# George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Literacy Program

EDRD 635.6L7: School Based Inquiry in Literacy 3 Credits, Summer 2019 Monday, Wednesday, Friday 5:00-7:40 pm May 20-July 5 FCPS Gatehouse Administration Center, rm 2050/2051

# **Faculty**

Name: Allison Ward Parsons Office hours: By Appointment

Office location: 1603 Thompson Hall, Fairfax campus

Office Phone: 703-993-5257 Email address: award12@gmu.edu

# **Prerequisites/Corequisites**

EDRD 630 Advanced Literacy Foundations and Instruction, Birth to Middle Childhood, admission to the literacy emphasis, or permission from the program coordinator.

EDRD 631 Advanced study of literacy theory, research, and practice as it relates to adolescents and adults. Addresses sociocultural, cognitive, linguistic, psychological, and developmental influences on literacy. Includes reading, writing, and oral communication.

EDRD 632 Literacy Assessments and Interventions for Groups. Provides literacy assessments and interventions for groups of learners. Includes exploration of assessment tools for classrooms and large populations. Class members conduct related practice in their own classrooms or specified field settings.

EDRD 633 Literacy Assessments and Interventions for Individuals. Provides literacy assessments and interventions for individuals. Includes diagnosis and remediation for learners who find reading and writing difficult. Requires assigned practicum experience.

EDRD 634 School Based Leadership Prepares reading specialist as a school leader. Expands knowledge of literacy gained in prerequisite courses, and applies it to professional development work with teachers at their own site.

EDRD 637 Supervised Literacy Practicum. Supervised literacy practicum that requires students to conduct assessments of and provide instruction to struggling readers.

#### **University Catalog Course Description**

Capstone course in literacy emphasis focusing on research-based inquiry related to literacy in school settings. Includes review of literature and teacher inquiry project.

#### **Course Overview**

Not Applicable

# **Course Delivery Method**

This course will be delivered using a hybrid (2-75% online) format.

# **Learner Outcomes or Objectives**

This course is designed to enable students to do the following:

- 1. Identify specific areas of literacy interest; plan research steps for gaining information about their interest; and begin to carry out those plans and articulate the answers derived. (Literature Review; Article Review)
- 2. Read and analyze literacy studies related to their research interest and write an intelligent and coherent literature review. (Literature review)
- 3. Write an opinion article advocating for an approach or issue based on their research interest in literacy. (OpEd)
- 4. Write a grant proposal to fund a project or materials to enhance her/his role as a literacy leader. (Grant Proposal)
- 5. Make a formal presentation to their peers on a research plan and preliminary findings. (Presentation)

## **Professional Standards**

IRA Standards (2010 Standards for Reading Professionals)

Upon completion of this course, students will have met the following professional standards:

# 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 6.2, 6.4

- **1.1** Understand major theories and empirical research that describe the cognitive, linguistic, motivational, and sociocultural foundations of reading and writing development, processes, and components, including word recognition, language comprehension, strategic knowledge, and reading-writing connections.
- 1.2 Interpret and summarize historically shared knowledge (e.g., instructional strategies and theories) that addresses the needs of all readers. Inform educators and others about the historically shared knowledge base in reading and writing and its role in reading education.
- **1.3** Model fair-mindedness, empathy, and ethical behavior when teaching students and working with other professionals.
- **2.1** Demonstrate an understanding of the research and literature that undergirds the reading and writing curriculum and instruction for all pre-K-12 students.
- **6.2** Display positive dispositions related to their own reading and writing and the teaching of reading and writing, and pursue the development of individual professional knowledge and behaviors.
- **6.4** Demonstrate an understanding of local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.

#### Virginia State Standards addressed in this course: 6i, 6j

- **6i.** Demonstrate effective communication skills in working with a variety of groups, including parents, teachers, administrators, community leaders, etc.
- 6j. Demonstrate knowledge of current research and exemplary practices in English/reading.

#### **Required Texts**

There are no required texts for this course.

#### **RECOMMENDED TEXTS:**

APA Manual, 6th edition.

Zinsser, W. (2006). On writing well, 30<sup>th</sup> anniversary edition: The classic guide to writing nonfiction. New York, NY: HarperCollins.

#### **Course Performance Evaluation**

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, Tk20, hard copy).

# 1. Assignment Descriptions:

**A. Class Participation: (15%)** Class attendance is expected. Class participation is a required component of your grade. It is expected that <u>you fully participate</u> in both online and face-to-face settings. Participation involves several aspects: being fully prepared for class, completing work in a timely manner, offering critical insights to discussions, asking in-depth, thoughtful questions, and providing thoughtful, responsive feedback to your peers. *It is <u>critical</u> to keep up with the readings*. It is your responsibility to come to class with insights, questions, comments, and concerns from the readings. In general, we will engage in three types of activities:

- 1. Mini-lectures, activities, and discussions.
- 2. Discussion of the week's readings via discussion boards and in-class small groups.
- 3. Critical friend meetings and/or pair and small group meetings in which you will provide feedback and support for each other's work.

The following rubric will be used for assessment:

	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations	Approaching expectations	Does not meet expectations
Attendance 50%	The candidate attended all face-to-face and synchronous classes and was always on time for class.	The candidate missed one face-to-face class and synchronous. The candidate was occasionally late for class/left early.	The candidate missed two face-to-face class and synchronous classes. The candidate was often late to class/left early.	The candidate missed three or more face-to-face class and synchronous classes. The candidate was consistently late to class/left early.
Participation	1. The candidate actively participates in small and/or	The candidate     makes active     contributions to the	1. The candidate participates at least peripherally in	Candidate does     not actively     participate in
50 %	whole group class meetings by meaningfully contributing to each class session. The candidate critically considers class content and poses questions to push their thinking and address misunderstandings.  2. The candidate always demonstrates	learning group and class. The candidate may usually, but not always, critically consider content and/or pose questions. 2. The candidate demonstrates professionalism in all communications with professor and peers.	group and class discussions. The candidate is somewhat engaged in all classes and sometimes or rarely pushes their own and their peers' thinking. 2. The candidate may occasionally demonstrate unprofessionalism in communications	discussions. 2. The candidate's communication with professor and peers is usually unprofessional.

professionalism in	with professor and	
all communications	peers.	
with professor and		
peers and follows		
procedures in the		
syllabus.		

**B. Performance Based Assessment (PBA): (85%)** A detailed description is included at the end of syllabus. This PBA blends a literature review (40%, see annotated bibliography description below), op ed piece (10%), and grant proposal (35%). This performance-based assessment (PBA) MUST be uploaded *and* submitted to TK20 in Blackboard for evaluation when the assignment is due. **ONLY PBAs posted to TK20 will be graded**. This means NO final grades will be posted until all materials are on Blackboard. You must post a PBA in order to receive class credit.

C. Annotated Bibliography (part of Literature Review): (10%) This task scaffolds the literature review portion of the PBA. You will read at least 10 peer-reviewed research articles from academic journals, then annotate each study using a format provided in class.

# 2. Assignment and examination weighting:

Assignment	Value	Due Dates
Class Attendance &	15%	ongoing
Participation		
Critical Friends meetings &	5%	
feedback		
Online and F2F attendance	10%	
and participation		
Literature Review	40%	
Annotated Bibliography	10%	June 5
Final Paper	30%	Wednesday, June 19
Op Ed	10%	Monday, June 24
Grant Proposal	35%	Friday, July 5

# • Other Requirements

#### Class attendance

Class attendance is both important and required. If, due to an emergency, you will not be in class, **you must contact the instructor via phone or email.** You are expected to email assignments regardless of class attendance on the day that the assignment is due. Students are responsible for obtaining information given during class discussions despite attendance. **Attendance will influence your grade**.

#### Assignments

All assignments should be turned in on the due date in the schedule below via paper copy OR email attachment (by 4:30pm, whether or not you are in class that evening). Save all electronic files with your last name and assignment titles (ex: SMITH\_ProfDevProposal.docx). All assignments must be typed in 12-point Times New Roman font, and double-spaced with one—inch margins. Writing quality (including mechanics, organization, and content) is figured into the overall points for each writing assignment, so please proofread carefully. Late papers and projects will not be accepted without penalty, excepting extraordinary circumstances.

#### General

Email response:

I will respond to all emails within 24 hours during the week and 48 hours on weekends/holidays. If you do not get a reply within 24/48 hours PLEASE resend email.

Students are expected to respond to emails within 24 hours during the week.

Please **consult the syllabus FIRST** for all questions. Then consult me with questions and concerns about assignments, expectations, or class activities. I am happy to clarify and lend assistance on projects and assignments, but please come to me within a reasonable time frame. I will be available for the 15 minutes before class, by appointment, and by e-mail. I look forward to collaborating with each of you as you work towards your goals.

# Grading

Please note that Literacy students must re-take any course in which they receive a grade of C or lower, in order to be eligible for licensure (Please note that the grade of B- is not given).

*Grading Scale* A = 94%-100%

A = 94%-100%A = 90%-93%

B+ = 87%-89%

B = 80%-86%

C = 75%-79%

# **Professional Dispositions**

See https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/

# **Class Schedule**

Class/Date	Class Format	Topics	Assignments DUE	Readings
				(before class)
#1	F2F	Literacy Leaders:		Ch 12 Sherer,
Monday		roles in policy and		Carr, & Vogt,
May 20		advocacy:		2019 (634
-		Course Overview		textbook)
		Lit Review Analysis		Flanigan 2007 (focus on lit review & skim
		Literature Review		rest to
		Process:		understand
		Formulating a research question		logic chain)
		Database searches		
#2 Wednesday May 22	Synchronous online	Evaluating Literature for relevance and validity/reliability. Annotated	Identify a research topic & email to Allison.	Anderson et al. 2019 (focus on lit review & skim rest to understand
		Bibliography intro		logic chain)
		Critical Friends Pairing		

#3 Friday May 24	Asynchronous	Literature Review: evaluating research.	Research, read, and annotate AT LEAST 3 articles on your topic & begin to construct logic chain/outline for lit review. This is the start of your Annotated Bibliography assignment.	
#4 Monday May 27 MEMORIAL DAY	NO CLASS HAPPY MEMORIAL DAY!	Continue researching, reading, annotating articles. Sort them according to emerging themes.	Continue to work on Annotated Bibliography assignment, adding another 5-6 articles this week. What does the research say about your topic? Be objective!!	
#5 Wednesday May 29	Synchronous	Literature Review: Drafting and Writing Literature reviews  In class: Rationale & Synthesis statement  Critical Friend(s) conferences	Outline of literature review Bring all articles to class (elec. or hard copies).	
#6 Friday May 31	Asynchronous		Continue AB project Confer with critical friend(s)	
#7 Monday June 3	F2F	In-class: Writing workshop	By midnight: Submit minimum 10 entries for Annotated Bibliography, grouped according to theme/major findings  Once you receive instructor feedback on AB, begin drafting lit review	
#8 Wednesday June 5	Asynchronous		Continue drafting, confer with CF as needed	

#9 Friday June 7	Asynchronous		Continue drafting, confer with CF as needed	
#10 Monday June 10	F2F	Academic Writing workshop: outlines, academic writing: organization streamlining, revising, editing for clarity *Bring everything to class!	Continue drafting	
#11 Wednesday June 13	Asynchronous		Continue drafting, confer with CF as needed	
#12 Friday June 14	Asynchronous		COMPLETE Literature Review Draft due to critical friends.	
#13 Monday June 17	F2F	Lit Review in-class peer coaching  Op Ed: Craft Writing Choosing an outlet Choosing a position		Pence, 2000
#14 Wednesday June 19	Synchronous	Grant Writing: Researching and analyzing grant announcements (RFP)	Read 2-4 education- based editorials and op-ed pieces in newspapers and magazines; analyze the craft  Share at least 2 op- eds & brief reactions via Twitter hashtag: #EDRD635  Drafting an Op-Ed (in class)	Bean, 2013 Ch 11 Maxwell, 2005 Bring possible grant announcements to class for discussion.
#15 Friday June 21	Asynchronous		Op-Ed paper due on TK20 by midnight.	

#16	F2F	Grant writing: Craft	Identify literacy grant	Nutt. 2003
Monday June 24		analysis: Grant sample	for proposal	Stinson, 2007
ounc 21		How to follow an RFP	Begin drafting grant proposal	
		Grant writing: Needs assessment & Project narrative **Bring 632 Part A, 634 Yearlong/Part 1 to aid drafting in class	Any lit review revisions due on TK20	
#17 Wednesday June 26	Synchronous	Grant writing: Budget sourcing and development  Streamlining the logic chain & revising for clarity	Grant analysis wiki (in CF groups, identify and discuss needs assessment, logic chain, and writing craft elements, along with budget justification and how requested materials align with project goals).  Continue drafting grant proposal	
#18 Friday June 28	Asynchronous		Full grant proposal draft due to critical friends.	
Monday July 2	F2F	In-class grant peer coaching	jienus.	
		Final presentations  Literacy leadership- summarizing discussion  Course Evaluations		
#19 Wednesday July 3	Asynchronous		Revise and edit grant proposal	
#20 Friday July 5	Asynchronous		Final grant proposal due to TK20 by midnight.	

	All PBA assignments	
	must be posted to TK-	
	20 by midnight.	

Note: Faculty reserves the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students.

#### **Core Values Commitment**

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <a href="http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/">http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/</a>.

#### **GMU Policies and Resources for Students**

#### **Policies**

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see <a href="https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/">https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/</a>).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see <a href="http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/">http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/</a>).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see <a href="https://ds.gmu.edu/">https://ds.gmu.edu/</a>).
- Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

# Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to <a href="tk20help@gmu.edu">tk20help@gmu.edu</a> or <a href="https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20">https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20</a>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <a href="http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/">http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/</a>.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see <a href="https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus">https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus</a>

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <a href="https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/">https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/</a>.

# **Selected Performance Based Assessment (PBA detailed description):**

This Performance Based Assessment (PBA) is intended to instill a culture of outreach in coaching to support teachers in schools. Competent and effective reading specialists and literacy coaches should be prepared to investigate and understand the needs of the teachers they serve, as well as to advocate and secure resources and services for teachers and students in their schools. Therefore, the PBA for this course is comprised of three integrated parts:

- (1) Literature review
- (2) Op-ed piece, and
- (3) Grant proposal.

A detailed description of each of these three assignments is provided below with a rubric for evaluating each part. **Please follow all APA guidelines to complete this assignment**. The PBA is not considered to be complete unless all three parts are submitted.

#### Part I: Literature Review

Literacy specialists need to be knowledgeable of the current research in literacy. Choose a topic in the field of literacy that you think that needs to be developed in your school. Review the current (past 10-15 years), relevant (focused on your topic), peer-reviewed research on the topic. Also, review any seminal work and theoretical bases that would ground your topic in the research literature. Write a well-organized synthesis and critique of the research on the topic that captures what has been done and what needs to be done. Your review should conclude with a clear and insightful analysis of how your topic contributes to literacy success as well as how this knowledge can be used to address the needs of all learners.

You must use peer-reviewed (scholarly) research articles. Do not use articles in state/local journals or practitioner-focused journals (e.g., *Reading in Virginia, The Reading Teacher, Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy, Young Children*). Best to search the GMU databases. Possible journals include

- Early Childhood Research Quarterly
- Journal of Early Childhood Literacy
- Journal of Literacy Research
- Language Arts
- Literacy Research & Instruction
- Reading Research Quarterly
- Reading and Writing Quarterly
- Yearbooks of the Literacy Research Association/National Reading Conference
- Yearbooks of the Association of Literacy Educators & Researchers/College Reading Association

**Literature Review Rubric & Scoring Guide** 

IRA Standard/ Element	Exceeds Expectations (4)	Meets Expectations (3)	Approaching Expectations (2)	Below Expectations (1)
1.1c Demonstrate a critical stance toward the scholarship of the profession.	Provides exemplary evidence of a critical stance toward the scholarship of the profession.	Provides satisfactory evidence of a critical stance toward the scholarship of the profession.	Provides partial evidence of a critical stance toward the scholarship of the profession.	Provides little or no evidence of a critical stance toward the scholarship of the profession.
	The review offers an effective synthesis <b>and</b> critique of the	The review provides only a synthesis of	The review provides a summary of the	Research is not clearly summarized.

	body of literature on the topic.	research on the topic.	research on the topic.	
1.1d Read and understand the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success (e.g., social, cognitive, and physical).	Provides exemplary evidence of reading and understanding the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success.	Provides satisfactory evidence of reading and understanding the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success.	Provides partial evidence of reading and understanding the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success.	Provides little or no evidence of reading and understanding the literature and research about factors that contribute to reading success.
	The review draws original and insightful conclusions about the factors that contribute to literacy success.	The review concludes with a synthesis of factors that contribute to literacy success.	The review includes a summary of factors that contribute to literacy success.	The review does not address how factors contribute to literacy success.
1.2a Interpret and summarize historically shared knowledge (e.g., instructional strategies and theories) that addresses the needs of all readers.	Provides exemplary evidence of interpreting and summarizing historically shared knowledge that addresses the needs of all readers.	Provides satisfactory evidence of interpreting and summarizing historically shared knowledge that addresses the needs of all readers.	Provides partial evidence of interpreting and summarizing historically shared knowledge that addresses the needs of all readers.	Provides little or no evidence of interpreting and summarizing historically shared knowledge that addresses the needs of all readers.
	The review draws original and insightful conclusions about knowledge from the field that can be used to address the needs of all learners.	The review provides a synthesis of knowledge from the field that can be used to address the needs of all learners.	The review provides a summary of knowledge from the field that can be used to address the needs of all learners.	The review does not provide a view that addresses the needs of all learners.

# Part II: Op-Ed Piece

Literacy specialists are well-educated professionals. Accordingly, they are in a position to advocate for the education profession. For this assignment, you are to write an opinion piece or a letter to policy makers based on the information that was developed in the literature review (Part I). The piece should include ideas for organizational change, professional development, or other recommendations to those who are in a position to effect change.

The opinion piece should be about 500 - 750 words and should include the following characteristics: (a) is tightly focused on one issue; (b) the topic is presented clearly in the first paragraph; (c) the information is credible and well researched – based on the literature reviewed; (d) the issue is timely, can be controversial, but is not offensive; (e) the piece has a

clear point of view; (f) the piece makes reference to other points of view, where applicable; (g) the piece concludes with a 'next steps' or a 'call to action' that suggest what can be done.

The letter should be sent to the District Office, the School Board, or a local newspaper or journal. Evidence that the piece has been submitted is required for successful completion of Part II.

**Op-Ed Rubric & Scoring Guide** 

Op-Ed Rubric & S	Exceeds	Meets	Approaching	Below
IRA Standard/	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations
Element	(4)	(3)	• . <u> </u>	(1)
1.2b Inform educators and others about the historically shared knowledge base in reading and writing and its role in reading education.	Provides exemplary evidence of informing educators and others about the historically shared knowledge base in reading and writing and its role in reading education.	Provides satisfactory evidence of informing educators and others about the historically shared knowledge base in reading and writing and its role in reading education.	Provides partial evidence of informing educators and others about the historically shared knowledge base in reading and writing and its role in reading education.	Provides little or no evidence of informing educators and others about the historically shared knowledge base in reading and writing and its role in reading education.
	Provides accurate and credible (indicates source of facts) information based on research and professional knowledge.	Provides accurate information on the topic based on research and professional knowledge but does not indicate source of facts.	Provides information on the topic based on professional knowledge and opinion.	Does not provide information on the topic or bases information on opinion only.
1.3b Communicate the importance of fair-mindedness, empathy, and ethical behavior in literacy instruction and professional behavior.	Provides exemplary evidence of communicating the importance of fair-mindedness, empathy, and ethical behavior in literacy instruction and professional behavior.	Provides satisfactory evidence of communicating the importance of fair-mindedness, empathy, and ethical behavior in literacy instruction and professional behavior.	Provides partial evidence of communicating the importance of fair-mindedness, empathy, and ethical behavior in literacy instruction and professional behavior.	Provides little or no evidence of communicating the importance of fair-mindedness, empathy, and ethical behavior in literacy instruction and professional behavior.
	The piece provides a strong yet positive message and strongly models fair mindedness and ethical principles.	The piece provides positive message and models fair mindedness and ethical principles.	The piece provides a generally positive message but does not model fair mindedness and ethical principles.	The piece does not provide a positive message <b>nor</b> does it model ethical principles.

6.4a Demonstrate an understanding of local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.	Provides exemplary evidence of understanding local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.	Provides satisfactory evidence of understanding local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.	Provides partial evidence of understanding local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.	Provides little or no evidence of understanding local, state, and national policies that affect reading and writing instruction.
	Provides an accurate and well-connected tie between the topic relevant policies that affect literacy instruction.	Provides accurate information about relevant policies that affect literacy instruction.	Provides information about relevant policies, but the information is not completely accurate.	Does not address policy issues.
6.4c Promote effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.	Provides exemplary evidence of promoting effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.	Provides satisfactory evidence of promoting effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.	Provides partial evidence of promoting effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.	Provides little or no evidence of promoting effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders, including parents and guardians, teachers, administrators, policymakers, and community members.
	The piece provides well-reasoned next steps or call to action that is within the purview of readers to do.	The piece provides next steps or call to action that is well-reasoned but not within the purview of most readers.	The piece provides next steps or call to action that is not well reasoned.	The piece does not provide next steps or call to action.
6.4d Advocate with various groups (e.g., administrators, school boards, and local, state, and federal policymaking bodies) for needed organizational and instructional changes to	Provides exemplary evidence of advocating with various groups for needed organizational and instructional changes to promote effective literacy instruction.	Provides satisfactory evidence of advocating with various groups for needed organizational and instructional changes to promote effective literacy instruction.	Provides partial evidence of advocating with various groups for needed organizational and instructional changes to promote effective literacy instruction.	Provides little or no evidence of advocating with various groups for needed organizational and instructional changes to promote effective literacy instruction.

promote effective				
promote effective literacy instruction.	The piece provides clear and purposeful direction for members of various groups regarding changes	The piece provides clear direction for members of various groups regarding changes that would	The piece indicates that various groups should promote effective literacy instruction but does not provide	The piece does not address changes that could be implemented by various groups to promote effective literacy
	that would promote effective literacy instruction.	promote effective literacy instruction.	direction.	instruction.

Evidence that letter was submitted?

Yes/No

# Part III. Grant Proposal

Literacy specialists are in a position to procure funding to create, support, or develop literacy programs or instruction within the school. Based on the topic of the literature review conducted in Part I and the opinion piece in Part II, locate a small grant opportunity that addresses your school's needs and interests. You will write the proposal based upon the instructions for that particular grant and submit the grant within the grantor's deadline for submission. Your proposal should follow all of the guidelines suggested by the funding agency and include all of the necessary permissions and attachments required to submit the grant application. Therefore, you must submit the grant proposal guidelines with your proposal. Evidence that the piece has been submitted is required for successful completion of Part III.

**Grant Proposal Rubric & Scoring Guide** 

IRA Standard/ Element	Exceeds	Meets	Approaching	Below
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
1.1a Interpret	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
major theories of	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
reading and	evidence of	evidence of	interpreting major	interpreting major
writing processes	interpreting major	interpreting major	theories of	theories of
and development	theories of	theories of	reading and	reading and
to understand the	reading and	reading and	writing processes	writing processes
needs of all	writing processes	writing processes	and development	and development
readers in diverse	and development	and development	to understand the	to understand the
contexts.	to understand the	to understand the	needs of all	needs of all
	needs of all	needs of all	readers in diverse	readers in diverse
	readers in diverse	readers in diverse	contexts.	contexts.
	contexts.	contexts.		
	The proposal	The proposal	The proposal	The proposal does
	presents a well-	presents a well-	presents a	not present a
	researched and	defined theoretical	theoretical base,	theoretical base
	well-defined and	base but it is not	but it is not well	for the work to be
	well-connected	well connected to	defined.	funded.
	theoretical base to	the work to be		
	support the work	funded.		
	to be funded.			
<b>2.1a</b> Demonstrate	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
an understanding	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
of the research	evidence of	evidence of	understanding the	understanding the
and literature that	understanding the	understanding the	research and	research and

undergirds the	research and	research and	literature that	literature that
reading and	literature that	literature that	undergirds the	undergirds the
writing	undergirds the	undergirds the	reading and	reading and
curriculum for all	reading and	reading and	writing	writing
students.	writing	writing	curriculum for all	curriculum for all
	curriculum for all	curriculum for all	students.	students.
	students.	students.		
	The proposal	The proposal	The proposal	The proposal
	demonstrates	demonstrates	demonstrates	demonstrates a
	complete	understanding of	some	limited
	understanding of	the research and	understanding of	understanding of
	the research and	literature that	the research and	the research and
	literature that	undergirds the	literature that	literature that
	undergirds the	reading and	undergirds the	undergirds the
	reading and	writing curriculum	reading and	reading and
	writing curriculum	for all students.	writing curriculum	writing curriculum
	for all students.		for all students.	for all students.
<b>6.2d</b> Demonstrate	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
effective	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of	no evidence of
interpersonal,	evidence of	evidence of	effective	effective
communication,	effective	effective	interpersonal,	interpersonal,
and leadership skills.	interpersonal,	interpersonal,	communication,	communication,
SKIIIS.	communication, and leadership	communication, and leadership	and leadership skills.	and leadership skills.
	skills.	skills.	SKIIIS.	Skills.
	Skills.	SKIIIS.		
	The proposal	The proposal	The proposal	The proposal
	demonstrates a	demonstrates	demonstrates	demonstrates a
	complete	understanding of	some	weak
	understanding of audience and	audience and	understanding of audience and	understanding of audience and
	professionalism in	professionalism in communication.	professionalism in	professionalism in
	communication.	communication.	communication.	communication.
<b>6.4b</b> Write or	Provides	Provides	Provides partial	Provides little or
assist in writing	exemplary	satisfactory	evidence of ability	no evidence of
proposals that	evidence of ability	evidence of ability	to write or assist	ability to write or
enable schools to	to write or assist	to write or assist	in writing	assist in writing
obtain additional	in writing	in writing	proposals that	proposals that
funding to support	proposals that	proposals that	enable schools to	enable schools to
literacy efforts.	enable schools to	enable schools to	obtain additional	obtain additional
	obtain additional	obtain additional	funding to support	funding to support
	funding to support	funding to support	literacy efforts.	literacy efforts.
	literacy efforts.	literacy efforts.		
	The plan for using	The plan for using	The plan for using	The plan for using
	the funds is well	the funds is well	the funds is well	the funds is not
	organized and	organized and	organized and	clearly organized.
	presents a clear	presents a clear	presents a clear	
	picture of how the	picture of how the	picture of how the	
	funds will support	funds will support	funds will support	
	literacy efforts in	literacy efforts in	literacy efforts in	
	the school as well	the school.	the school.	
	as who will be	EITHER	NEITHER	

involved in	involved	involved	
executing the plan	personnel <b>OR</b>	personnel NOR	
and how the plan	evaluation of the	evaluation of the	
will be evaluated.	plan are discussed	plan are discussed	
	in detail, but not	in detail, although	
	both.	they each may be	
		briefly mentioned.	

Writing Rubric (to be used across all papers)

*** Treing Trabile (to	be used across an p		1	1
	Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Developing (2)	Not met (1)
Writer uses a variety of resources to support ideas.	Writer uses multiple, relevant resources that very strongly support ideas and insights; inclusion of references evenly blends into writing.	Writer uses many resources, mostly relevant; supports ideas and insights; inclusion of references blends into writing.	Writer uses some resources; somewhat relevant; loosely supports ideas and insights may be choppy	Writer uses few resources, may not be relevant; weakly supports ideas and insights; may be disjointed from text
Readability	Error free: accurate spelling, grammar, language usage; variety of sentence structures; broad, rich vocabulary. APA consistently followed	Minor errors (1-3) in spelling, grammar, language usage; some variety of sentence structures; appropriate vocabulary. APA mostly followed, may have minor errors.	Several errors in spelling, grammar, language usage; minimal variety of sentence structures; limited vocabulary. APA contains several errors.	Contains numerous errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation, or sentence structure. APA not followed.