



**College of Education and Human Development
Division of Special Education and disAbility Research**

Spring 2019

EDSE 629 647: Secondary Curriculum and Strategies for Students with Disabilities who Access
the General Curriculum
CRN: 22110, 3 – Credits

Instructor: Carmen Rioux-Bailey	Meeting Dates: 3/13/2019 – 5/15/2019 No Class 4/17
Phone: 202-302-3223	Meeting Day(s): Wednesday
E-Mail: criouxba@gmu.edu	Meeting Time(s): 5 pm – 9:30 pm
Office Hours: W and R by appointment	Meeting Location: Fairfax HS, Room D102
Office Location: Finley 100D	Other Phone: N/A

****Note:** This syllabus may change according to class needs. Teacher Candidates/Students will be advised of any changes immediately through George Mason e-mail and/or through Blackboard.

Prerequisite(s): None

Co-requisite(s): None

Course Description

Applies research on teacher effectiveness, accountability, and instructional approaches at the secondary level for individuals with mild disabilities. Includes instructional methods necessary for teaching reading, writing, math, and other content areas across the curriculum. Offered by Graduate School of Education. May not be repeated for credit.

Advising Contact Information

Please make sure that you are being advised on a regular basis as to your status and progress through your program. Mason M.Ed. and Certificate teacher candidates/students should contact the Special Education Advising Office at (703) 993-3670 for assistance. All other teacher candidates/students should refer to their faculty advisor.

Advising Tip

Did you know that Mason email is the primary method of communication used by university offices including those arranging internships, reviewing records for graduation, etc.? Check your Mason email regularly or use the instructions at <http://masonlive2.gmu.edu/tutorials/forwardemail.cfm> to forward to an email account you check frequently.

Course Delivery Method

Learning activities include the following:

1. Class lecture and discussion
2. Application activities
3. Small group activities and assignments
4. Video and other media supports
5. Research and presentation activities
6. Electronic supplements and activities via Blackboard
7. Guest speakers

Learner Outcomes

1. Upon completion of this course, teacher candidates/students will be able to:
2. Demonstrate knowledge of the federal and state laws that require and provide for instructional services for students with disabilities.
3. Demonstrate the ability to develop a comprehensive unit that includes instructional strategies and adaptations for students with disabilities at the secondary level.
4. Identify and infuse into the curriculum differentiation strategies for successfully including students with disabilities at the secondary level in both regular (math, science, social studies, English, etc.) and special education classroom environments.
5. Demonstrate the ability to assess, plan for, and address the content area literacy needs of students with disabilities who are accessing the general curriculum.
6. Describe components of Individual Education Plans that successfully address the needs of secondary students with disabilities.
7. Identify research efforts, organizations, services, networks, and the variety of state and local resources aimed at dropout prevention and improving the outcomes of secondary students with disabilities.

Course Relationship to Program Goals and Professional Organizations

This course is part of the George Mason University, Graduate School of Education (GSE), Special Education Program for teacher licensure in the Commonwealth of Virginia in the special education areas of Special Education: Students with Disabilities who Access the General Curriculum K-12. This program complies with the standards for teacher licensure established by the Council for Exceptional Children (CEC), the major special education professional organization, as well as those established by the Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support consortium (InTASC). The standards addressed in this class include CEC Standard 2: Learning environments (InTASC 3); CEC Standard 4: Assessment (InTASC 6) & CEC Standard 5: Instructional planning and strategies (InTASC 7,8).

This course contains at least one Common Assessment developed by the College of Education and Human Development to assess our candidates' performance on nationally accepted standards for beginning teachers (InTASC) and our programs' performance on national accreditation standards (CAEP).

Evidence-Based Practices

This course will incorporate the evidence-based practices (EBPs) relevant to secondary curriculum learning strategies and content area planning. Evidence for the selected research-based practices is informed by meta-analysis, literature reviews/synthesis, the technical assistance networks which provide web-based resources, and the national organizations whose mission is to support students with disabilities. We address both promising and emerging practices in the field of special education. This course will provide opportunities for teacher candidates/students to take an active, decision-making role to thoughtfully select, modify, apply, and evaluate EBPs in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities.

Required Textbooks

Archer, A. and Hughes, C. (2011) *Explicit Instruction: Effective and Efficient Teaching*. New York: Guilford Press. ISBN 9781609180416 (Chapters 6 & 7 ONLY)

Recommended Textbooks

Miller, S. P. (2009). *Validated practices for teaching students with diverse needs and abilities* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson. ISBN 9780205567478

American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy).

Tk20 Performance-Based Assessment Submission Requirement

It is critical for the special education program to collect data on how our students are meeting accreditation standards. Every teacher candidate/student registered for an EDSE course with a required Performance-based Assessment (PBA) is required to upload the PBA to Tk20 (regardless of whether a course is an elective, a one-time course or part of an undergraduate minor). A PBA is a specific assignment, presentation, or project that best demonstrates one or more CEC, InTASC or other standard connected to the course. A PBA is evaluated in two ways. The first is for a grade, based on the instructor's grading rubric. The second is for program accreditation purposes. Your instructor will provide directions as to how to upload the PBA to Tk20.

For EDSE 629, the required PBA is Secondary Curriculum Unit Plan. Please check to verify your ability to upload items to Tk20 before the PBA due date.

Assignments and/or Examinations

Performance-based Assessment (Tk20 submission required)

I. Secondary Unit Plan—see Appendix (41 points)

The Secondary Curriculum Unit Plan provides you with the opportunity to demonstrate your ability to prioritize essential concepts and skills, write lesson plans and assessments, and adapt existing lesson plans within a curriculum unit. As a group member, you are required to write two comprehensive lesson plans that address state standards and include students with disabilities. You are also required to adapt one lesson plan to be delivered in a co-taught classroom that addresses similar state standards. You will write two assessments that provide opportunities for the learners to demonstrate their understanding of the core concepts of the unit for either classroom. Your focus should be on the integration of evidence-based practices that meet the unique needs of learners with mild to moderate exceptional learning needs at the secondary level in a given content area using the appropriate state standards. Groups: You will complete this assignment in small groups. Groups should consist of four or fewer people. The groups will work together and submit one complete unit plan with each group member's name on it. The group will work together to adapt 1 lesson plan and then create 2 additional, original lesson plans. The group must ensure that all lesson-plans work together and flow within the sequence of concepts of the unit.

College Wide Common Assessment (TK20 submission required)

Lesson Plan—part of Secondary Unit Plan (not an additional assignment)

Performance-based Common Assignments (No Tk20 submission required)

None

Other Assignments

II. Research Review (25 points)

Each student will locate and analyze 5 articles from peer-reviewed special education journals that summarize research on a given facet of **secondary** instruction for students with disabilities who access the general curriculum.

Select 5 research articles from professional special education journals

- *The focus of the articles must pertain to a secondary level study with a focus on interventions for teaching reading, language arts, math, science, social studies, or behavioral supports for secondary-age students with mild disabilities.*

or

- *the focus must be on cognitive strategies in self-regulation, metacognition, study skills, attention, memory, or motivation for individuals with mild disabilities of secondary age.*

Prepare an **introduction** to the topic and a needs statement (**why** is this important to students with disabilities?), a written **summary of each research article**, including the complete citation, with a special emphasis on the findings. Do not simply copy the abstracts, but write in your own words what was undertaken in the study and the results.

Finally, write a **conclusion** of the summaries **as a whole**, AND what the **implications for practice** are for the secondary special education teacher—in other words, based on your research review, what should the secondary special education teacher consider implementing as evidence-based practice in the classroom for students with mild disabilities? Be sure to use APA style and include a reference page.

III. Secondary Issues Project (25 points)

This small group project will develop your understanding of the particular issues or barriers relevant to serving secondary students with disabilities. Your topic (drop-out prevention, substance abuse, need for self-advocacy, block scheduling, case management, etc.) will identify the issue, explore causes and effects, and identify potential solutions from the literature and evidence-based practices. Your 30-minute presentation will provide learners with a solid foundation of knowledge on your topic.

Course Policies and Expectations

Attendance/Participation

All course participants are granted one full *or* partial class session absence; however, all work still is due on Blackboard according to the course calendar. Class session participation points are not awarded if a teacher candidate/student has not attended class or misses more than one (1) hour. A second absence will result in the final grade dropping by 8 points. A third absence means the student cannot pass this class and will need to retake it. (See more under Other Requirements: IV).

Late Work

- All assignments are required to be completed and submitted on time.
- For late submissions of assignments: 25% of the points will be deducted from your assignment grade for late submissions unless the professor has agreed to an extension (which may be used one time only and only for one assignment). The maximum extension is 7 days, after which the assignment is not awarded any points toward a final grade.

Other Requirements

IV. Class Attendance and Participation (1 point each; there are 9 total)

This is an important part of this class due to the compact schedule. Class attendance and participation is demonstrated by being psychologically available to learn, completing and

handing in weekly class assignments, and participating in class discussions/activities throughout the semester. Points are negatively affected by being late to class, demonstrating a disinterest in the material/discussions (e.g., reflection activities, small group activities, discussions, etc.), and/or absences. Points are positively impacted by thoughtful contributions made in class, listening to the ideas of other peers, respectively, and demonstrating an enthusiasm for learning.

Please display digital etiquette during class sessions. Use of laptops may be limited to observe the class PowerPoint/materials or engage in class activities, specifically. Each class (9 sessions; points earned if assigned tasks are completed): .25 = on time; .25 = psychologically invested, prepared, and present; .50 = participation, thoughtful contributions, demonstrating respect and consideration for all individuals in our community of learners and completed in-class assignments). If you are unable to make any class session during the semester, please contact the instructor by phone or through gmU e-mail before the class session where you will be absent/arrive late/leave early.

Grading Scale

95-100% = A

90-94% = A-

84-89% = B

83-79% = C

< 79% = F

Summary of Points for Final Grade

Participation/Attendance: 9

Unit Plan: 41

Research Review: 25

Secondary Issues Project: 25

TOTAL= 100

*Note: The George Mason University Honor Code will be strictly enforced. Students are responsible for reading and understanding the Code. “To promote a stronger sense of mutual responsibility, respect, trust, and fairness among all members of the George Mason University community and with the desire for greater academic and personal achievement, we, the student members of the university community, have set forth this honor code: Student members of the George Mason University community pledge not to cheat, plagiarize, steal, or lie in matters related to academic work.” Work submitted must be your own or with proper citations (see <https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/>).

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times. See <https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/policies-procedures/>. In the College of Education and Human

Development, dispositions are formally and separately evaluated in at least three points in each student’s program – a self-evaluation at the start of their program, an instructor’s evaluation in the middle of their program, and a university supervisor’s evaluation during internship. When dispositions are assessed, it is important that for areas where a positive disposition is ‘occasionally evident’ or ‘rarely evident,’ the student takes steps to grow as an educator. See <https://cehd.gmu.edu/epo/candidate-dispositions>. In special education licensure programs, the mid-point evaluation is completed by instructors in EDSE 628, EDSE 661, and EDSE 616, and the internship evaluation is completed by instructors in EDSE 783, EDSE 784, and EDSE 785.

Class Schedule

*Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

Class	Topics	Assignments to Do AFTER this class Session	Due on Blackboard by 4:30 pm on this date
3/13	Syllabus Review Course Introduction Essential Understandings How Secondary Education is Organized Case Studies	Portrait of graduate (VDOE) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=40XZtg011Qg&feature=youtu.be FCPS Graduation Requirements and Course Planning: https://www.fcps.edu/academics/graduation-requirements-and-course-planning	
3/20	Mnemonics and CSI	Review Archer and Hughes (Chapters 6 and 7) Miller Chapter 1	
3/27	*Online Class: Study Skills Complete 2 IRIS modules on Study Skills: https://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/module/ss1/ and https://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/module/ss2/#content	IRIS Modules Assessments completed and submitted to Blackboard *(due by 10pm on 4/2) Miller Chapters 6, 7	
4/3	Evidence-Based Practices in Reading at the Secondary Level	Miller Chapters 2, 3, 4	Research Review Due

	Content Enhancements across the curriculum		
4/10	Special Education in a General Education Secondary Context: Guest Speaker Evidence-Based Practices in Classroom Management, Restorative Justice, Dropout Prevention Guest Speakers	Miller Chapter 5	
4/17	Spring Break	No Class	
4/25	Evidence-Based Practices in Writing at the Secondary Level Secondary Issues Presentations	Miller Chapter 8	
5/2	Collaboration at the Secondary Level Case Management Service Delivery decision-making Evidence-Based Practices in Math at the Secondary Level	Miller Chapters 9, 10	Secondary Issues Presentations
5/9	Unit and Lesson Planning		
5/16	What could secondary education and special education look like in the future?		Unit Plan and Lesson Plan Submitted to TK20

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/>

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see <https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/>).

- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/>).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see <http://ods.gmu.edu/>).
- Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or <https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <http://coursesupport.gmu.edu/>.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see <https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus>

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/>.

Appendix

Assessment Rubric(s)

RUBRIC: Lesson Planning Assessment


Scoring Guidelines


4-Exceeds Standard: Candidates receive a score of 4 if they perform beyond the expectations of candidates at this point in their programs. There is evidence that candidates have done additional research, identified additional resources, and/or demonstrate exceptional understanding and application of the standard.




3-Meets Standard: This is the **TARGET** score. This score reflects that candidates have met the standard at the level expected at this point in their program. Candidates who receive a 3 have successfully met the standard.




2-Approaching Standard: Candidates receive this score when their understanding and effort does not meet the Target but shows basic understanding of the content being assessed.



1-Does not meet standard: Candidates who do not submit work, and/or who submit work that is clearly below the expectations for a candidate at this point in their program.

Criteria	Does Not Meet Standard 1	Approaching Standard 2	Meets Standard 3	Exceeds Standard 4
Section 1: CLASSROOM CONTEXT 5 points				
<p>The candidate identifies individual and group prerequisites in order to design instruction to meet learners' needs in the following areas of development (Cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical).</p> <p><i>InTASC 1</i></p> <p><i>VDOE 1</i></p> <p>Diversity</p> 	<p>The candidate does not design instruction to meet learners' needs in each area of development.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies individual or group prerequisites to design instruction that meet learner needs in some areas of development.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies individual and group prerequisites to design instruction that meet learner needs in each area of development.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies specific individual and group prerequisites to design effective instruction to meet learner needs in each area of development.</p> <p>The candidate includes strategies to address these prerequisites within the lesson, as well as anticipated learner responses to these strategies.</p>
Section 2: PLANNING FOR INSTRUCTION 10 points				

Criteria	Does Not Meet Standard 1	Approaching Standard 2	Meets Standard 3	Exceeds Standard 4
<p>The candidate identifies performance-based objectives and/or appropriate curriculum goals/outcomes that are relevant to learners.</p> <p><i>InTASC 7</i> <i>VDOE 2</i></p>	<p>The candidate does not identify performance-based objectives and appropriate curriculum goals/outcomes that are relevant to learners.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies objectives and appropriate curriculum goals/outcomes but they are not appropriate for the subject, grade level, or the learners.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies performance-based objectives and appropriate curriculum goals/outcomes which are appropriate for the subject, grade level, or the learners.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies well-developed, performance-based objectives, curriculum goals/outcomes that are appropriate for subject and/or grade level and learners ; correctly formulated; and address multiple areas of relevance to the learners.</p>
<p>The candidate identifies national/state/local standards that align with objectives, are appropriate for curriculum goals, and are relevant to learners.</p> <p><i>InTASC 7</i> <i>VDOE 2</i></p> <p>Diversity </p>	<p>The candidate does not identify national/state/local standards that align with the objectives/goals/outcomes or the standards are not appropriate for curriculum goals or are not relevant to learners.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies national/state/local standards but the standards are not aligned with the objectives/goals/outcomes and/or marginally relevant to learners.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies national/state/local standards that are aligned with the objectives/goals/outcomes and relevant to learners.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies national/state/local standards that are clearly aligned with the objectives/goals/outcomes and relevant to learners.</p> <p>The candidate provides a statement of rationale for the alignment of these goals with the learning objective.</p>

Criteria	Does Not Meet Standard 1	Approaching Standard 2	Meets Standard 3	Exceeds Standard 4
<p>The candidate creates learning experiences that make content accessible and meaningful for learners to ensure content mastery.</p> <p><i>InTASC 4</i> <i>VDOE 1</i></p> <p>Diversity </p>	<p>Candidate conveys a negative attitude toward the content and suggests that the content is not important or was mandated by others.</p>	<p>Candidate communicates importance of the work but with little conviction and only minimal apparent buy-in by the learners.</p> <p>Candidate accepts responsibility for the success of learning but has only a limited repertoire of instructional strategies.</p>	<p>Candidate conveys enthusiasm for the content, and learners demonstrate commitment to its value.</p> <p>Candidate accepts responsibility for the success of all learners through a repertoire of instructional strategies.</p>	<p>Candidate conveys genuine enthusiasm for the content, and learners demonstrate consistent commitment to its value.</p> <p>Learners demonstrate through their active participation, curiosity, and taking initiative that they value the importance of the content.</p>
<p>The candidate organizes and creates face-to-face and virtual environments that support individual and collaborative learning.</p> <p><i>InTASC 3</i> <i>VDOE 5</i></p> <p>Technology  </p>	<p>There is little, if any, evidence of routines, procedures, or proactive actions to establish a climate for learning.</p>	<p>Candidate recognizes the value of a learner-centered classroom but the application of these tenets are not applied in all management situations.</p>	<p>The classroom is a learner-centered environment that is a safe and positive environment for learning. The classroom environment supports individual and collaborative learning.</p>	<p>The classroom conveys a safe, positive, and inclusive environment that is learner-centered, supports individual and collaborative learning and meets the needs of both the group and individual learners.</p> <p>Structures are incorporated that enable learners to guide their own learning experiences.</p>

Criteria	Does Not Meet Standard 1	Approaching Standard 2	Meets Standard 3	Exceeds Standard 4
<p>The candidate seeks appropriate ways to employ technology to engage learners and to assess and address learner needs.</p> <p><i>InTASC 6</i> <i>VDOE 4</i></p> <p>Technology </p> <p>Diversity </p>	<p>The candidate does not identify appropriate technology to engage learners even though it was available.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies technology to engage learners though the technology would be ineffective to teach the content and address learner needs.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies appropriate technology to engage learners more fully, assess, and address learner needs.</p>	<p>The candidate identifies effective and appropriate technology to engage learners more fully, assess, and creatively meet learning needs.</p>
<p>The candidate facilitates learners' use of appropriate tools and resources to maximize content learning in varied contexts.</p> <p><i>InTASC 5</i> <i>VDOE 2</i></p> <p>Technology </p>	<p>The candidate's plans do not provide evidence of opportunities for learners' use of appropriate tools or technology resources to maximize content learning in varied contexts.</p>	<p>The candidate's plans provide evidence of opportunities for learners' use of appropriate tools and resources but are ineffective to maximize content learning in varied contexts.</p>	<p>The candidate's plans provide evidence of opportunities for learners' use of appropriate tools and resources that are effective to maximize content learning in varied contexts.</p>	<p>The candidate's plans provide substantial evidence of multiple opportunities for learners' use of appropriate tools and resources that are creative and effective to maximize content learning in varied contexts.</p>

Criteria	Does Not Meet Standard 1	Approaching Standard 2	Meets Standard 3	Exceeds Standard 4
College-and-Career-Ready 				
The candidate plans how to achieve learning goals, choosing accommodations to differentiate instruction for individuals and groups of learners. <i>InTASC 7</i> <i>VDOE 2</i> 	<p>The candidate's lesson plan does not provide evidence of differentiating instruction for individuals and groups of learners.</p>	<p>The candidate's lesson plan provides evidence of an effort to meet learning goals, and attempts to differentiate instruction for individuals and groups of learners.</p>	<p>The candidate's lesson plan provides evidence of successfully meeting learning goals for each learner, and successfully instruction for individuals and groups of learners.</p>	<p>The candidate's lesson plan provides evidence of successfully meeting each learning goal for each learner, and successfully differentiates instruction for individuals and groups of learners.</p> <p>Reflection on why this differentiation was successful is included.</p>
The candidate plans instruction based on pre-assessment data, prior learning knowledge and skill. <i>InTASC 7</i> <i>VDOE 2</i>	<p>The candidate does not plan instruction based on pre-assessment data, prior learning knowledge, or skills.</p>	<p>The candidate plans instruction based on pre-assessment data, prior learning knowledge, and skills but it was not effective.</p>	<p>The candidate plans instruction based on pre-assessment data, prior learning knowledge, and skills.</p> <p>Pre-assessment strategies/methods are appropriate and effectively assess learners' prior knowledge.</p>	<p>The candidate plans instruction based on pre-assessment strategies/methods that are creative and effective ways to assess learner prior knowledge and skills and to guide instruction.</p>

Criteria	Does Not Meet Standard 1	Approaching Standard 2	Meets Standard 3	Exceeds Standard 4
Section 3: INSTRUCTION 5 points				
<p>The candidate develops appropriate sequencing and pacing of learning experiences and provides multiple ways to demonstrate knowledge and skill.</p> <p><i>InTASC 7</i> <i>VDOE 2</i></p>	<p>The candidate does not plan for appropriate sequencing and pacing of learning experiences.</p> <p>Tasks, methods, strategies are not stated.</p>	<p>The candidate plans for appropriate sequencing and pacing of learning experiences; but tasks, methods and strategies are not stated and/or not appropriate or effective for the lesson.</p>	<p>The candidate plans for appropriate sequencing and pacing of learning experiences. All tasks, methods, and strategies are stated and/or are appropriate and effective for the lesson.</p>	<p>The candidate plans for appropriate sequencing and pacing of learning experiences. Instructional tasks, methods, and strategies include a variety of creative, active learning, instructional strategies that address learner differences to maximize learning.</p>
<p>The candidate uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop an understanding of the content and to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.</p> <p><i>InTASC 8</i> <i>VDOE 3</i></p>	<p>The instructional strategies used by the candidate do not encourage an understanding of content .</p>	<p>The candidate uses a limited instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop an understanding of the content.</p>	<p>The candidate uses a variety of instructional strategies that encourage learners to develop an understanding of the content and to apply that knowledge in meaningful ways.</p>	<p>The candidate uses pedagogical content knowledge to use a variety of instructional strategies that encourage all learners to develop both an understanding of the content and apply knowledge that in authentic ways.</p>
<p>The candidate engages learners in multiple ways of demonstrating</p>	<p>The candidate does not use assessment as closure to check for comprehension</p>	<p>The candidate uses assessment as closure to demonstrate knowledge and</p>	<p>The candidate uses appropriate assessment strategies as closure to allow learners to</p>	<p>The candidate uses creative, appropriate assessments throughout the lesson to allow</p>

Criteria	Does Not Meet Standard 1	Approaching Standard 2	Meets Standard 3	Exceeds Standard 4
knowledge and skill as part of the assessment process. <i>InTASC 6</i> <i>VDOE 4</i>	and learner knowledge and skills.	skills to check for comprehension however, they are inappropriate and/or ineffective (or misaligned).	demonstrate knowledge and skills to check for understanding.	learners to demonstrate knowledge and skills to check for comprehension.
The candidate designs assessments that match learning objectives with assessment methods. <i>InTASC 6</i> <i>VDOE 4</i>	The candidate's lesson design does not include post-assessment strategies or methods.	The candidate's lesson design includes post-assessment strategies or methods but the strategies/methods were not effective.	The candidate's lesson design includes post-assessments that are appropriate to effectively assess learning.	The candidate's ongoing assessments and post-assessment matches learning objectives and includes creative strategies to effectively assess learning and check comprehension throughout the lesson.
Section 4: REFLECTION: IMPACT ON LEARNING 5 points				
The candidate understands and knows how to use a variety of self-assessment and problem-solving strategies to analyze and reflect on his/her practice and to plan for	The candidate's reflection does not demonstrate the use of self-assessment or problem-solving strategies to analyze and reflect on his/her practice.	The candidate's reflection demonstrates the use of self-assessment and/or problem-solving strategies to analyze and reflect on his/her practice but does not plan for adaptations/adjustments.	The candidate's reflection demonstrates a variety of self-assessment and problem-solving strategies to analyze and reflects on his/her practice and to plan for adaptations/adjustments.	The candidate's reflection demonstrates the application of a variety of appropriate self-assessment and problem-solving strategies to analyze and reflect on his/her practice and to effectively plan for

Criteria	Does Not Meet Standard 1	Approaching Standard 2	Meets Standard 3	Exceeds Standard 4
adaptations/adjustments. <i>InTASC 9</i> <i>VDOE 6</i>				adaptations/adjustments.