cannot make the argument in the manuscript itself, appendices will not be useful.)

<u>Related Non-Graded Assignments.</u> Other non-graded assignments are expected during the course and contribute to your final project report. I will not accept late non-graded assignments.

• Other Requirements

Participation is not equivalent to attendance! The following criteria are expected:

- ✓ Prepared for discussion and tasks.
- ✓ Maintains balance between speaking and listening roles.
- ✓ Listens attentively and offers constructive feedback.
- ✓ Accepts diversity in viewpoints and negotiates differences.
- ✓ Shares leadership roles.

HUMAN SUBJECTS RESEARCH approval is highly suggested for this project. Since this project is expected to contribute directly to your dissertation or other project, your advisor should review and sign the paperwork. If you do not have an IRB-approved project, please discuss with me immediately. **YOU MAY NOT COLLECT DATA WITHOUT IRB APPROVAL.**

Course Performance Evaluation Weighting

Assignment	Points
Draft Report	25
Final Report	50
Participation	25
Total Points	100

Grading Policies

Grading Scale

A+	98-100%	B+	88-89%	C	70-79%
A	93-97%	В	83-87%	F	below 70%
Α-	90-92%	B-	80-82%		

Other Policies

Grades on assignments turned in late will be reduced 10%, and assignments more than one week late will not be accepted. Data collection and analysis assignments are required for completion of the research paper. These assignments are not graded, but they are the foundation of your research project. To receive timely feedback, assignments must be completed by due date.

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/.

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George

Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see http://ods.gmu.edu/).

• Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to https://coursessupport.gmu.edu/.
- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (see http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/).
- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (see http://caps.gmu.edu/).
- The George Mason University Office of Student Support staff helps students negotiate life situations by connecting them with appropriate campus and off-campus resources. Students in need of these services may contact the office by phone (703-993-5376). Concerned students, faculty and staff may also make a referral to express concern for the safety or well-being of a Mason student or the community by going to http://studentsupport.gmu.edu/, and the OSS staff will follow up with the student.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/.

Class Schedule (*indicates non-required reading)

SECTION 1 GROUNDED THEORY VS. THEORIZING FROM QR DATA

01/23 The role of theory/theories in qualitative research

Corbin & Strauss, chpt. 1 Charmaz, chpt. 1 *Glaser & Strauss, chpts. 1-4

01/30 The history of grounded theory... and why that matters

Corbin & Strauss, chpt. 2 Charmaz, chpts. 2-4 Clarke, Prologue, chpt. 1 *Walker & Myrick (2006) GT Process & Procedure

02/06 When and why to theorize from your data, even if you're not doing GT

Corbin & Strauss, chpts. 3, 4 Charmaz, chpt. 5 *Charmaz (2011) GT & Social Justice Research *Starks & Trinidad (2007) PHEN, DA, & GT

02/13 DIALOGUE: Identify your conceptual framework for GT/Theorizing

For in-class discussion, prepare a <u>one-page</u> visual and/or narrative conceptual framework of your approach to GT (10 copies). This is not graded!

DUE: IRB approval, if required.

SECTION 2 ANALYZING TOWARD THEORY

02/20 Traditional constant comparative analysis... and critique

*Glaser & Strauss, chpts. 5-8 Clarke, chpt. 2 Corbin & Strauss (1990) GT Procedures, Criteria Greckhamer & Koro-Ljungberg (2005) Erosion of Method *O'Connor, Netting, & Thomas (2008) GT & IRB

02/27 Constructivist constant comparative analysis... and critique

Corbin & Strauss, chpts. 5, 6 (12, 13)

Charmaz, chpts. 6, 7

Clarke, chpts. 3-7

CHOOSE 2

EX: Harry, Sturges, & Klinger (2005) Mapping the Process

EX: Kolb (2011) Sympathy Work

EX: Komives et al. (2005) Developing Leadership ID

EX: Leisenring (2011) ID Claims, Partner Violence

EX: McDowell (2000) Home Schooling

03/06 Thematic network analysis, situational analysis and QR metasynthesis:

Attride-Stirling (2001) Thematic Networks

Pascale (2010) Analytic Induction

EX: Reybold (2003) Pathways to the Professorate

*Finfgeld-Connett (2013) Content Analysis & Theorizing

*Sandelowski & Barroso (2003) Metasynthesis, Method

CHOOSE 2 (These works 'follow' the longitudinal GT Pathways)

EX: Reybold (2005) Surrendering the Dream (Faculty Dissatisfaction)

EX: Reybold (2008) Structuring of Faculty Ethicality

EX: Reybold & Alamia (2008) Academic Transitions

EX: Reybold & Corda (2011) Service to the Academy

EX: Reybold et al. (2014) Counselor Educators & Hurricane Katrina

03/13 NO CLASS. Spring Break

03/20 DIALOGUE: Choosing and blending your analysis methods

For in-class discussion, prepare a <u>one-page</u> visual and/or narrative of your analysis choices and rationale (10 copies). Be sure to identify the principles of your study that align with grounded theory and/or theorizing from QR data, your unit of analysis, and general proposed methods. This is not graded!

DUE: Draft paper with peer feedback.

SECTION 3 RETURNING TO THE BASICS OF GROUNDED THEORY... AGAIN

03/27 Theoretical selection/sensitivity/saturation

*Glaser & Strauss, chpts. 9-12

Corbin & Strauss, chpts. 7, 8 (14)

Reybold, Lammert, & Stribling (2013) Selection as Thinking Forward

Adair & Pastori (2011) Coding Frameworks, Children Crossing Borders Project

Corbin & Strauss, chpt. 9 Charmaz, chpt. 9

EX: Reybold (2014) Irony of Ethics

04/10 Pulling it all together: A core category? A model?

Corbin & Strauss, chpts. 15, 16 Charmaz, chpts. 11, 12 *Ryan & Bernard (2003) ID Themes *Gerring (1999) "Good" Concept

EX: Sandelowski & Barroso (2003) Motherhood, HIV

04/17 DIALOGUE: Choosing and blending your methods

For in-class discussion, prepare a <u>one-page</u> visual and/or narrative of your final paper (10 copies). Highlight the iterative and emergent process, and explain how peer feedback contributed to your project. This is not graded!

04/24 Finalizing the analysis: Back to the basics

Review material on designing for theoretical analysis/memos, theoretical sampling/sensitivity/saturation, distinguishing between themes and categories... and why you want to theorize in the first place!

05/01 DUE: Final project portfolio (paper and ALL original graded and non-graded assignments WITH MY COMMENTS).

Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

Assessment Rubric(s)

Draft and Final Paper Guidelines:

Quality research stems from a well-thought-out draft and serious attention to editing. The draft includes three general areas: (1) what you are interested in studying and how it fits into a grounded theory or related design (connect conceptual framework to purpose, research questions, and methods), (2) what methods are most appropriate for this project, and (3) your critique of readings and application of methods.

- The purpose of the study should be a well-worded, concise statement of research intent. Keep in mind your resources, unit of analysis, and audience. Remember, your purpose guides the entire research process—keep it relevant, balanced, and doable!!!
- The significance of the study should include a statement of how your research will contribute to either your field of study or to practice. This section requires you to think ahead of your project and to envision the impact of the study.
- Methodology is a statement of methods choices—this section will evolve during the research process. This section should address design, site and/or sample selection, data collection methods, and data analysis techniques.

Points are not based on the findings of the study—this assignment is to evaluate your knowledge and use and critique of qualitative case study methodology. However, the findings should be relevant, supporting the purpose of the study. The following areas will be evaluated:

Logic—reasoning is rational, conclusive, and well supported

Clarity—presentation is clear and concise

Flow—material is arranged logically

Support—evidence supports findings/arguments

Defense—answers to questions are concise, direct, and well supported

Fit—findings/discussion fit purpose/problem

Rigor—attention to rigor in research design and project implementation

Writing style—logic, clarity, flow, technical (grammar, spelling, punctuation)

Assignments: General Guidelines/Assessment Rubric							
20%	6 Problem/Purpose Development	R/C	I/E	T			
20%	6 Methodology	R/C R/C	I/E	T T T T			
20%	•	R/C	I/E I/E	T			
	6 Critique of Methodology	R/C	I/E	T			
20%	6 Technical	R/C	I/E	T			
100	% Total Score						
	and Critique: avoids surface presentated; provides neutral presentation of s		-				
	nd weaknesses; states and supports po		ikilesses of topic, ev	varautes			
C No re	eflection, no critique						
	ective on experience and personal opi	nions: no critiqu	ıe				
	ective on experience; reflection of ma						
	ective of material and/or theory	iterial and of the	ory embedded				
	que initiated; critique lacks validity a	nd is not maintai	ined				
	que initiated; critique is valid but not						
	que initiated; critique is valid and we						
readings, di	and Evidence: provides comprehensi iscussions, previous learning, and per opropriate and adequate support for id	rsonal experience	es); balances theory				
C No ii	ntegration, no evidence						
	erial OR experience integrated to som	e degree; inadeq	uate support				
B Mate	erial AND experience integrated to so	me degree; inad	equate support				
	Material AND experience integrated well; inadequate support						
	Material OR experience integrated well; limited support						
	Material AND experience integrated well; partial support is valid but not maintained						
A+ Mate	erial AND experience integrated well	; conclusive sup	port is valid and ma	intained			
Technical	Soundness: characterizes professiona	lism and scholar	rship; attends to aud	lience			
-	on and needs; exhibits drafting and edure attention:	liting appropriate	e for graduate-level	work. Marked			
Gram	nmarReadability	,	APA Style				
	tuationTone/Voice		Cover page				
Spell			Abstract				
-	ementFlow		Citations				
_	ence structureTransitions		Quotations				

Paragraph structure Preview/Summary

____ References