George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Literacy Program

EDRD 635.6L1: School-Based Inquiry in Literacy 3 Credits, Summer 2019 Tuesday & Thursday, 5:00-8:00 PM, Arlington Campus, Founders Hall 479 Saturday, online May 20-July 6

Faculty

Name: Jennifer Drake Patrick Office hours: By Appointment

Office location: 1503 Thompson Hall, Fairfax campus

Office Phone: 703-993-5342 Email address: jdrakepa@gmu.edu

Prerequisites/Corequisites

EDRD 630 Advanced Literacy Foundations and Instruction, Birth to Middle Childhood, admission to the literacy emphasis, or permission from the program coordinator.

EDRD 631 Advanced study of literacy theory, research, and practice as it relates to adolescents and adults. Addresses sociocultural, cognitive, linguistic, psychological, and developmental influences on literacy. Includes reading, writing, and oral communication.

EDRD 632 Literacy Assessments and Interventions for Groups. Provides literacy assessments and interventions for groups of learners. Includes exploration of assessment tools for classrooms and large populations. Class members conduct related practice in their own classrooms or specified field settings.

EDRD 633 Literacy Assessments and Interventions for Individuals. Provides literacy assessments and interventions for individuals. Includes diagnosis and remediation for learners who find reading and writing difficult. Requires assigned practicum experience.

EDRD 634 School Based Leadership Prepares reading specialist as a school leader. Expands knowledge of literacy gained in prerequisite courses, and applies it to professional development work with teachers at their own site.

EDRD 637 Supervised Literacy Practicum. Supervised literacy practicum that requires students to conduct assessments of and provide instruction to struggling readers.

University Catalog Course Description

Capstone course in literacy emphasis focusing on research-based inquiry related to literacy in school settings. Includes review of literature and teacher inquiry project.

Course Overview

Not Applicable

Course Delivery Method

This course will be delivered using a hybrid (2-75% online) format.

Learner Outcomes or Objectives

This course is designed to enable students to do the following:

- 1. Identify specific areas of literacy interest; plan research steps for gaining information about their interest; and begin to carry out those plans and articulate the answers derived. (Literature Review; Article Review)
- 2. Read and analyze literacy studies related to their research interest and write an intelligent and coherent literature review. (Literature review)
- 3. Write an opinion article advocating for an approach or issue based on their research interest in literacy. (OpEd)
- 4. Write a grant proposal to fund a project or materials to enhance her/his role as a literacy leader. (Grant Proposal)
- 5. Make a formal presentation to their peers on a research plan and preliminary findings. (Presentation)

Professional Standards

IRA Standards (2010 Standards for Reading Professionals)

Upon completion of this course, students will have met the following professional standards:

1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 6.2, 6.4

- **1.1** Understand major theories and empirical research that describe the cognitive, linguistic, motivational, and sociocultural foundations of reading and writing development, processes, and components, including word recognition, language comprehension, strategic knowledge, and reading-writing connections.
- 1.2 Interpret and summarize historically shared knowledge (e.g., instructional strategies and theories) that addresses the needs of all readers. Inform educators and others about the historically shared knowledge base in reading and writing and its role in reading education.
- **1.3** Model fair-mindedness, empathy, and ethical behavior when teaching students and working with other professionals.
- **2.1** Demonstrate an understanding of the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum and instruction for all pre-K-12 students.
- **6.2** Display positive dispositions related to their own reading and writing and the teaching of reading and writing, and pursue the development of individual professional knowledge and behaviors.
- **6.4** Demonstrate an understanding of local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.

Virginia State Standards addressed in this course: 6i, 6j

- **6i.** Demonstrate effective communication skills in working with a variety of groups, including parents, teachers, administrators, community leaders, etc.
- 6j. Demonstrate knowledge of current research and exemplary practices in English/reading.

Required Texts

There are no required texts for this course.

RECOMMENDED TEXTS:

APA Manual, 6th edition.

Zinsser, W. (2006). On writing well, 30th anniversary edition: The classic guide to writing nonfiction. New York, NY: HarperCollins.

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy).

1. Assignment Descriptions:

A. Class Participation: (15%) Class attendance is expected. Class participation is a required component of your grade. It is expected that <u>you fully participate</u> in both online and face-to-face settings. Participation involves several aspects: being fully prepared for class, completing work in a timely manner, offering critical insights to discussions, asking in-depth, thoughtful questions, and providing thoughtful, responsive feedback to your peers. *It is <u>critical</u> to keep up with the readings*. It is your responsibility to come to class with insights, questions, comments, and concerns from the readings. In general, we will engage in three types of activities:

- 1. Mini-lectures, activities, and discussions.
- 2. Discussion of the week's readings via discussion boards and in-class small groups.
- 3. Critical friend meetings and/or pair and small group meetings in which you will provide feedback and support for each other's work.

The following rubric will be used for assessment:

	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	Approaching expectations	Does not meet expectations
Attendance 50%	The candidate attended all face-to-face and synchronous classes and was always on time for class.	The candidate missed one face-to-face class and synchronous. The candidate was occasionally late for class/left early.	The candidate missed two face-to-face class and synchronous classes. The candidate was often late to class/left early.	The candidate missed three or more face-to-face class and synchronous classes. The candidate was consistently late to class/left early.
Participation	1. The candidate actively participates in small and/or	The candidate makes active contributions to the	1. The candidate participates at least peripherally in	Candidate does not actively participate in
50 %	whole group class meetings by meaningfully contributing to each class session. The candidate critically considers class content and poses questions to push their thinking and address misunderstandings. 2. The candidate always demonstrates	learning group and class. The candidate may usually, but not always, critically consider content and/or pose questions. 2. The candidate demonstrates professionalism in all communications with professor and peers.	group and class discussions. The candidate is somewhat engaged in all classes and sometimes or rarely pushes their own and their peers' thinking. 2. The candidate may occasionally demonstrate unprofessionalism in communications	discussions. 2. The candidate's communication with professor and peers is usually unprofessional.

professionalism in	with professor and
all communications	peers.
with professor and	
peers and follows	
procedures in the	
syllabus.	

B. Performance Based Assessment (PBA): (85%) A detailed description is included at the end of syllabus. This PBA blends a literature review (40%, see annotated bibliography description below), op ed piece (10%), and grant proposal (35%). This performance-based assessment (PBA) MUST be uploaded *and* submitted to TK20 in Blackboard for evaluation when the assignment is due. **ONLY PBAs posted to TK20 will be graded**. This means NO final grades will be posted until all materials are on Blackboard. You must post a PBA in order to receive class credit.

C. Annotated Bibliography (part of Literature Review): (10%) This task scaffolds the literature review portion of the PBA. You will read at least 10 peer-reviewed research articles from academic journals, then annotate each study using a format provided in class.

2. Assignment and examination weighting:

Assignment	Value	Due Dates
Class Attendance &	15%	ongoing
Participation		
Critical Friends meetings &	5%	
feedback		
Online and F2F attendance	10%	
and participation		
Literature Review	40%	
Annotated Bibliography	10%	June 4th
Final Paper	30%	Thursday, June 20
Op Ed	10%	Tuesday, June 25
Grant Proposal	35%	Saturday, July 6

• Other Requirements

Class attendance

Class attendance is both important and required. If, due to an emergency, you will not be in class, **you must contact the instructor via phone or email.** You are expected to email assignments regardless of class attendance on the day that the assignment is due. Students are responsible for obtaining information given during class discussions despite attendance. **Attendance will influence your grade**.

Assignments

All assignments should be turned in on the due date in the schedule below via paper copy OR email attachment (by 4:30pm, whether or not you are in class that evening). Save all electronic files with your last name and assignment titles (ex: SMITH_ProfDevProposal.docx). All assignments must be typed in 12-point Times New Roman font, and double-spaced with one—inch margins. Writing quality (including mechanics, organization, and content) is figured into the overall points for each writing assignment, so please proofread carefully. Late papers and projects will not be accepted without penalty, excepting extraordinary circumstances.

General

Email response:

I will respond to all emails within 24 hours during the week and 48 hours on weekends/holidays. If you do not get a reply within 24/48 hours PLEASE resend email.

Students are expected to respond to emails within 24 hours during the week.

Please **consult the syllabus FIRST** for all questions. Then consult me with questions and concerns about assignments, expectations, or class activities. I am happy to clarify and lend assistance on projects and assignments, but please come to me within a reasonable time frame. I will be available for the 15 minutes before class, by appointment, and by e-mail. I look forward to collaborating with each of you as you work towards your goals.

Grading

Please note that Literacy students must re-take any course in which they receive a grade of C or lower, in order to be eligible for licensure (Please note that the grade of B- is not given).

Grading Scale

A = 94% - 100%

A = 90% - 93%

B+ = 87%-89%

B = 80% - 86%

C = 75%-79%

Professional Dispositions

See https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/

Class Schedule

Class/Date	Class Format	Topics	Assignments DUE	Readings
				(before class)
#1 Tuesday May 21	F2F	Literacy Leaders: roles in policy and advocacy: Course Overview Lit Review Analysis Literature Review Process: Formulating a research question		Ch 12 Sherer, Carr, & Vogt, 2019 (634 textbook) Flanigan 2007 (focus on lit review & skim rest to understand logic chain)
#2 Thursday May 23	F2F	Database searches Evaluating Literature for relevance and validity/reliability. Annotated Bibliography intro Critical Friends Pairing	Identify a research topic.	Anderson et al. 2019 (focus on lit review & skim rest to understand logic chain)

#3 Saturday May 25	Asynchronous	Literature Review: evaluating research.	Research, read, and annotate AT LEAST 3 articles on your topic & begin to construct logic chain/outline for lit review. This is the start of your Annotated Bibliography assignment.	
#4 Tuesday May 28	Asynchronous	Continue researching, reading, annotating articles. Sort them according to emerging themes.	Continue to work on Annotated Bibliography assignment, adding another 5-6 articles this week. What does the research say about your topic? Be objective!!	
#5 Thursday May 30	F2F	Literature Review: Drafting and Writing Literature reviews In class: Rationale & Synthesis statement Critical Friend(s) conferences	Outline of literature review Bring all articles to class (elec. or hard copies).	
#6 Saturday June 1	Asynchronous		Continue AB project Confer with critical friend(s)	
#7 Tuesday June 4	F2F	In-class: Writing workshop	By midnight: Submit minimum 10 entries for Annotated Bibliography, grouped according to theme/major findings Once you receive instructor feedback on AB, begin drafting lit review	
#8 Thursday June 6	Asynchronous		Continue drafting, confer with CF as needed	

#9	Asynchronous		Continue drafting,	•
Saturday June 8			confer with CF as needed	
#10	F2F	Academic Writing	Continue drafting	
Tuesday June 11	121	workshop: outlines, academic writing: organization streamlining, revising, editing for clarity *Bring everything to class!	Continue draiting	
#11 Thursday June 13	Asynchronous		Continue drafting, confer with CF as needed	
#12 Saturday June 15	Asynchronous		COMPLETE Literature Review Draft due to critical friends.	
#13 Tuesday June 18	F2F	Lit Review in-class peer coaching Op Ed: Craft Writing Choosing an outlet Choosing a position		Pence, 2000
#14 Thursday June 20	F2F	Grant Writing: Researching and analyzing grant announcements (RFP)	Read 2-4 education-based editorials and op-ed pieces in newspapers and magazines; analyze the craft Share at least 2 opeds & brief reactions via Twitter hashtag: #EDRD635 Drafting an Op-Ed (in class) Literature Review Due on TK20 by midnight.	Bean, 2013 Ch 11 Maxwell, 2005 Bring possible grant announcements to class for discussion.
#15 Saturday	Asynchronous		Op-Ed paper due on TK20 by midnight.	

June 22				
June 22			Read and review sample grant proposals	
#16 Tuesday June 25	F2F	Grant writing: Craft analysis- How to follow an RFP Grant writing: Needs assessment & Project narrative **Bring 632 Part A, 634 Yearlong/Part 1 to aid drafting in class	Identify literacy grant for proposal Begin drafting grant proposal	Nutt. 2003 Stinson, 2007
#17 Thursday June 27	Synchronous	Grant writing: Budget sourcing and development Streamlining the logic chain & revising for clarity	Grant analysis (in CF groups, identify and discuss needs assessment, logic chain, and writing craft elements, along with budget justification and how requested materials align with project goals). Continue drafting grant proposal	
#18 Saturday June 29	Asynchronous		Full grant proposal draft due to critical friends.	
#19 Tuesday July 2	F2F	In-class grant peer coaching Final presentations Literacy leadership-summarizing discussion Course Evaluations		
Thursday July 4			Continue to revise and edit grant proposal	

HOLIDAY			
#20 Saturday July 6	Asynchronous	Final grant proposal due to TK20 by midnight.	
		All PBA assignments must be posted to TK-20 by midnight.	

Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/.

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see https://ds.gmu.edu/).
- Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/.

Selected Performance Based Assessment (PBA detailed description):

This Performance Based Assessment (PBA) is intended to instill a culture of outreach in coaching to support teachers in schools. Competent and effective reading specialists and literacy coaches should be prepared to investigate and understand the needs of the teachers they serve, as well as to advocate and secure resources and services for teachers and students in their schools. Therefore, the PBA for this course is comprised of three integrated parts:

- (1) Literature review
- (2) Op-ed piece, and
- (3) Grant proposal.

A detailed description of each of these three assignments is provided below with a rubric for evaluating each part. **Please follow all APA guidelines to complete this assignment**. The PBA is not considered to be complete unless all three parts are submitted.

Part I: Literature Review

Literacy specialists need to be knowledgeable of the current research in literacy. Choose a topic in the field of literacy that you think that needs to be developed in your school. Review the current (past 10-15 years), relevant (focused on your topic), peer-reviewed research on the topic. Also, review any seminal work and theoretical bases that would ground your topic in the research literature. Write a well-organized synthesis and critique of the research on the topic that captures what has been done and what needs to be done. Your review should conclude with a clear and insightful analysis of how your topic contributes to literacy success as well as how this knowledge can be used to address the needs of all learners.

You must use peer-reviewed (scholarly) research articles. Do not use articles in state/local journals or practitioner-focused journals (e.g., *Reading in Virginia*, *The Reading Teacher*, *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, *Young Children*). Best to search the GMU databases. Possible journals include

- Early Childhood Research Quarterly
- Journal of Early Childhood Literacy
- Journal of Literacy Research
- Language Arts
- Literacy Research & Instruction
- Reading Research Quarterly
- Reading and Writing Quarterly
- Yearbooks of the Literacy Research Association/National Reading Conference
- Yearbooks of the Association of Literacy Educators & Researchers/College Reading Association

Literature Review Rubric & Scoring Guide

IRA Standard/ Element	Exceeds Expectations (4)	Meets Expectations (3)	Approaching Expectations (2)	Below Expectations (1)
1.1c Demonstrate	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
a critical stance	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of a	no evidence of a
toward the	evidence of a	evidence of a	critical stance	critical stance
scholarship of the	critical stance	critical stance	toward the	toward the
profession.	toward the	toward the		

	scholarship of the profession.	scholarship of the profession.	scholarship of the profession.	scholarship of the profession.
	The review offers an effective synthesis and critique of the body of literature on the topic.	The review provides only a synthesis of research on the topic.	The review provides a summary of the research on the topic.	Research is not clearly summarized.
1.1d Read and understand the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success (e.g., social, cognitive, and physical).	Provides exemplary evidence of reading and understanding the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success.	Provides satisfactory evidence of reading and understanding the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success.	Provides partial evidence of reading and understanding the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success.	Provides little or no evidence of reading and understanding the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success.
	The review draws original and insightful conclusions about the factors that contribute to literacy success.	The review concludes with a synthesis of factors that contribute to literacy success.	The review includes a summary of factors that contribute to literacy success.	The review does not address how factors contribute to literacy success.
1.2a Interpret and summarize historically shared knowledge (e.g., instructional strategies and theories) that addresses the needs of all readers.	Provides exemplary evidence of interpreting and summarizing historically shared knowledge that addresses the needs of all readers.	Provides satisfactory evidence of interpreting and summarizing historically shared knowledge that addresses the needs of all readers.	Provides partial evidence of interpreting and summarizing historically shared knowledge that addresses the needs of all readers.	Provides little or no evidence of interpreting and summarizing historically shared knowledge that addresses the needs of all readers.
	The review draws original and insightful conclusions about knowledge from the field that can be used to address the needs of all learners.	The review provides a synthesis of knowledge from the field that can be used to address the needs of all learners.	The review provides a summary of knowledge from the field that can be used to address the needs of all learners.	The review does not provide a view that addresses the needs of all learners.

Part II: Op-Ed Piece

Literacy specialists are well-educated professionals. Accordingly, they are in a position to advocate for the education profession. For this assignment, you are to write an opinion piece or a letter to policy makers based on the information that was developed in the literature

review (Part I). The piece should include ideas for organizational change, professional development, or other recommendations to those who are in a position to effect change.

The opinion piece should be about 500-750 words and should include the following characteristics: (a) is tightly focused on one issue; (b) the topic is presented clearly in the first paragraph; (c) the information is credible and well researched – based on the literature reviewed; (d) the issue is timely, can be controversial, but is not offensive; (e) the piece has a clear point of view; (f) the piece makes reference to other points of view, where applicable; (g) the piece concludes with a 'next steps' or a 'call to action' that suggest what can be done.

The letter should be sent to the District Office, the School Board, or a local newspaper or journal. Evidence that the piece has been submitted is required for successful completion of Part II.

Op-Ed Rubric & Scoring Guide

Op-Eu Kubric & S	Exceeds	Meets	Approaching	Below
IRA Standard/ Element	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations
Element	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
1.2b Inform	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
educators and	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
others about the	evidence of	evidence of	informing	informing
historically shared	informing	informing	educators and	educators and
knowledge base in	educators and	educators and	others about the	others about the
reading and	others about the	others about the	historically	historically
writing and its	historically	historically	shared knowledge	shared knowledge
role in reading	shared knowledge	shared knowledge	base in reading	base in reading
education.	base in reading	base in reading	and writing and	and writing and
	and writing and	and writing and	its role in reading	its role in reading
	its role in reading	its role in reading	education.	education.
	education.	education.		
	Provides accurate	Provides accurate	Provides	Does not provide
	and credible	information on the	information on the	information on the
	(indicates source	topic based on	topic based on	topic or bases
	of facts)	research and	professional	information on
	information based	professional	knowledge and	opinion only.
	on research and	knowledge but	opinion.	
	professional	does not indicate		
	knowledge.	source of facts.		
1.3b	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
Communicate the	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
importance of	evidence of	evidence of	communicating	communicating
fair-mindedness,	communicating	communicating	the importance of	the importance of
empathy, and	the importance of	the importance of	fair-mindedness,	fair-mindedness,
ethical behavior in	fair-mindedness,	fair-mindedness,	empathy, and	empathy, and
literacy	empathy, and	empathy, and	ethical behavior	ethical behavior
instruction and	ethical behavior	ethical behavior	in literacy	in literacy
professional behavior.	in literacy instruction and	in literacy instruction and	instruction and professional	instruction and professional
ochavior.	professional	professional	projessionai behavior.	projessionai behavior.
	behavior.	behavior.	venavior.	venavior.
	venavior.	venavior.		
	The piece	The piece	The piece	The piece does not
	provides a strong	provides positive	provides a	provide a positive
	yet positive	message and	generally positive	message nor does

	message and strongly models fair mindedness and ethical principles.	models fair mindedness and ethical principles.	message but does not model fair mindedness and ethical principles.	it model ethical principles.
6.4a Demonstrate an understanding of local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.	Provides exemplary evidence of understanding local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.	Provides satisfactory evidence of understanding local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.	Provides partial evidence of understanding local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.	Provides little or no evidence of understanding local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.
	Provides an accurate and well-connected tie between the topic relevant policies that affect literacy instruction.	Provides accurate information about relevant policies that affect literacy instruction.	Provides information about relevant policies, but the information is not completely accurate.	Does not address policy issues.
6.4c Promote effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.	Provides exemplary evidence of promoting effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.	Provides satisfactory evidence of promoting effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.	Provides partial evidence of promoting effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.	Provides little or no evidence of promoting effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.
	The piece provides well-reasoned next steps or call to action that is within the purview of readers to do.	The piece provides next steps or call to action that is well-reasoned but not within the purview of most readers.	The piece provides next steps or call to action that is not well reasoned.	The piece does not provide next steps or call to action.
6.4d Advocate with various groups (e.g., administrators, school boards, and	Provides exemplary evidence of advocating with various groups for	Provides satisfactory evidence of advocating with various groups for	Provides partial evidence of advocating with various groups for needed	Provides little or no evidence of advocating with various groups for needed

local, state, and	needed	needed	organizational	organizational
federal	organizational	organizational	and instructional	and instructional
policymaking	and instructional	and instructional	changes to	changes to
bodies) for needed	changes to	changes to	promote effective	promote effective
organizational and	promote effective	promote effective	literacy	literacy
instructional	literacy	literacy	instruction.	instruction.
changes to	instruction.	instruction.		
promote effective	this true to the	thisti tiettoit.		
literacy	The piece	The piece	The piece	The piece does not
instruction.	provides clear and	provides clear	indicates that	address changes
mstruction.	purposeful	direction for	various groups	that could be
	direction for	members of	should promote	implemented by
	members of	various groups	effective literacy	various groups to
		regarding changes	instruction but	promote effective
	various groups	that would		*
	regarding changes		does not provide	literacy
	that would	promote effective	direction.	instruction.
	promote effective	literacy		
	literacy	instruction.		
	instruction.			

Evidence that letter was submitted? Yes/No

Part III. Grant Proposal

Literacy specialists are in a position to procure funding to create, support, or develop literacy programs or instruction within the school. Based on the topic of the literature review conducted in Part I and the opinion piece in Part II, locate a small grant opportunity that addresses your school's needs and interests. You will write the proposal based upon the instructions for that particular grant and submit the grant within the grantor's deadline for submission. Your proposal should follow all of the guidelines suggested by the funding agency and include all of the necessary permissions and attachments required to submit the grant application. Therefore, you must submit the grant proposal guidelines with your proposal. Evidence that the piece has been submitted is required for successful completion of Part III.

Grant Proposal Rubric & Scoring Guide

IRA Standard/	Exceeds	Meets	Approaching	Below
Element	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations
Element	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
1.1a Interpret	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
major theories of	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
reading and	evidence of	evidence of	interpreting major	interpreting major
writing processes	interpreting major	interpreting major	theories of	theories of
and development	theories of	theories of	reading and	reading and
to understand the	reading and	reading and	writing processes	writing processes
needs of all	writing processes	writing processes	and development	and development
readers in diverse	and development	and development	to understand the	to understand the
contexts.	to understand the	to understand the	needs of all	needs of all
	needs of all	needs of all	readers in diverse	readers in diverse
	readers in diverse	readers in diverse	contexts.	contexts.
	contexts.	contexts.		
	The proposal	The proposal	The proposal	The proposal does
	presents a well-	presents a well-	presents a	not present a
	researched and	defined theoretical	theoretical base,	theoretical base
	well-defined and	base but it is not	but it is not well	for the work to be
	well-connected	well connected to	defined.	funded.

	theoretical base to support the work to be funded.	the work to be funded.		
2.1a Demonstrate an understanding of the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum for all students.	Provides exemplary evidence of understanding the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum for all students.	Provides satisfactory evidence of understanding the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum for all students.	Provides partial evidence of understanding the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum for all students.	Provides little or no evidence of understanding the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum for all students.
	The proposal demonstrates complete understanding of the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum for all students.	The proposal demonstrates understanding of the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum for all students.	The proposal demonstrates some understanding of the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum for all students.	The proposal demonstrates a limited understanding of the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum for all students.
6.2d Demonstrate effective interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills.	Provides exemplary evidence of effective interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills.	Provides satisfactory evidence of effective interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills.	Provides partial evidence of effective interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills.	Provides little or no evidence of effective interpersonal, communication, and leadership skills.
	The proposal demonstrates a complete understanding of audience and professionalism in communication.	The proposal demonstrates understanding of audience and professionalism in communication.	The proposal demonstrates some understanding of audience and professionalism in communication.	The proposal demonstrates a weak understanding of audience and professionalism in communication.
6.4b Write or assist in writing proposals that enable schools to obtain additional funding to support literacy efforts.	Provides exemplary evidence of ability to write or assist in writing proposals that enable schools to obtain additional funding to support literacy efforts.	Provides satisfactory evidence of ability to write or assist in writing proposals that enable schools to obtain additional funding to support literacy efforts.	Provides partial evidence of ability to write or assist in writing proposals that enable schools to obtain additional funding to support literacy efforts.	Provides little or no evidence of ability to write or assist in writing proposals that enable schools to obtain additional funding to support literacy efforts.
	The plan for using the funds is well	The plan for using the funds is well	The plan for using the funds is well	

organized and	organized and	organized and	The plan for using
presents a clear	presents a clear	presents a clear	the funds is not
picture of how the	picture of how the	picture of how the	clearly organized.
funds will support	funds will support	funds will support	
literacy efforts in	literacy efforts in	literacy efforts in	
the school as well	the school.	the school.	
as who will be	EITHER	NEITHER	
involved in	involved	involved	
executing the plan	personnel OR	personnel NOR	
and how the plan	evaluation of the	evaluation of the	
will be evaluated.	plan are discussed	plan are discussed	
	in detail, but not	in detail, although	
	both.	they each may be	
		briefly mentioned.	
		-	

Writing Rubric (to be used across all papers)

Writing Rubric (to be used across all papers)				
	Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Developing (2)	Not met (1)
Writer uses a	Writer uses	Writer uses many	Writer uses some	Writer uses few
variety of	multiple, relevant	resources, mostly	resources;	resources, may not
resources to	resources that	relevant; supports	somewhat	be relevant;
support ideas.	very strongly	ideas and insights;	relevant; loosely	weakly supports
	support ideas and	inclusion of	supports ideas and	ideas and insights;
	insights; inclusion	references blends	insights may be	may be disjointed
	of references	into writing.	choppy	from text
	evenly blends into			
	writing.			
Readability	Error free:	Minor errors (1-3)	Several errors in	Contains
	accurate spelling,	in spelling,	spelling, grammar,	numerous errors
	grammar,	grammar,	language usage;	in spelling,
	language usage;	language usage;	minimal variety of	grammar,
	variety of	some variety of	sentence	punctuation, or
	sentence	sentence	structures; limited	sentence structure.
	structures; broad,	structures;	vocabulary. APA	APA not
	rich vocabulary.	appropriate	contains several	followed.
	APA consistently	vocabulary. APA	errors.	
	followed	mostly followed,		
		may have minor		
		errors.		