GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

Teaching Culturally, Linguistically Diverse & Exceptional Learners

EDCI 520: Section 001 Assessment of Language Learners

3 Credits – FALL 2017 Thursdays/4:30 – 7:10 pm, Robinson Hall A, Rm. 249 - Fairfax Campus

Professor: Lorraine Valdez Pierce, Ph.D.

Office Hours: Mondays, 4 – 5:30 p.m. and by appointment
Office Location: Thompson Hall, Rm. 1502 – Fairfax Campus

Office Phone: (703) 993-2050 Email: LPIERCE@gmu.edu

Pre-requisites - EDCI 519 or EDCI 560

[Reminder: EDCI 516 is one of several prerequisites for EDCI 519/560, so all students need to have completed 516, as well)

University Catalog Course Description

Examines innovative approaches to assessing language learners. Topics include identification, placement, monitoring of student progress, development of authentic performance-based measures, design of portfolios, application of measurement concepts, analysis of assessment instruments, and linking assessments to instruction. Requires 20 hours of PK-12 classroom fieldwork.

Course Overview

EDCI 520 provides an introduction to basic principles and current, innovative approaches to classroom-based assessment of language learners in ESL, bilingual education, foreign language, and grade-level classrooms in grades PK-12, adult education, and university programs. The principles introduced in this course are also applicable to native speakers of English in general education classrooms.

Among the topics addressed are: applying research on language acquisition and teaching to instruction and assessment; embedding assessment of oral language, reading, and writing in daily instruction to monitor student progress; setting assessment purpose; ensuring reliability and validity; scaffolding assessments in the content areas; designing and using portfolios; using assessment as feedback for learning; developing scoring rubrics and other performance-based assessments; engaging students in peer and self-assessment; improving grading practices; reviewing language proficiency tests; assessing language learners with special needs; writing multiple-choice tests; and preparing students to take standardized tests.

Graduate students will have opportunities to both critically examine assessment tools used in current practice and to develop their own. This course is required for both ESL and Foreign Language teacher licensure as well as for the endorsement of teachers who are already licensed.

Course Delivery Method

Course delivery is accomplished in a combination of ways in order to meet the needs of diverse learners and learning styles. Methods of instruction include presentations by both the instructor and class teams, teacher-led class discussions, small group activities, and field experiences. Instructors may also use videos, webcasts, guest speakers, integration of technology, and discussion boards. The course is delivered face-to-face, with some work delivered through postings of resources and assessments on Blackboard.

Learner Outcomes

This course is designed to enable teacher candidates to do the following:

- 1. Define and apply assessment principles and terminology to assessment of language learners;
- 2. *Critically review language proficiency assessment measures* for validity, reliability, and cultural bias, and make recommendations for use with English and foreign language learning students;
- 3. *Identify issues in assessment of language learners with special needs*, such as learning disabilities and/or gifted and talented characteristics;
- 4. *Critically examine and develop assessment procedures and tools* for (a) one or more of the four language domains (listening, speaking, reading, and writing) and (b) the content areas;
- 5. *Link assessment to instruction* by designing a variety of assessments that are embedded within instructional activities, including student portfolios;
- 6. Draft clear and objective performance criteria for language learning;
- 7. Add scaffolding to assessment and instruction for language and at-risk learners;
- 8. Examine research on grading policies and practices for misconceptions and recommended approaches for use with language learners;
- 9. *Compare purposes, advantages, and limitations* of standardized tests to those of alternative assessments; and
- 10. Identify test-taking strategies for preparing language learners to take standardized tests.

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL)
American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL)
Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC)
Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP)

This course contains at least one Common Assessment developed by the College of Education and Human Development to assess our candidates' performance on nationally accepted standards for beginning teachers (InTASC) and our programs' performance on national accreditation standards (CAEP).

Upon completion of this course, students will have met the following professional standards:

Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) Standards

- 1. **TESOL Domain 1. Language** Candidates know, understand, and use the major theories and research related to the structure and acquisition of language to help English language learners (ELLs) develop language and literacy and achieve in the content areas. Issues of language structure and language acquisition development are interrelated.
 - a. Standard 1.a. Language as a System Candidates demonstrate understanding of language as a system, including phonology, morphology, syntax, pragmatics and semantics, and support ELLs as they acquire English language and literacy in order to achieve in the content areas.
- 2. **TESOL Domain 2. Culture** Candidates know, understand, and use major concepts, principles, theories, and research related to the nature and role of culture and cultural groups to construct supportive learning environments for ELLs.
 - **a.** Standard 2.a. Nature and Role of Culture Candidates know, understand, and use major theories and research related to the nature and role of culture in their instruction. They demonstrate understanding of how cultural groups and individual cultural identities affect language learning and school achievement.
- 3. **TESOL Domain 3. Planning, Implementing, and Managing Instruction** Candidates know, understand, and use evidence-based practices and strategies related to planning, implementing, and managing standards-based ESL and content instruction. Candidates are knowledgeable about program models and skilled in teaching strategies for developing and integrating language skills. They integrate technology as well as choose and adapt classroom resources appropriate for their ELLs.
 - a. Standard 3.a. Planning for Standards-Based ESL and Content Instruction Candidates know, understand, and apply concepts, research, and best practices to plan classroom instruction in a supportive learning environment for ELLs. They plan for multilevel classrooms with learners from diverse backgrounds using standards-based ESL and content curriculum.
- 4. **TESOL Domain 4 Assessment -** Candidates understand issues of assessment and use standards-based assessment measures with ESOL students.
 - a. Standard 4.a. Issues of Assessment for ESL. Candidates understand various issues of assessment (e.g. cultural and linguistic bias, political, social, and psychological factors) in

assessment, IQ, and special education testing (including gifted and talented); the importance of standards; and the difference between language proficiency and other types of assessment (e.g. standardized achievement tests of overall mastery), as they affect ESOL student learning. b. *Standard 4.b. Language Proficiency Assessment*. Candidates know and use a variety of standards-based language proficiency instruments to inform their instruction and understand their uses for identification, placement, and demonstration of language growth of ESOL students.

- c. Standard 4.c. Classroom-Based Assessment for ESL. Candidates know and use a variety of performance based assessment tools and techniques to inform instruction.
- **5. TESOL Domain 5. Professionalism** Candidates keep current with new instructional techniques, research results, advances in the ESL field, and education policy issues and demonstrate knowledge of the history of ESL teaching. They use such information to reflect on and improve their instruction and assessment practices. Candidates work collaboratively with colleagues and the community to: improve the learning environment, provide support, and advocate for ELLs and their families.
 - a. Standard 5.b. Professional Development, Partnerships, and Advocacy Candidates take advantage of professional growth opportunities and demonstrate the ability to build partnerships with colleagues and students' families, serve as community resources, and advocate for ELLs.

American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL) Standards

ACTFL Standard 5: Languages & Cultures – Impact on Student Learning

Candidates in foreign language teacher preparation programs design ongoing assessments using a variety of assessment models to show evidence of Pk-12 students' ability to communicate in the instructed language in interpretive, interpersonal, and presentational modes and to express understanding of cultural and literary products, practices, and perspectives of the instructed language. Candidates reflect on results of assessments, adjust instruction, and communicate results to stakeholders.

International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE - Standards-T)

- **Standard 1 Facilitate and Inspire Student Learning and Creativity**: Teachers use their knowledge of subject matter, teaching and learning, and technology to facilitate experiences that advance student learning, creativity, and innovation in both face-to-face and virtual environments. [1b].
- **Standard 2 Design and Develop Digital Age Learning Experiences and Assessments**: Teachers design, develop, and evaluate authentic learning experiences and assessment incorporating contemporary tools and resources to maximize content learning in context and to develop the knowledge, skills, and attitudes, identified in the standards. [2a, 2b, 2c, 2d].
- **Standard 3 Model Digital Age Work and Learning**: Teachers exhibit knowledge, skills, and work processes representative of an innovative professional in a global and digital society. [3a, 3b, 3d].
- **Standard 5 Engage in Professional Growth and Leadership**: Teachers continuously improve their professional practice, model lifelong learning, and exhibit leadership in their school and professional community by promoting and demonstrating the effective use of digital tools and resources. [5c].

InTASC Standards

Standard 1: Learner Development. The teacher understands how learners grow and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

Standard 2: Learning Differences. The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

Standard 6: Assessment. The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learning progress, and to guide the teacher's and learner's decision making.

Standard 7: Planning for Instruction. The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.

REQUIRED TEXT

Brown, H. D., & P. Abeywickrama. (2nd Ed). (2010). *Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices*. White Plains, NY: Pearson Longman.

RECOMMENDED TEXTS

- Herrera, S. G., K. G. Murry, & R. M Cabral. (2012). *Assessment accommodations for classroom teachers of culturally and linguistically diverse students*, 2nd ed. New York: Pearson.
- Jones, J. & D. Wiliam. (2014). *Modern foreign languages inside the black box: Assessment for learning in the modern foreign languages classroom*. West Palm Beach, FL: Learning Sciences International.
- Tuttle, H.G. & A. Tuttle. (2011). *Improving foreign language speaking through formative assessment.*New York: Routledge.

Resources Available on Blackboard

Additional Required Readings, Sample Course Projects by previous students

Collaborate – virtual office hours & team meetings

Recommended Resources

TESOL, PreK-12 English Language Proficiency Standards.

http://www.tesol.org

ACTFL, World Readiness Standards for Learning Languages.

http://www.actfl.org/publications/all/world-readiness-standards-learning-languages

WIDA Standards

https://www.wida.us/standards/eld.aspx

ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDED READING

- Arter, J. & J. McTighe. (2001). Scoring rubrics in the classroom. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Black, P. & D. Wiliam. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 80 (2), 139-148.
- Chappuis, J. (2005). Helping students understand assessment. *Educational Leadership* 63 (3), 39 43.
- Chappuis, J. & Stiggins, R.J. (2016). *An introduction to student-involved assessment FOR learning* (7th ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Chappuis, J., Stiggins, R., Chappuis, S. & J. Arter. (2011), *Classroom assessment for student learning*, 2nd Ed. New York: Pearson.
- Dodge, J. (2009). *25 quick formative assessments for a differentiated classroom*. New York: Scholastic.
- Hughes, A. (2002). *Testing for language teachers.* (2nd ed). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- O'Malley, J.M. & L.V. Pierce (1996). *Authentic assessment for English language learners:*Practical approaches for teachers. Boston: Pearson Longman.
- Pierce, L.V. (2003) *Assessing English Language Learners*. Washington, DC: National Education Association.
- Popham, W. J. (2011). *Transformative assessment*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Popham W. J. (2017). *Classroom Assessment: What Teachers Need to Know.* (8th ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Reutzel, D. R. & R. B. Cooter, Jr. (2010). 4th ed. *Strategies for reading assessment and instruction:*Helping every child succeed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Stiggins, R. (2007). Assessment through the student's eyes. Educational Leadership 64 (8), 22 26.

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor in this syllabus and on Blackboard.

TK20 PBA SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

TK20 PERFORMANCE-BASED ASSESSMENT SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT

Every student registered for any Teaching Culturally, Linguistically Diverse & Exceptional Learners program course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit this assessment and the Fieldwork Log of Hours and Evaluation Form to Tk20 through Blackboard (regardless of whether the student is taking the course as an elective, a one-time course or as part of an undergraduate minor). Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in Tk20 through Blackboard. Failure to submit the assessment to Tk20 (through Blackboard) will result in the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required Tk20 submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

FIELDWORK REQUIREMENT

Field Experience Record and Evaluation

The **field experience** is a **required component** of the teacher preparation program at George Mason University. All students will complete a minimum of **20 hours in field experience** for this course. Documentation of your field experience is required as well as a signed statement from your field experience teacher(s) or supervisor(s). If you are taking more than one course in a semester, you must complete 20 hours per course (e.g., two courses require 40 hours of field experience). This means you may be completing different tasks for different courses in the same placement. Materials and products used for one course cannot be used for another course (e.g., videos, lesson plans, activities, etc.)

*TCLDEL Fieldwork Log of Hours and Evaluation Form must be uploaded to TK20 on Blackboard. The form is located on Blackboard in your TCLDEL organization site in the "Fieldwork" page.

In-service teachers: Field experience can often be conducted in your own classroom if you have access to the population of students required for the PBAs and other assignments. Please consult your instructor if you have questions about the viability of your classroom for fieldwork in this class. You must register for your school as your field experience site in the online Field Experience Request form available here: https://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf. You will check the box indicating that: "I will arrange my own field experiences (observations and/or case studies) because I am a full-time contracted school system employee and will complete field experience at my workplace." The deadline to submit your field experience placement is Week 2 of class. Failure to do so will result in an unsatisfactory grade for your fieldwork assignment. If you are taking this course as part of a cohort program, please indicate "TCLDEL"

Cohort" on your request form FIRST, then select your program and placement location. HINT: Cohort courses have section numbers beginning with "6F" (e.g. EDUC 511.6F1).

Pre-service teachers: If you are not currently working in a K-12 school, you will need to be placed in an appropriate fieldwork setting to complete your required PBAs and fieldwork hours. You must request a fieldwork site using the online Field Experience Request form available here:

https://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf. You will check the box indicating that: I will need George Mason (Clinical Practice Specialist) to arrange a placement for my field experiences (including observations and/or case studies). The deadline to submit your field experience placement is Week 2 of class. Failure to do so will result in an unsatisfactory grade for your fieldwork assignment. If you are taking this course as part of a cohort program, please indicate "TCLDEL Cohort" on your request form, then select your

program and placement location. HINT: Cohort courses have section numbers beginning with "6F" (e.g.

EDUC 511.6F1).

Virginia state or county cohort teachers: Cohort Students are required by their district and by TCLDEL to complete field experiences as required by the Virginia Department of Education for this program. Each district has arranged for candidates to be able to work at K-12 grade levels in order to complete all licensure requirements. Please contact your district coordinator for further information.

Long-term substitute teachers: You may be able to conduct the field experience in your school if your students represent the target population and you have access to students long enough to complete your projects. You will need to get permission, in writing, from both the course instructor and your school principal before going to the online registration form.

TCLDEL Fieldwork Log of Hours and Evaluation Assessment

	Status of Student Work		
	1	0	
Fieldwork Log of Hours demonstrates 20 hours of fieldwork completed, with a teacher-mentor or supervisor signature.	Complete	Not Complete	

NOTE: Failure to submit documentation of successful completion of your fieldwork in a timely manner will make you ineligible to register for coursework, be recommended for licensure, or receive a grade for this course.

Assignments

Assignment Description	Percent of Grade	Standards Addressed	ISTE-T Standards
Field Experience Log		Requirement for	
		licensure/endorsement	
Language Proficiency	25%	TESOL 1a, 4a, 4b	1b, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3b, 3d, 5c
Assessment			
Instruction & Assessment	35%	TESOL 3a, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5a	1b, 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 3b, 3d
Plan (InTASC) & Field		ACTFL 5	
Experience			
Classroom-Based	40%	TESOL 1a, 3a, 4c	1b, 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 3c, 3d,
Assessment (PBA) & Field		ACTFL 5	5c
Experience			

Written papers (saved as Word documents) and Powerpoint slides (saved as slides) will be submitted both online and in paper copy by class time on the due date.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

1) LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY ASSESSMENT

Teacher candidates will work in teams of three to review and critique language proficiency tests currently used in the schools to determine placement in ESOL and foreign language programs. Each team will make a presentation on a different subcomponent and grade level of WIDA, ACTFL, or other tests/tasks and critique them using assessment principles, including validity, reliability and practicality. Additional details for this assignment and its scoring rubric are included in this syllabus and posted on Blackboard.

2) Individualized INSTRUCTION & ASSESSMENT PLAN (InTASC) - COMMON ASSESSMENT

This requirement is required across all teacher licensure programs in the Graduate School of Education. The candidate will create an individual student profile, using knowledge of individual learning differences and assessment, and develop an instructional plan for this student based on developmental, learning, physical or linguistic differences, including a plan for assessing the student's progress. The guidelines for this plan and its scoring rubric are included in this syllabus and posted on Blackboard. This is a <u>program-required</u> common assessment and your final paper should be uploaded to Blackboard as a Word document.

3) CLASSROOM - BASED ASSESSMENT PROJECT (CBA)

In this project, you will use your knowledge of assessment principles to create, administer, and evaluate at least two assessment instruments in a language learning classroom. For this project, you will identify an assessment need of an ESL/FL classroom (using your own classroom or working with a cooperating teacher) and discuss the level/age/grade/content you will be assessing. You will select two performance-based assessments, one language-based and one content-based assessment that will evaluate each identified assessment need. You will provide scaffolding for the assessments appropriate to your language learners' age and proficiency levels. You will administer each assessment with a minimum of five language learners. You will then analyze the results of your assessments to determine (a) the validity and reliability of the results and (b) how you can improve each assessment task and scoring tool. You will write up a report and submit it, along with your assessments. This is a <u>program required</u> <u>performance-based assessment (PBA) and both your final paper (as a Word document) and the Field Experience Log/Evaluation Form (as a scanned PDF document) should be uploaded to Blackboard (no cell phone photos). The guidelines for preparing the CBA Project and its scoring rubric are included in this syllabus and posted on Blackboard.</u>

Other Requirements

Please Note – Submission Requirements

Written papers and Powerpoint slides will be submitted BOTH online and in paper copy by the specified deadline. <u>Only Word documents and Powerpoints</u> will be accepted for work generated by each candidate. The Field Experience Log/Evaluation form can be scanned and submitted as a PDF document. <u>No cell phone or other photo images of required documents will</u> be accepted. UPLOAD COURSE REQUIREMENTS TO BLACKBOARD UNDER ASSESSMENTS.

File-Naming Protocol

In this course, please name each electronic file submitted for feedback, for a score or for a grade using the following protocol:

YOUR LAST NAME FIRST INITIAL Requirement Name mmddyy

(Month Day Year of Date Submitted)

EXAMPLE: VALDEZ_L_CBA Project092517

Attendance and Participation

Active participation is expected of each student in every class. You are expected to arrive in class on time and to contribute to the activities and discussions by critically analyzing issues, asking questions, or making observations about and reflecting on the readings indicating your thorough preparation for the class. You are responsible for all assigned coursework and readings. Please send the instructor an email explaining any planned or unplanned absence PRIOR to your absence. It is your responsibility to obtain any materials distributed in any class you may have missed. Details of this policy are posted on Blackboard and will be discussed in class.

Professional Standards of Dress & Behavior When Visiting Schools

When you visit a school, you are representing George Mason University and the Graduate School of Education. You will make an impression, positive or negative, based on how you dress, how you act, and how you address each teacher and administrator. School practitioners are often looking to hire our teacher candidates, so you will be under scrutiny based on the first impression you make: Make it a good one. Dress professionally (no sneakers, spandex, T-shirts, workout clothes). Speak professionally (use terms that show respect for the teacher and the students, no offensive or foul language). Use professional courtesy by calling ahead and notifying them if you need to cancel a scheduled visit. Teachers have volunteered to acquaint you with their program and their students and will be sharing their instructional materials and assessments with you. Be as helpful and collaborative as possible. Make this a productive experience for the teacher, as well, so much so that he/she would be happy to invite you back.

What to do if the Field Experience Teacher Delays in Responding to Your Initial Email Let the course instructor know as soon as possible. The longer you wait, the less time you'll have to work on your project.

LAPTOP/CELL PHONE POLICY

Laptop use is <u>permitted</u> at the discretion of the instructor and for specific purposes as assigned in the class (e.g. small group work). <u>Laptops will not be used for surfing the Internet or checking email during class discussions, lectures, interactive tasks, and guest or peer presentations — all of which require your full attention. <u>Cell phones must not be used during instructor, guest, or peer presentations and must be turned off/silenced (not on *VIBRATE*) during class periods; they may be used during break. Ask the instructor if you have a specific request.</u></u>

GUIDELINES FOR WORKING ON TEAMS

Teachers who work together as a team need to carefully plan each team member's role in the project. Each of you should take a lead role, but you should not proceed without getting feedback from your team members and informing them of your progress, consulting them for ideas, and so on. Taking a lead role means that you will be the person primarily responsible for a particular task; it does not mean that you will be working alone. In some cases, team members may decide to take two lead roles each.

Lead roles may include:

- Presenting assessment models and rubrics to the team for feedback;
- Identifying outside readings that can inform your project and sharing them with the team;
- Presenting ideas on how to address issues of validity and reliability;
- Drafting an outline of the team project; and
- Preparing the initial draft of a written report.

Members of each team will receive the same grade unless they request otherwise. This is because you are being graded on your presentation as a unit rather than on your individual contributions.

GRADING POLICY AND HONOR CODE

Grading

At George Mason University course work is measured in terms of quantity and quality. A credit normally represents one hour per week of lecture or recitation or not fewer than two hours per week of laboratory work throughout a semester. The number of credits is a measure of quantity. The grade is a measure of quality. The university-wide system for grading graduate courses is as follows:

Grade	GRADING	Grade Points	Interpretation
A +	=100	4.00	Represents mastery of the subject
A	94-99	4.00	through effort beyond basic
A-	90-93	3.67	requirements
B+	85-89	3.33	Reflects an understanding of and the
В	80-84	3.00	ability to apply theories and
			principles at a basic level
C*	70-79	2.00	Denotes an unacceptable level of
F *	<69	0.00	understanding and application of the
			basic elements of the course

Note: "C" is not satisfactory for a licensure course; "F" does not meet requirements of the Graduate School of Education.

See the University Catalog for details: http://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/academic/grading/

Additional Note on Grading: Each instructor's grading policy is based on (a) a professional philosophy that reflects his/her training on assessment and evaluation and (b) prior experience teaching a course. Therefore, instructors should not be expected to assign grades the same way.

Honor Code & Integrity of Work : TCLDEL students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code (http://oai.gmu.edu/honor-code/). The principle of academic integrity is taken very seriously and violations are treated as such.

Violations of the Honor Code include:

- 1. Copying a paper or part of a paper from another student (current or past);
- 2. Reusing work that you have already submitted for another class (unless express permission has been granted by your current professor **before** you submit the work);
- 3. Copying the words of an author from a textbook or any printed source (including the Internet) or closely paraphrasing without providing a citation to credit the author. For examples of what should be cited, please refer to: https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/589/02/
- 4. You may also not "reuse" fieldwork hours. Each placement must have 20 documented hours that are solely for each course that you are in; you may be at the same site, but the same hours may not be counted towards the same course.
- 5. See our class Blackboard web site for more information on how to avoid plagiarism.

Late Work Policy

At the graduate level all work is expected to be of high quality and submitted on the dates due. Work submitted late may be reduced one letter grade for every day of delay. Because we live in uncertain times, if you have any extraordinary circumstances (think flood, earthquake, evacuation) that prevent you from submitting your work in a timely manner, it is your responsibility to contact the instructor as soon as possible after the circumstances occur and make arrangements to complete your work. It is up to the discretion of the instructor to approve the late/makeup work.

Course Withdrawal with Dean Approval

For graduate and non-degree students, withdrawal after the last day for dropping a course requires approval by the student's academic dean, and is permitted only for nonacademic reasons that prevent course completion (Mason catalog). *Students must contact an academic advisor* in APTDIE to withdraw after the deadline. There is no guarantee that such withdraws will be permitted.

Online Participation/Attendance Policy

Students are expected to participate in <u>all</u> online discussions. Not participating in an online discussion module will be reflected with a zero for the week and as an absence. <u>Students with two or more</u> absences will not receive credit for the course.

Incomplete (IN)

This grade may be given to students who are in good standing, but who may be unable to complete scheduled course work for a cause beyond reasonable control. The student must then complete all the requirements by the end of the ninth week of the next semester, not including summer term, and the instructor must turn in the final grade by the end of the 9th week. Unless an explicit written extension is filed with the Registrar's Office by the faculty deadline, the grade of IN is changed by the registrar to an F (Mason catalog). Faculty may grant an incomplete with a contract developed by the student with a reasonable time to complete the course at the discretion of the faculty member. The faculty member does not need to allow up to the following semester for the student to complete the course. A copy of the contract will be kept on file in the APTDIE office.

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times. For a listing and self-assessment of these dispositions, go to:

https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/

CORE VALUES COMMITMENT

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/.

GMU POLICIES AND RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code (see http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their
 Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly.
 All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to
 students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered
 with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will
 begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the
 instructor (see http://ods.gmu.edu/).
- Students must follow the university policy stating that <u>all sound emitting devices</u> shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to <u>tk20help@gmu.edu</u> or <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20</u>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <u>http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/</u>.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see
 https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/students

Class Schedule - FALL 2017

Please come prepared to discuss the assigned readings during the week in which they appear.

You can check Blackboard for materials to review before each class.

Week &	Topics	Readings to be discussed this week
Date 1 8/31	INTRO TO THE COURSE: Course Objectives & Requirements. Assessment Concepts, Principles, & Terminology. Assessment purposes. Defining performance-based assessment. Course Requirements - Language proficiency assessment. Instruction & Assessment Plan. Classroom-based assessment project.	Brown & Abeywickrama (B & A), Ch. 1
2 9/7	Validity, reliability, feedback, and washback. Language Proficiency Assessment for Program Placement & Accountability. Tests for ESL and Foreign Language students. ACTFL Proficiency Guidelines. Legal mandates: Lau vs. Nichols	(1) B & A, Ch. 2 (2) Pierce, Definitions of Validity & Reliability * (3) Bauman, Boals, Cranley, Gottlieb, & Kenyon Assess.Comprehension & Communication (pages 81-91) (4) Porter & Vega, Overview of Existing Engl Lang Proficiency Tests & Appendix A. (pages 93 – 102 and 133 – 189) [#s 3 & 4 in Abedi (2007), English Lang. Proficiency Assmt. in the Nation] (5) Liskin-Gasparro (2003), The ACTFL Prof. Guidelines and the Oral Proficiency Interview (6) Malone, Research on the Oral Proficiency Interview (7) Discussion Questions on Bboard
3 9/14	Diagnostic ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING DISABILITIES AND GIFTED AND TALENTED LEARNERS. What are the issues? What does valid and reliable assessment look like? Assessment bias in standardized tests of cognitive ability. Legal mandates: Plyler vs. Doe	(1) Preventing inappropriate referrals (Garcia & Ortiz, 2004) (2) Is there a 'disability' for learning a foreign language? (Sparks, 2006)
4 9/21	Scaffolding Assessments. Do's & Don'ts for Designing Assessment Tools. Language Proficiency Assessment Presentation Draftdeadline for feedback. Legal mandates: Castaneda vs. Pickard	Scaffolding Self-Study Module on Bboard
5 9/28	ASSESSING WRITING. Performance-based assessment. Dictation. Picture-cued tasks/stories. Organization: Text structures. Portfolio assessment. Due Today: Language Proficiency Assessment Presentations, Teams 1 & 2.	B & A, Chs. 6 & 10
6 10/5	ASSESSING WRITING. SELF- and PEER ASSESSMENT. DEMO: Self-Assessment Workshop. Designing Primary Trait, Analytic, & Holistic Scoring Rubrics. Due Today: Language Proficiency Assessment Presentations, Teams 3 & 4.	B & A, Ch. 6 (pp. 130-134, 144-145, 151-152) & Ch. 10

7	Review/Catch Up Week. Due Today: Language	
10/12	Proficiency Assessment Presentations, Teams 5 & 6.	
•	Instruction & Assessment Plan Draft - deadline for	
	feedback. Checklists & Rating Scales. Mid-Term	
	Feedback Forms.	
8	ASSESSING READING. Cloze tests. Types of	B & A, Ch. 3 (pp. 67 – 82) & Ch. 9
10/19	Comprehension Questions. <i>Designing Multiple-Choice</i>	, ", ",
-	Test Items.	
9	ASSESSING READING. Standardized Informal and	B & A, Ch. 9
10/26	Analytic Reading Inventories. Running Records. Using	
	assmt. results to direct instruction. Standardized tests	
	and reading.	
	CBA Draft - Steps 1 - 2 deadline for feedback	
10	ASSESSING SPEAKING. Trends in Foreign Language	B & A, Ch. 8;
11/2	Assessment. Demos: Information Gap. <i>Fresh Start</i>	Powerpoints on Assmt. of Speaking
	Interviews – Inter-rater reliability training session.	Thompson (2001), "Foreign Language Assmt.: 30
	Due today: Instruction & Assessment Plan.	Yrs"
11	ASSESSING SPEAKING, GRAMMAR & VOCABULARY.	B & A, Chs. 8 & 11
11/9	Picture-cued descriptions/maps. High and low frequency	
	vocabulary, content-based vocabulary.	
		B & A, Ch. 7
11/16	,,	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	l	
10		
	Inanksgiving	
	CDADING DOLLGIEG & DDACTICES Absolute and it	D. Q. A. Ch. 2 /mm, 70, 021 0 Ch. 42
		в & A, Cп. 3 (pp. 79 – 82) & Cn. 12
11/30		
	Credit is a Dad lided.	
15	ASSESSMENT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY. What Standardized	B & A. Ch. 5
		2 3. , 3 3
, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
12 11/16 13 11/23 14 11/30	CBA Draft - Step 3 deadline for feedback. ASSESSING LISTENING COMPREHENSION. Macro- and micro-skills. 4 basic types of listening. Phonemic pair discrimination. Info transfer – pictures. TPR. Using assmt. results to direct instruction. Designing listening comprehension tasks. CBA Draft - Steps 4 & 5 deadline for feedback. Thanksgiving GRADING POLICIES & PRACTICES. Absolute grading vs. relative grading (grading on the curve). Converting rubrics into grades. What grades reflect. Why Extra Credit is a bad idea. ASSESSMENT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY. What Standardized Test Scores mean. Appropriate & Inappropriate Test-Preparation. Test-taking strategies. Due today: Classroom-Based Assessment (CBA) Project. Course Evaluation Forms. Feedback Forms. Materials Release Forms.	B & A, Ch. 7 B & A, Ch. 3 (pp. 79 – 82) & Ch. 12 B & A, Ch. 5

Note: The instructor reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

^{*} Additional readings that are not in the textbook can be found on Blackboard. **Due Dates: <u>All due dates are by class time of date indicated;</u> however, <u>you can turn in your papers earlier</u> - any time BEFORE the due date (please don't procrastinate and wait until the last day- only you know how many other course, family, and professional obligations you have). All course requirements and projects will be submitted in both electronic and paper copy.

Language Proficiency Assessment

Instructions

Purpose: To demonstrate that you can review and analyze assessment instruments and procedures used for identifying the language proficiency level of English language or foreign language learning students.

If you are in the ESL licensure (or add-on endorsement program), you will review tests used in ESOL programs. If you are in the world/foreign languages licensure program, you will review tests for the language you plan to teach.

Process: You will critique (identify the strengths and weaknesses of) a state- or locally-mandated or recommended assessment.

USE ASSESSMENTS CURRENTLY USED FOR LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY ASSESSMENT (LPA) IN A LOCAL SCHOOL SYSTEM. If you are not currently working in a school system, find out what assessments are being used in VA or other states for your target population. I have provided suggestions on the next page.

You will work on a team with two other classmates to prepare and make a Powerpoint slide presentation to address the points below.

Time Frame: Conduct all projects for this course during the semester in which you take the course (not from previous semesters or years). This will ensure your understanding of principles presented in this course. You will have approximately **20-25 minutes per team** to make your presentation.

ALL FOREIGN LANGUAGE MATERIALS WILL BE PRESENTED WITH APPROPRIATE EXAMPLES FROM THE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATED INTO ENGLISH.

Procedures

1. <u>Obtain a copy of and review</u> a state- or locally-mandated language proficiency assessment for students in Grades PreK-12 (for example, Foreign Language teachers in Fairfax Co. use the PALS, and ESOL teachers across the state use the WIDA ACCESS for ELLs and DRA, among others). Assessments must be criterion-referenced and/or norm-referenced.

Prepare a Powerpoint presentation providing an *in-depth critique of one component of the test* (e.g., listening, speaking, reading, or writing)

Selecting Language Proficiency Components

Most language proficiency tests will consist of more than one component. Each team will select <u>ONE language skill and grade level cluster of the test</u> (e.g., *Reading, Grades 3 - 5*). Each team presentation will be on a different language skill and grade level from other presentations. You need to get your hands on the actual test to review it, not just sample items online.

ESL Testing

<u>1) WIDA ACCESS Tests for ELLs</u> (required for ELLs in VA and 38 other member states of the WIDA testing consortium). These are SECURE test kits, which means that they are <u>available</u> <u>only to schools during the testing period</u> (you won't be reviewing these).

Listening	Speaking
Grade K	Grade K
Grades 3 – 5	Grades 3 – 5
Grades 6 – 8	Grades 6 – 8
Grades 9 – 12	Grades 9 - 12
Reading	Writing
Reading Grade K	Writing Grade K
-	_
Grade K	Grade K

These tests are used in VA public schools every Spring, from Jan. – April but are <u>NOT available</u> for review outside of these schools. You can take a look at sample test items online. For a description of these tests and for sample items in each of these categories, go to: http://www.wida.us/assessment/ACCESS20.aspx

<u>Sample Interactive Items Online</u> <u>https://wbte.drcedirect.com/WIDA/portals/wida</u>

http://www.wida.us/downloadLibrary.aspx

For additional information, you can also search online for critiques of these tests and proficiency guidelines.

<u>2) WIDA MODEL Tests for ELLs</u> – similar to WIDA ACCESS 2.0 and hard copies available for review – used for placement in other states (available in the Johnson Center Library AV and sample tests online). Several of you may need to share access to one grade-level range kit, because these are hard copy kits that include all 4 language skills in one kit.

Listening	Speaking
Grade K	Grade K
Grades 1 - 2	Grades 1 – 2
Grades 3 – 5	Grades 3 – 5
Grades 6 – 8	Grades 6 – 8
Grades 9 – 12	Grades 9 – 12
Reading	Writing
Reading Grade K	<i>Writing</i> Grade K
_	_
Grade K	Grade K
Grade K Grades 1 - 2	Grade K Grades 1 – 2

For a description of the WIDA MODEL tests, go to:

http://wida.us/assessment/MODEL/

Sample items are available for the **MODEL** tests at the link below:

World/Foreign Language Testing

1. Implementing Integrated Performance Assessment (book available online for \$26)

http://www.actfl.org/publications/guidelines-and-manuals/integrated-performance-assessment-ipa-manual-0

Components consist of <u>three types of tasks</u> (includes sample tasks in Spanish, French, Latin, & Arabic for novice, intermediate and advanced learners) with accompanying scoring rubrics:

Interpretive Interpersonal Presentational

2. The Oral Proficiency Interview – for Novice to Superior levels in 37 languages.

Go to https://www.actfl.org/professional-development/training-certification/opic-rater-certification

Phases include: warm-up, level checks, probes, role-play, and wind-down.

Sample interviews can be found on Youtube:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vZ | lOgIMYVs | (Spanish, 5 mins.)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EKA-QB9YhZ0 (English, 14 mins.)

3. The ACTFL tests address **Speaking**, **Writing**, **& Proficiency Guidelines**

For a description of Foreign Language test components offered by the ACTFL, go to

http://www.languagetesting.com/general-test-descriptions

http://actflproficiencyguidelines2012.org/

http://www.languagetesting.com/actfl-proficiency-scale

http://www.languagetesting.com/general-test-descriptions

4. **PALS:** Performance Assessment for Language Students (used by the Foreign Language Program in Fairfax Co. Public Schools, VA) has the following test components:

Speaking

Level 1 Level 2 Level 3

Upper Level Presentational

Writing

Level 1 Level 2 Level 3

Upper Level Presentational

Interactive Speaking Tasks

Level 3 Upper Level

Go to the following web site for information on the PALS tests:

http://www2.fcps.edu/is/worldlanguages/pals/index.shtml

For a more complete listing of tests in a variety of languages, go to Blackboard.

Preparing your Powerpoint Presentation

Organize your presentation using Powerpoint slides to include the following information:

1. Name of Test and Language Skill

• Name the assessment measure and clearly identify the component selected for presentation (e.g., oral language: interview, picture-cued description).

2. Target Population

- With whom are the assessment measures used? (e.g., ESL, foreign language, 3rd grade)?
- 3. Analysis (e.g, Reading, Level 3, Grades 6 8)

Use the following headings for the analysis:

a. Format

- What kinds of response formats are used?
- Are the students familiar with multiple-choice or other formats used?
- How many items?

b. Practicality

- Are the assessment process and tool practical to use?
- Can the assessment measure be administered in less than one hour?
- Are time limits set?
- Is the test administered to individuals or groups?

c. Usefulness

- What type of information is generated by test results?
- How useful is the information generated by the assessment process for diagnosing individual student learning needs and making program placement decisions (e.g., Level 3 ESOL or Spanish II)?
- **d. Validity** Analyze the degree of validity (low, moderate, or high) of the single test component being reviewed according to our textbooks, readings, and class discussions.
 - Do you see evidence of systematic linguistic or cultural bias or other threats to validity?
 - Are all 4 language skills measured by all components combined?
 - What evidence do you find of construct, content, and consequential validity?

- **e. Reliability** Analyze the degree of reliability (low, moderate, or high) of the single test component being reviewed according to our textbooks, readings, and class discussions.
 - Is only one test or procedure used or are multiple tests/procedures used?
 - Is scoring objective or subjective?
 - What about the scoring procedures, is the language unambiguous and objective or vague and subjective?
 - Do the assessors need to be familiar with language development issues related to second language learning?
 - Does inter-rater reliability apply? Does the test provide directions or training for ensuring inter-rater reliability?
 - How about test-retest reliability? How is the accuracy of scoring ensured?
 - What about the language used to describe different levels or scores, is it more objective or more subjective?

f. Psychological and Emotional Effects

- What are the likely effects of a formal testing situation on the language learners?
- Do they have prior experience with such testing?
- Is it a high-stakes testing situation likely to cause stress?

g. Recommendations

What recommendations can you make to address any limitations revealed in your analysis? For example, if you found threats to validity, how would you need to change the test or process to eliminate those threats? Provide a research-based reason for each of your recommendations.

4. Sample Test Items

Include a copy of scoring rubrics or criteria and at least 3 sample test items in different formats or of different types to illustrate some of the points you make in your review.

5. Conclusion

- a. Synthesize the findings of your analysis
 - Does the assessment measure have high or low validity for its purpose with the target population?
 - Are the scoring procedures highly reliable?
- b. What have you learned about assessing language proficiency by doing this project?

Citations. Provide citations to the assigned readings wherever you can to show that you are making connections to them or using them as justification for your analysis.

5. Presentation Format

Each team will present their review as a Powerpoint slide show consisting of 12 – 15 slides, with font size larger than 24 point on each slide.

Point of View in your Writing: 1st or 3rd Person?

"Traditional academic writing discourages the use of first or second person ('1', 'we', 'you', etc.). This is because it does not sound <u>objective</u>. Instead, it sounds as though you have only a very limited, personal view of the issue you are discussing, rather than a view of the broader picture. First and second person pronouns can also make your work less <u>concise</u>.

Academic training requires students to consider all aspects of a topic, from a range of viewpoints. It also requires students to state general claims and then prove each claim by providing solid evidence from a range of sources.

However, this is **not a hard rule**. In some circumstances it is appropriate to write in the first or second person, according to the writing style of your discipline or the subject matter. For example, <u>reflective writing</u> relies on personal experience, so it is necessary to use first person. If you are unsure, check with your course coordinator."

Massey University (Oct. 2012). 1st vs. 3rd person. Palmerson North, New Zealand: Online Writing and Learning Link (OWLL), Retrieved on Jan. 19, 2014 from http://owll.massey.ac.nz/academic-writing/1st-vs-3rd-person.php

In this class, whenever you are reflecting on or expressing your own experiences, judgment, or evaluation of a test or assessment procedure, use 1st person *I*, we, me or us. When you are reporting on what an author or someone else thinks, says, or does, use 3rd person he/she, they/them, her/him/it. You may need to switch back and forth as you move from one section of your report to another.

Suggestions for Powerpoint Slides*

- 1. Powerpoint slides are meant to serve as talking points or memory joggers; therefore, limit the number of lines on each slide to about 5, with about 5 words per line. Avoid using the Powerpoint slide as a Word document too many words in small font make it illegible. Limit number of slides to fifteen.
- 2. Use a large size font that can be read at least 15 feet away from the projection screen. Size 28 font would be a good starting point.
- 3. Use a white background and black/white or dark color font. Avoid using yellow or other light-colored fonts that will not contrast well against a white background.

Guidelines

- 1. Put your team member names, course number, and date on the first slide.
- 2. Limit the main body of your report to 12 15 Powerpoint slides.
- 3. See the Stylesheet on our course web site for details on citation format and writing style.
- 4. For an example of Powerpoint slides for this project, see our class web site on Blackboard AFTER each team has been assigned one test and component.

*Please post your LPA Powerpoint slides AS A POWERPOINT (NOT A PDF) FILE on Blackboard under ASSESSMENTS no later than 48 hours after your presentation.

<u>Designate one team member to upload the Powerpoint slides for your entire team.</u>

*You will have an opportunity to get feedback from your classmates and me on the day of your presentation in class. Use this feedback as formative (ungraded) assessment to revise and improve your slides BEFORE uploading them to Blackboard. Each team can take up to 48 hours AFTER your presentation to post your revised Powerpoints on Blackboard. Once you upload your slides on Blackboard, it will be assigned a final score as a summative assessment.

Reminders

- 1. Make sure to EVALUATE clearly provide a judgment for each category (e.g., practicality, validity) expressed as a range such as from low to moderate or moderate to high rather than just describe materials or procedures.
- 2. For cultural bias examine images and illustrations as well as the topics on the test.
- 3. Make sure <u>each item on your list of recommendations matches one of the limitations you identified in your slides</u>; recommendations should not address weaknesses that you did not previously identify in your presentation.
- 4. Check slides for font size, legibility, wordiness and repetitiveness of information from one slide to the next. Avoid using dark backgrounds on slides.

Individualized Instruction and Assessment Plan

In the TCLDEL program, Individualized Instruction and Assessment Plan Task is completed in EDCI 520, Assessment of Language Learners and is assessed by the course instructor. The candidate must earn a score of 3 to be successful on this assignment. If a student does not earn a 3 on the assignment, they must meet with the course instructor or assessor prior to resubmitting. The data from this assessment are used to identify both best practice and gaps in developing and assessing a specific lesson plan to impact individual learning.

InTASC Standards: 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8

CAEP Standards: 1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5

VDOE Standards: 1, 2, 3, 4

Technology -





Assessment Objective

- The candidate will use knowledge of individual learning differences and assessment to develop an instructional plan for a learner with developmental, learning, physical or linguistic differences.
- The candidate will develop an assessment of learner progress.

Rationale

Lesson planning is an essential skill for an educator. A lesson plan is a road map for instruction. When planning teachers and teacher candidates need to answer four main questions:

- Who are my learners? (Context/Learner Needs)
- What do the learners need to know and be able to do? (Objectives/Goals)
- How will I get all learners to know and do the new tasks? (Teaching and learning strategies)
- How will I know the learning objectives were achieved? (Goals/Outcomes/Assessments)

The first step in planning is aligning the learning objectives with the goals/outcomes/assessments for the lesson. This should include considerations based on learner abilities, challenges, and prior knowledge. Before developing specific learning activities, determine how you will assess if learners have met the lesson objectives. Once you know how you will assess learning, you can develop activities that align instruction with the assessment. Additionally, a teacher must consider learner prior knowledge, how to differentiate to meet learner needs, and how to do so within the time allotted. Lesson plans include pacing, transitions, checking for understanding, and ideas for re-teaching or extending learning based upon learner needs.

The planning process is the same whether you are planning a lesson for a class or for an individual. For this assessment you will develop an instructional plan for a learner with developmental, learning, physical or linguistic differences, including a plan for assessing the learner's progress.

Assessment Task Directions

Candidates will develop an individualized plan for a child with developmental, learning, physical, or linguistic differences within the context of the general environment and curriculum. *The lesson does not have to be taught, though it can be taught or co-taught, based upon your program and clinical placement options.* The individualized instruction and assessment plan should include the following sections:

Section 1.

Who is the learner? Seek out an individual who can provide you with a picture of who the individual is as a learner.

Describe the individual include cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and/or physical developmental skill levels and abilities, interests and educational progress and statement of educational need.

Also, include in your planning a response to: **How do you address the special needs of the learner? Write a description of and rationale for instructional adaptations** (scaffolding) and accommodations needed. (2-3 pages)

Section 2.

What should they learn? Identify at least three learning objectives/goals and develop a rationale that supports why the objectives/goals are meaningful learning outcomes for the learner. (Virginia Standards of Learning (SOLs), ASOLs, College-and-Career-Ready skills, WIDA, and other content-specific objectives should be included.) (1/2-1 page)

Section 3.

How will you teach, and how will the individual learn? Describe at least three evidence-based instructional strategies that address the identified learning objectives/goals and reflect the learner's cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and/or physical developmental skill levels and abilities, interests and educational needs. Include the use of scaffolding, augmentative and alternative communication system and assistive technologies or other appropriate technologies to address learning needs.

Make sure to use a variety of instructional strategies that encourage learners to develop an understanding of the content and to apply that knowledge in meaningful ways.

You will also need to plan activities that <u>connect concepts</u>, <u>address different perspectives</u> and use <u>digital resources</u> to <u>engage learners</u> in higher-level learning by using at least one of these <u>higher-order skills</u>: critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving.

Write a rationale for each showing how the strategies support learning and success (1-2 pages)

Section 4.

How will I know the learning objectives/goals were achieved? Write a plan <u>for both formative</u> <u>and summative assessments</u> and documentation of the learner's progress toward the identified objectives/goals. (1-2 pages)

Reference

Spencer, J. (2003). *Learning and teaching in the clinical environment*. London, England: BMJ Publishing Group.

Classroom-Based Assessment Project

Description

In this assessment, you will use your knowledge of the issues and concepts of assessment of ELs and FLLs to create, implement and evaluate <u>at least two assessment instruments</u> in one language learning classroom with a minimum of five students.

You will:

- Identify the learning needs of a group of ESL/FL students (using your own classroom or working with one or more cooperating teachers) and discuss the level/age/grade/content you will be assessing
- <u>Draft two assessments</u>, both <u>performance-based</u> (or <u>constructed response</u>), one <u>language-based</u> assessment and one <u>content-area assessment</u>, that will assess the learning needs identified
- Identify ways in which you have scaffolded the assessments to reflect your language learners' age and proficiency levels
- Administer each assessment to at least five language learners and collect resulting scores
- Analyze results (student scores) of your assessments to verify and justify the validity and reliability of the assessments
- Write a paper addressing the questions below and submit it, along with your completed assessments. The paper should be in APA format with 12-point font and one-inch margins.

Instructions

Step 1: Identify and provide <u>a brief context</u> for the classroom in which you plan to implement the assessments.

- 1. What are some of the unique characteristics and challenges of the setting and the students and how do they affect assessment on a day-to-day basis?
 - a. Be sure to provide descriptions of the language learners, including language proficiency, educational background, and cultural values influencing the learning environment. (TESOL Domain 2a, 4a)

Step 2: Identify the two assessments that would be most appropriate for your language learning classroom. *BOTH assessments must be performance-based.*

- 1. What <u>language assessment</u> would be most appropriate for the learners?
 - a. You may choose to adapt an existing teacher or language assessment or you may create your own assessment task that measures students' integrated language skills and their ability to use language communicatively. Choose from listening, speaking, reading, or writing skills. Consider standards from ESL/FL or language support programs as well as the cultural context of the classroom. How does this assessment identify reading, writing, speaking, or listening skills of ELs or FLLs? What prior knowledge/experience do students bring to this assessment process? (TESOL 2, 4b)

- b. What scoring tool will you use? Why is this tool appropriate for the task? What categories will you score and why?
- 2. What <u>content-area assessment</u> knowledge or skill would be most appropriate for your learners? Describe the task, scoring criteria, and scoring tool format that you will use.
 - a. How does this assessment fit with the teacher's instructional goals, assessment practices, and program or school goals? What are student learning goals? What state or and national standards are these based on (name them)? How does this assessment fit into the cultural context of the classroom? What prior knowledge/experience do students bring to this assessment process? (TESOL 2, 4c)
 - b. What scoring tool will you use? Why is this tool appropriate for the task? What categories will you score and why?
 - Make sure that both of the assessments you design are for teacher use only rather than for student use (<u>no self- or peer assessments</u>).
 - Also be sure to use <u>ORIGINAL</u> work you developed for this course rrather than copy materials from published or online sources.

Step 3: Adapt selected assessments so that they are relatively free of bias (cultural, linguistic), valid (accurate), fair, reliable (consistent) and practical, and include appropriate scaffolding for your language learners which allows students to show what they know and can do.

- 1. Identify how you have designed or adapted the assessments to be valid and reliable and free of bias.
 - a. Prior to implementation, how did you design or change these assessments to insure they were free of cultural or linguistic bias? Based on the initial review of the assessment, how do you know they are <u>valid</u>, fair, reliable, and easy to administer? Discuss how you ensured construct, content, and consequential validity and intra-rater reliability. Cite references to support your claims. (TESOL 4a)
- 2. How are these assessments <u>scaffolded</u> to address the needs of all language learners being assessed?
 - a. Provide clear examples of how you addressed the needs of beginning and intermediate language learners. Cite references to support your claims. (TESOL 4a).
 - b. Justify your scaffolding approaches how do they support learning? Are they research-based?

Step 4: Administer/implement both assessments with language learners and collect data.

- 1. How did you implement the assessments and with whom?
 - a. Identify the students who completed the assessments. Were the assessments administered to five or more students? (TESOL 4a, 4b, 4c)
- 2. What data did you collect from your learners?
 - a. Identify how you collected the data (interviews, observations, scores, etc). (TESOL 4a, 4b, 4c)
- 3. Attach student samples for each of your two assessments (remove student names).

Step 5: Analyze results and identify ways to improve learning and revise the assessments and redirect teaching.

- 1. After reviewing your results, how well did your assessment accomplish your goals?
 - a. What were the results? Describe the range of scores on each assessment, e.g., 70% of the students got a score of 4 while the remainder scored a 3.
 - How would you revise the assessment tools or implementation based on the results of your implementation? Did the data show your assessments to be fair and reliable? (TESOL Domain 4a, 4b, 4c)
- 2. How effectively did you implement the assessments? Describe how well the sudents performed on each assessment task, and explain how you know they have mastered the objectives or not. What are some areas of strength and weakness of the assessments?
- 3. How did you share your findings with colleagues to provide more support to ELs or FLLs?
- 4. Based on the results of both assessments, what are the implications for teaching these students? What do they need to learn next?

Conclusion – include a reflection on what you have learned about assessing language learners by working on this project.

Other Considerations

- Use APA style (current edition).
- Your narrative <u>should not exceed 20 double-spaced pages</u>. You may include up to 15 pages of appendices, but be selective. Check with the instructor if you have questions. Exceeding the page limit may reduce your grade. Proofread your narrative carefully for stylistic and formatting errors before turning it in.
- <u>Cite only published works, not class lectures or Blackboard handouts.</u>
- Remove your name from the header and any other page besides the cover page to ensure anonymity in scoring.
- World/Foreign Language candidates/ teachers must provide translations of assessments that are presented in a language other than English.

Note – for this project, you will

- work with students in Grade 3 and above
- assess individuals rather than using partner, cooperative learning or group tasks
- assess integrated skills and avoid focusing on grammar or other discrete language skills
- tasks with open-ended, performance-based tasks
- use scoring rubrics and rating scales rather than percentage scores or right/wrong answers
- avoid making scoring tools task-specific
- avoid averaging student scores to report results of your group

You will also need to go BEYOND teacher-made assessments (especially if these focus on micro- or mechanical skills such as *punctuation, grammar, sight words, reading aloud, simple math computations, or basic labeling tasks*) and design your own assessments that demonstrate <u>application</u> of real-world skills.

Analytic Scoring Rubric for Language Proficiency Assessment Presentation

Score Points	1	2	3	4
Description Description	Does not describe target population or components of procedure or test.	Describes target population and components of test incompletely.	Describes target population and components of test inaccurately.	Clearly describes target population and components of test.
Critical Analysis	Does not conduct an analysis.	Conducts an incomplete AND inaccurate analysis.	Omits key limitations, describes rather than analyzes, or does not support arguments with examples.	Conducts a thorough, accurate analysis and justifies and supports points made.
Validity & Reliability	Does not evaluate validity or reliability of test.	Evaluates both validity and reliability with inaccuracies.	Evaluates either validity or reliability with some inaccuracies.	Accurately evaluates test items and scoring procedures for content, construct, and consequential validity and various types of reliability.
Clarity	Communicates information in organized manner, but leaves out required information, uses few assessment terms, and/or is unable to respond to questions.	Communicates information in organized manner, but may leave out required information or assessment terminology or fail to respond to questions.	Communicates information in well-organized manner, but may be too detailed or need clarification, use assessment terms incorrectly, or respond to questions inaccurately or incompletely.	Clearly communicates information in well-organized, concise, and unambiguous manner, using assessment terminology and responding to questions about the tool, process, or analysis.
Recommen- dations	Does not make recommendations for improving the test.	Makes recommendations that do not improve the test.	Makes recommendations that are not research-based or does not explain or justify them.	Explains and justifies research-based recommendations for improving the test that are based on the limitations identified.

All 4s = total score of 4.0 or A. Every box below a 4 reduces score by .20 points (e.g., 3.8, 3.6).

Feedback:

Individualized Instruction and Assessment Plan

Common Assessment Rubric for Tk20

- **4-Exceeds Standard:** Candidates receive a score of 4 if they perform beyond the expectations of candidates at this point in their programs. There is evidence that candidates have done additional research, identified additional resources, and/or demonstrate exceptional understanding and application of the standard.
- **3-Meets Standard:** This is the TARGET score. This score reflects that candidates have met the standard at the level expected at this point in their program. Candidates who receive a 3 have successfully met the standard.
- **2-Approaching Standard:** Candidates receive this score when their understanding and effort does not meet the Target but shows basic understanding of the content being assessed.
- **1-Does not meet standard:** Candidates who do not submit work, and/or who submit work that is clearly below the expectations for a candidate at this point in their program.

Criteria	Does Not Meet Standard	Approaching Standard	Meets Standard	Exceeds Standard		
	1	2	3	4		
Section 1. Who is the Lea	Section 1. Who is the Learner? Description of the Learner					
The candidate	The candidate does not	The candidate provides	The candidate provides	The candidate provides		
regularly assesses	provide a description of	description of the	description of the	description of the		
individual and group	the learner and/or does	learner that includes	learner that includes	learner that includes		
performance in order	not include assessment	appropriate	appropriate assessment	both appropriate and		
to design and adapt	data related to	assessment data but	data on all of the	multiple forms of		
instruction to meet	cognitive, linguistic,	does not address all of	following: cognitive,	assessment data on all		
learners' needs in each	social, emotional,	the following:	linguistic, social,	of the following:		
area of development	and/or physical	cognitive, linguistic,	emotional, and/or	cognitive, linguistic,		
(cognitive, linguistic,	developmental skill	social, emotional,	physical developmental	social, emotional,		
social, emotional, and	levels and abilities,	and/or physical	skill levels and abilities,	and/or physical		
physical) and scaffolds		developmental skill		developmental skill		

		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
the next level of	interests, or educational	levels and abilities,	interests, and	levels and abilities,
development.	progress.	interests, or	educational progress.	interests, and
		educational progress.		educational learning
InTASC 1			The candidate describes	need.
VDOE 1			current impact of	
Diversity The Diversity			learner characteristics	The candidate describes
Diversity			on learning.	and provides examples
			S	of impact of learner
				characteristics on
				learning.
The candidate accesses	The candidate does not	The candidate	The candidate identifies	The candidate thoroughly
resources, supports, and	identify either	identifies either	and describes	describes multiple,
specialized assistance and				appropriate adaptations or
services to meet particular	adaptations or	adaptations or	appropriate adaptations	accommodations that
learning differences or	accommodations to	accommodations that	or accommodations that	clearly support learner
needs.	support learner	do not fully align with	support learner	achievement of learning
necus.	achievement of learning	identified needs.	achievement of learning	objectives/goals, including
InTASC 2	objectives.		objectives/goals,	technology.
VDOE 1			including technology.	teermology.
Technology Page 1				
Statement of Educationa	l Need			
The candidate	The candidate does not	The candidate uses	The candidate uses	The candidate
effectively uses	address learner	assessment data to	assessment data to	effectively uses
multiple and	educational needs or	create a statement of	create an appropriate	assessment data from
appropriate types of	inappropriately uses	educational need that	statement of	multiple sources to
assessment data to	assessment data to	is not well aligned with	educational need that is	create a thorough and
identify each learner's	create a statement of	assessment results.	aligned with assessment	appropriate statement
learning needs and to	educational need.		results.	of educational need that
develop differentiated				is directly aligned with
learning experiences.				assessment results.
InTASC 6				

VDOE 4				
Section 2. What should th	ney learn? Identification	of Learning Objectives		
The candidate individually and collaboratively selects and creates learning objectives that are appropriate for curriculum goals and content standards, and are relevant to learners. InTASC 7 VDOE 2 Diversity	The candidate identifies learning objectives that are either incomplete because related outcomes are not identified or the objectives are not directly related to learner educational need.	The candidate identifies learning objectives without relevance to learner educational need.	The candidate identifies learning objectives with related outcomes that are relevant to individual learner needs.	The candidate identifies distinct learning objectives with related outcomes that are relevant to individual learner needs. These learning outcomes allow for different and individualized learning pathways that can be accessed fluidly during instruction.
Identification of Rational				
The candidate identifies objectives for instruction based on formative and summative assessment data, prior learner knowledge, and learner interest. InTASC 7 VDOE 2	The candidate does not identify objectives for the learner that are aligned to specific learning goals/outcomes and/or the relationship of the learning objectives to learner educational needs is missing or unclear.	The candidate selects objectives for the learner that are poorly aligned to specific learning goals/outcomes and/or the relationship of the learning objectives to learner educational needs is missing or unclear.	The candidate selects objectives for the learner that are aligned to specific learning goals/outcomes and/or the relationship of the learning objectives to learner educational needs is clear.	The candidate selects objectives for the learner that are aligned to specific learning goals/outcomes and/or the relationship of the learning objectives to learner educational needs is clear. Rationales for the selection of those objectives and how they

Section 3. How will you The candidate plans how to achieve each learner's learning goals, choosing appropriate strategies and accommodations, resources, and materials to differentiate instruction for individuals and groups of learners. InTASC 7 VODE 2	teach and how will they leach and how will they leach to the candidate does not identify instructional strategies or identifies instructional strategies that are not related to the learning objectives or learning needs.	earn? Description of Ins The candidate identifies instructional strategies that are inappropriate for meeting the learning objectives or learning needs.	tructional Strategies and The candidate identifies evidence-based instructional strategies that are aligned to the learning objectives and learning needs.	support the achievement of the learning goals are included. Adaptations The candidate identifies evidence-based instructional strategies that are aligned to specific learning objectives and learning needs. The candidate provides evidence of the effectiveness of these selected learning strategies through data
Diversity Market				analysis of the assessment.
The candidate uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop an understanding of the content and to apply knowledge in meaningful ways. InTASC 8 VDOE 3	The instructional strategies used by the candidate do not encourage an understanding of content .	The candidate uses a limited instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop an understanding of the content and to apply that knowledge in meaningful ways.	The candidate uses a variety of instructional strategies that encourage learners to develop an understanding of the content and to apply that knowledge in meaningful ways.	The candidate provides insight into their own pedagogical and content knowledge to discuss the selection of instructional strategies for the learning experience. These instructional strategies encourage all learners to develop an understanding of the

maked. Maked /	Т	T	Т	· · ·
Diversity Market				content and also
College-and-Career-Ready				authentic application of
				the new knowledge.
The candidate connects	Candidate does not	Candidate connect	Candidate connects	Candidate creates multi-
concepts and uses different	connect concepts, address	concepts, addresses	concepts, addresses	disciplinary opportunities
perspectives and digital	different perspectives or	different perspectives or	different perspectives and	and a range of multiple
resources to engage	use digital resources to	uses digital resources to	uses digital resources to	perspectives to engage
learners in critical thinking,	engage learners in higher-	engage learners but at a	engage learners in higher-	learners in critical thinking,
creativity, and collaborative	level learning.	basic level of learning and	level learning in using at	creativity, and collaborative
problem solving.		recall.	least one of these higher-	problem solving.
			order skills: critical thinking,	
InTASC 5			creativity, and collaborative	
VDOE 2			problem solving.	
Diversity College-and-Career-Ready				
Rationale for Instruction	al Strategies and Adapta	tions		
The candidate understands	The candidate does not	The rationales	The rationales provided	The rationales provided
that each learner's	provide rationales that	provided do not align	are aligned to	are aligned to the
cognitive, linguistic, social,	are aligned to the	to the specific	instructional strategies	strategies and, the
emotional, and physical	specific instructional	instructional strategies	and, the relationship of	relationship of the
development influences	strategies and/or the	and, the relationship of	the instructional	instructional strategies
learning and knows how to	relationship of	the instructional	strategies to the	to specific learning
make instructional decisions	instructional strategies	strategies to the	learning objectives that	objectives that meet
that build on learners'	to the learning	learning objectives that	meet learner	learner educational
strengths and needs.	objectives and learner	meet learner	educational needs is	needs is clearly and
. =	educational needs is	educational needs is	clearly identified.	effectively aligned.
InTASC 1	missing or unclear.	unclear.		Multiple pathways to

VDOE 1				learner achievement of		
				the learning outcomes		
Diversity The Diversity				are provided.		
•	Section 4. How will I know the learning objectives/goals were achieved? Assessment and Documentation of Learner					
Progress						
The candidate designs	The candidate does not	The candidate	The candidate describes	The candidate describes		
assessments that	describe an assessment	describes an	an assessment plan that	an assessment plan that		
match learning	plan that that evaluates	assessment plan that	evaluates <u>all learning</u>	evaluates <u>all learning</u>		
objectives with	all learning objectives	evaluates all learning	objectives and includes	objectives, includes		
assessment methods	or describes a plan that	objectives but does not	both formative and	<u>formative and</u>		
and minimizes sources	does not directly	include documentation	summative assessments	summative assessments		
of bias that can distort	measure all of the	of both formative and	that minimize sources	that minimize sources		
assessment results.	learning objectives (e.g.,	summative measures	of bias.	of bias and includes		
	is not observable,	that (and) does not		multiple data sources		
InTASC 6	measurable).	address possible	The candidate describes	for each objective.		
VDOE 4		assessment bias.	the assessment results			
			that would prompt	The candidate describes		
			modification of	multiple assessment		
			instructional plans and	results that would		
			those specific	prompt modification of		
			modifications.	instructional plans and		
				those specific		
				modifications.		

Analytic Scoring Rubric - Instruction & Assessment Plan - for Grade

Score Points Domain	Does Not Meet 1	Approaches Standards 2	Meets Standards 3	Exceeds Standards 4
Description of Student Needs InTASC 1(a), 6(g)	Provides a brief description of student based on assessment of some areas of need but omits others. Does not administer assessment of language. Contains major inaccuracies or omissions in identifying student learning needs, and these are not based on assessment results. Omits evidence of data collection.	Provides student profile based on multiple forms of assessment of cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and/or physical, developmental skill levels and abilities, interests, and educational learning needs. May administer inappropriate assessments or not administer a language assessment. Contains major inaccuracies or omissions in identifying student learning needs, and these may not be based on assessment results and/or omits evidence of data collecion.	Provides an accurate student profile based on multiple forms of assessment of cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and/or physical, developmental skill levels and abilities, interests, and educational learning needs. May administer an inappropriate assessment or not address all areas of need. May contain minor inaccuracies in identifying student learning needs based on aggregated assessment results. May o9mit evidence of data collection.	Provides an accurate and detailed student profile based on appropriate and multiple forms of assessment of cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and/or physical, developmental skill levels and abilities, interests, and educational learning needs, including an assessment of language administered by the candidate. Accurately identifies student learning needs based on aggregated assessment results. Includes clear evidence of data collection.
Instructional Objectives InTASC 7(a), 7(d)	Does not specify distinct learning objectives, omits justification for objectives, and/or does not base objectives on assessment results. Lacks second language research base.	Specifies distinct learning objectives, but omits some objectives indicated by assessment results. Provides justifications for objectives that are unsupported by assessment results and/or lacks second language research base.	Specifies multiple, distinct learning objectives, but may omit some objectives indicated by assessment results. May justify some objectives but not others based on assessment results or lacks second language research base.	Specifies multiple, distinct learning objectives and justifies each one based on student needs using assessment results and second language research base.

Instructional	Does not specify	Identifies instructional	Effectively identifies and	Clearly and effectively identifies
Activities	instructional activities based	activities that are not	justifies research-based	and justifies a variety of research-
InTASC 1(e3),	on learning objectives and	research-based or are	instructional activities, but may	based instructional activities to
7(b)	identified learning needs.	inappropriate to language	omit justification or may not be	differentiate instruction
· (~)		proficiency level and/or do	appropriate to language	appropriate to language
		not address each learning	proficiency level and may not	proficiency level, each learning
		objective or identified learning	address each learning objective	objective and identified learning
		need.	or identified learning need.	need.
Scaffolding	Identifies minimal or	Identifies general or	Identifies appropriate research-	Identifies, thoroughly describes,
InTASC 2(f), 7(k)	ineffective scaffolding	inappropriate scaffolding	based scaffolding approaches	and justifies specific,
	approaches and/or does not	approaches that do not	that clearly meet learning	appropriate, and research-based
	provide a research base.	support student achievement	needs and support student	scaffolding approaches that
		of learning objectives. Some	achievement of learning	clearly meet learning needs and
		approaches are not research-	objectives. Some scaffolding	support student achievement of
		based or effective to meet	may not be effective to meet	learning objectives.
		learning needs.	learning needs.	
Assessment	Does not provide an	Describes assessment tasks	Describes multiple assessment	Describes multiple assessment
Plan	assessment plan. Describes	and scoring tools but does not	tasks and scoring tools to	tasks and scoring tools to
InTASC 6(b)	some assessment tasks and	justify them. May not include	evaluate student learning and	evaluate student learning and
(/	scoring tools but does not	separate formative and	achievement of instructional	achievement of instructional
	justify them. Confuses	summative assessments.	objectives. May contain	objectives and accurately justifies
	formative and summative		inaccuracies in justifications.	each. Includes separate and
	assessments.		May not include separate	specific formative and summative
			formative and summative	assessments.
			assessments.	

Validity &	Does not address issues of	Addresses issues of validity or	Addresses issues of validity or	Accurately and thoroughly
Reliability	validity or reliability of	reliability of assessment tasks	reliability of assessment tasks	analyzes various types of validity
	assessment tasks and	and scoring tools with major	and scoring tools administered,	(minimizing sources of bias) and
	scoring tools.	inaccuracies and/or omissions.	as well as those proposed, with	reliability of each assessment
			minor inaccuracies and/or	task and scoring tool
			omissions.	administered, as well as of those
				proposed.

Feedback:

Analytic Scoring Rubric for Classroom-Based Assessment Project

Score Points	Does Not Meet	Approaching	Meets Standards	Exceeds Standards
Domain	1	2	3	4
Design & Administration TESOL Standard 4.c	Does not administer assessment tools and does not adapt criterion- referenced, performance- based assessment tools. Uses language that is vague and subjective and does not differentiate one level from another.	Adapts and administers assessments based on either language or content objectives, and/or are not performance-based or based on state standards and contain inaccuracies. May use language that is vague and/or subjective or does not effectively differentiate between one level from another.	Makes adaptations or designs and administers criterion-referenced, performance-based assessments based on language and content objectives but may contain inaccuracies or incomplete information on one or more assessment tools, refer to general standards OR use descriptive language with some vague or subjective terms and these may not clearly differentiate between one level and another.	Effectively adapts or designs and administers the required variety of tasks and criterion-referenced, performance-based assessments of both language and content based on specific state standards and classroom instruction and matches scoring criteria to learning objectives. Uses descriptive (objective), precise and measurable terms in each scoring tool that clearly differentiate between one level of performance and another.
Justification	Does not provide a rationale or justification for assessment tools, does not propose revisions, and/or does not match tasks/tools to purpose.	Provides few details in rationale, little justification for adapting each assessment tool, does not propose revisions from pre-to post-test, and/or needs extensive elaboration and may not match assessments to purpose.	Provides an accurate defense for using some tools but not for others, does not match assessments to purpose, does not propose revisions with supporting explanation, OR needs elaboration.	Provides accurate and specific reasons for choosing each assessment tool format and structure, making each appropriate to the target group and assessment purpose, and proposes revisions to assessment tools, providing a supporting explanation for each revision.

Scaffolding TESOL Standard 3.a	Most assessment tools lack appropriate scaffolding.	Does not use a variety of scaffolding approaches and/or uses scaffolding that does not match the proficiency level of the target	Uses a variety of scaffolding approaches, but does not add scaffolding to some assessment tools, or scaffolding does not match the proficiency level of the target	Uses a variety of scaffolding approaches for each assessment task and tool, and these match the language proficiency level of target students and enable them to show what they know.
Language Proficiency Assessment TESOL Standard 4.b	Uses measures that are not standards- and performance-based and do not accurately measure language skills/student ability to communicate within a range of contexts.	Uses measures that are not standards- or performance-based or do not accurately measure language skills/student ability to communicate within a range of contexts.	Uses standards- and performance-based measures, with some errors, to assess discrete and integrated language skills (grammar, vocabulary, listening, speaking, reading, or writing skills) and communicative competence across the curriculum and to inform instruction.	Effectively uses multiple standards- and performance-based measures to assess students' language skills and communicative competence across the curriculum and to inform instruction.
Validity & Reliability TESOL Standard 4.a	Does not discuss various types of validity and reliability for each assessment task and tool.	Addresses issues of validity or reliability with major inaccuracies or incompletely.	Addresses issues of validity or reliability with minor inaccuracies and/or omissions.	Accurately and thoroughly explains how design of each assessment tool ensures construct, content, and consequential validity and intra-rater reliability.
Analysis of Teaching Impact & Design TESOL Standard 4.c	Does not analyze results.	Only briefly describes results and needs elaboration, or arrives at conclusions and recommendations without evidence from test results, and/or analyzes results inaccurately or incompletely, with little discussion of teaching implications.	Analyzes test results or effectiveness of implementation with some inaccuracies, incompletely, may need elaboration on points made, or proposes revisions that are unlikely to improve the assessment tools, and may have some inaccuracies in teaching implications.	Accurately analyzes test results on each assessment tool, effectiveness of implementation, strengths and weaknesses of assessments, and proposes revisions and teaching implications that will improve the assessment tools and promote student learning.

Field Experience Record

To the Mentor Teacher/Supervisor:

The Teaching Culturally, Linguistically Diverse & Exceptional Learners graduate program at George Mason University requires all students complete a minimum of 20 hours of field experience in an appropriate K-12 classroom for each course. Field experience goes beyond observations of the classroom to include hands-on experience implementing teaching & learning activities required for professional educators. Your Mason student will provide a copy of the course syllabus indicating what is required to complete fieldwork, such as interviews with students, teachers or family members; lesson-planning; small group instructional activities; whole-class teaching or co-teaching; implementing or evaluating assessments; and other activities. Please verify the time and activities completed, as well as evaluate as best you can the students' dispositions for a career educator, by filling out and signing the document below. We appreciate your willingness to mentor/supervisor our Mason students into the profession and support their growth and learning. If you have questions about the program or the goals of fieldwork, please contact dvrtch@gmu.edu.

Student Name	Mentor Teacher/	
Student Name	Supervisor Name	
Gnumber	School Name	
Course	School Location	
Semester	Grade or Subject	

Date	Grade	Activity Related to Performance Based Assessment	Number of Hours
Example: 9/23/17	5 th Grade	Met with teacher to co-plan lesson	1

Student's Signature:	Date:
Mentor/Supervisor Signature:	Date:

Field Experience Evaluation Form

Student Name	Mentor Teacher/ Supervisor Name	
Gnumber	Title	
Course	Years of	
	Experience	
Semester	Degree/License	

	PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL QUALITIES	Consistently Evident (4)	Frequently Evident (3)	Sometimes Evident (2)	Seldom Evident (1)	Not Applicable (N/A)
Op	en to Feedback					
-	Is receptive to constructive criticism/growth-producing feedback					
-	Self-regulates and modifies professional behavior based on feedback					
-	Seeks opportunities for professional growth to improve practice					
Col	aboration & Teamwork					
-	Exhibits teamwork for school/organizational improvement					
-	Collaborates well with others					
-	Is caring, empathetic and respectful to others					
Cul	tural Responsiveness					
-	Treats individuals in an unbiased manner					
-	Embraces differences					
-	Views diversity as an asset					
Co	ntinuous Improvement/ Change Orientation					
-	Takes initiative appropriately					
-	Seeks evidence for use in decision making					
-	Is willing to take appropriate risks/try new things					
Hig	h expectations for learning					
-	Holds high expectations for all learners					
-	Monitors and assesses student learning to provide feedback and alter instruction to improve learning					
Αdν	rocacy					
-	Seeks to understand and address student issues and challenges					
-	Shows a genuine interest in others' well-being					
-	Seeks to direct students and/or families to needed resources					
Pro	fessionalism					
-	Is punctual and well prepared with appropriate dress & appearance					
-	Demonstrates respect for students, families, colleagues, and/or property					
-	Uses technology & social media appropriately					
Leg	al & Ethical Conduct		_			
-	Exhibits integrity and ethical behavior					
-	Maintains privacy and confidentiality of sensitive information					
-	Demonstrates fairness and consistency in applying and enforcing rules,					
	policies, and regulations					

Comments:		