GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Education Leadership Program

EDLE 690, Section Do1 Using Research to Lead School Improvement Summer 2020, 3 credit hours

Instructor: Samantha Viano, PhD

Office Location: Thompson Hall, Room 1307

Phone:703-679-7672E-mail:sviano@gmu.eduGoogle Hangouts:samantha.L.viano

Mailing address: George Mason University

4400 University Drive, MSN 4C2

Fairfax, VA 22030-4444

Office Hours: Online by appointment using Google Hangouts or

Blackboard Collaborate

In person by appointment only (Thompson Hall – Room 1307)

Course Term: June 1 – August 19, 2020

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION

Prerequisite: EDLE 620

University Catalog Course Description

Using Research to Lead School Improvement (3:3:0) Develops skills, insights, and understanding of how leaders use research to improve schools, with emphasis on the use of assessment and research data to identify school improvement needs and to design school improvement projects.

II. COURSE DELIVERY METHOD

This course will be delivered 100% fully online using an asynchronous (not "real time") format via the Blackboard learning management system (LMS) housed in the MyMason portal. You will log in to the Blackboard course site using your Mason email name (everything before

"@masonlive.gmu.edu") and email password. The course site will be available on January 21, 2020.

Under no circumstances, may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

III. COURSE MATERIALS

Required Text

Bauer, S.C. & Brazer, S.D. (2012). *Using research to lead school improvement: Turning evidence into action.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Other Assigned and Optional Sources

Assigned and optional source material will be available on their links listed on Blackboard. Assigned sources will also be listed on the tentative schedule below.

IV. COURSE CONTENT

Students in this course will learn how to gather and analyze student achievement and demographic data available from their school, school district, and the state; search online databases for recent publications relevant to a specific topic and prepare a brief summary of applied research on a topic relevant to the improvement of instruction at their school site. Students will understand how to use education research to develop a position based on more than one's opinion; understand basic statistics (e.g., measures of central tendency & dispersion; basic inferential statistics) and their application in educational research; and be able to evaluate basic research designs, and apply a research design to the study of a problem related to instruction and/or improvement at their school site. Students will prepare and defend a proposal for a School Improvement Project (SIP) that becomes the blueprint for the capstone project required in the EDLE program internship.

Teaching and Learning

Each class will include a variety of activities and exercises. Specific process goals for this class are as follows:

- 1. Classes will reflect a balance of activities that encourage the exploration of and the use of research in instructional leadership. To promote an atmosphere that allows us to accomplish this, we will:
 - a. agree to disagree respectfully during class discussions;
 - b. give others a charitable read by assuming good intentions;
 - c. strive to be open to new ideas and perspectives; and
 - d. listen actively to one another.

- 2. Candidate work will reflect what is expected from leaders. Hence, it is expected that candidates will:
 - a. write papers that are well-researched, proofread, submitted in a timely fashion, and conform to APA guidelines;
 - b. participate actively in class discussions in a manner that challenges the best thinking of the class; and
 - c. provide constructive feedback to others both on their ideas and on their written work, striving to learn from each other and to test each other's ideas.
- 3. We will endeavor to create an online environment that approximate what we know about learning organizations. Consequently, it is important that we create a space that allows participants to try out new ideas and voice opinions without fear or ridicule or embarrassment. The hallmark of a learning organization is a balance between openness and constructive feedback; hence, everyone is expected to:
 - a. demonstrate appropriate respect for one another;
 - b. voice concerns and opinions about the class process openly;
 - c. engage in **genuine inquiry**;
 - d. recognize and celebrate each other's ideas and accomplishments;
 - e. show an awareness of each other's needs; and
 - f. **maintain strict confidentiality** regarding any information shared.

V. COURSE OBJECTIVES

Students completing the course successfully will be able to:

- ✓ understand and apply planning, assessment, and instructional leadership that builds collective professional capacity;
- ✓ understand and apply systems and organization theory;
- ✓ understand and apply management and leadership skills that achieve effective and efficient organizational operations;
- ✓ understand and apply research knowledge to a significant instructional problem.

Student Outcomes

Successful students will emerge from the course with the ability to:

- ✓ gather and analyze student achievement and demographic data available from their school, school district, and the state;
- ✓ search online databases for recent publications relevant to a specific topic, and prepare a brief summary of applied research on a topic relevant to the improvement of instruction at their school site;
- ✓ use education research to develop a position based on more than one's opinion;
- ✓ understand and be able to evaluate basic research designs;
- ✓ prepare and defend a proposal for a School Improvement Project (SIP) that becomes the blueprint for the capstone project required in the EDLE program internship.

VI. RELATIONSHIP OF COURSE TO INTERNSHIP (EDLE 791)

Although the internship is a separate course, the Education Leadership program has integrated internship-related activities into course work. During this course, students will prepare and present a proposal for a school improvement project that they will implement and evaluate as a part of their internship activities over the remainder of the program.

VII. NATIONAL STANDARDS AND VIRGINIA COMPETENCIES

National Standards

The following Education Leadership Constituent Council (ELLC) standard elements are addressed in this course:

<u>ELCC Standard 1.0.</u> Candidates who complete the program are educational leaders who have the knowledge and ability to promote the success of all students by facilitating the development, articulation, implementation, and stewardship of a school or district vision of learning supported by the school community.

- 1.2 Articulate a Vision
- 1.3 Implement a Vision
- 1.4 Steward a Vision

<u>ELCC Standard 2.0.</u> Candidates who complete the program are educational leaders who have the knowledge and ability to promote the success of all students by promoting a positive school culture, providing an effective instructional program, applying best practice to student learning, and designing comprehensive professional growth plans for staff.

2.3 Apply Best Practice to Student Learning

<u>ELCC Standard 3.o.</u> Candidates who complete the program are educational leaders who have the knowledge and ability to promote the success of all students by managing the organization, operations, and resources in a way that promotes a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment.

- 3.1 Manage the Organization
- 3.2 Manage Operations
- 3.3 Manage Resources

<u>ELCC Standard 4.o.</u> Candidates who complete the program are educational leaders who have the knowledge and ability to promote the success of all students by collaborating with families and other community members, responding to diverse community interests and needs, and mobilizing community resources.

4.2 Respond to Community Interests and Needs

<u>ELCC Standard 6.o.</u> Candidates who complete the program are educational leaders who have the knowledge and ability to promote the success of all students by understanding, responding to, and influencing the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context.

- 6.2. Act to influence local, district, state, and national decisions
- 6.3. Anticipate and assess emerging trends and initiatives

Virginia Competencies

This course addresses the following Virginia Department of Education (VDOE) Competencies:

- a. Knowledge understanding, and application of planning, assessment, and instructional leadership that builds collective professional capacity, including:
 - (2) Collaborative leadership in gathering and analyzing data to identify needs to develop and implement a school improvement plan that results in increased student learning;
 - (7) Identification, analysis, and resolution of problems using effective problem-solving techniques; and
 - (8) Communication of a clear vision of excellence, linked to mission and core beliefs that promotes continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.
- b. Knowledge, understanding and application of systems and organizations, including:
 - (1) Systems theory and the change process of systems, organizations and individuals, using appropriate and effective adult learning models;
 - (2) Aligning organizational practice, division mission, and core beliefs for developing and implementing strategic plans;
 - (3) Information sources and processing, including data collection and data analysis strategies;
 - (4) Using data as a part of ongoing program evaluation to inform and lead change;
 - (5) Developing a change management strategy for improved student outcomes; and
 - (6) Developing empowerment strategies to create personalized learning environments for diverse schools.
- c. Knowledge understanding and application of management and leadership skills that achieve effective and efficient organizational operations, including:
 - (8) Application of data-driven decision making to initiate and continue improvement in school and classroom practices and student achievement.
- f. Knowledge understanding and application of basic leadership theories and influences that impact schools including:
 - (1) Concepts of leadership including systems theory, change theory, learning organizations and current leadership theory.

VIII. TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS

This course will be delivered on GMU's Blackboard platform, so students are required to have access to Blackboard. A Blackboard site is available to all students enrolled in the course at http://mymason.gmu.edu. You need to log on using your GMU username and password. To participate in this course, students will need the following resources:

- High-speed internet access with a standard up-to-date browser including Google Chrome (preferred), Mozilla Firefox, or Internet Explorer. Opera and Safari are not compatible with Blackboard.
- Consistent and reliable access to their GMU email and Blackboard (at least daily access on weekdays), as these are the official methods of communication for this course.
- Students may be asked to create logins and passwords on supplemental websites and/or to download trial software to their computer or tablet as part of course requirements.

- The following software plug-ins for PCs and Macs are available for free download by clicking on the link next to each plug-in:
 - o Adobe Acrobat Reader: http://get.adobe.com/reader/
 - Windows Media Player: https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/help/14209/get-windows-media-player
 - o Apple QuickTime Player: www.apple.com/quicktime/download/
- You will use **Google Docs** to complete select assignments and to participate in various learning activities throughout the semester.
- **Video/Screencasting Tools:** You will use Kaltura, Jing, Camtasia, or Screencast-O-Matic to record videos throughout the semester.
- A headset microphone for use with Blackboard Collaborate we conferencing tool or with Google Hangouts to engage with the instructor. These can also be useful when recording videos for the course.

<u>Email:</u> Per university policy and in compliance with federal law, I will only communicate with candidates via their GMU email accounts and will be unable to respond to emails sent from other accounts (i.e., Gmail, yahoo, work email, etc.).

- All candidates are required to activate and monitor their GMU e-mail accounts.
- Any announcements concerning the course will be sent to your GMU email address.
- I will respond to emails within one business day of email receipt (i.e., excluding weekends).
- <u>Video Conferencing:</u> Students are encouraged to communicate with each other and the
 instructor using Blackboard Collaborate for both group collaboration sessions and the
 instructor's virtual office hours. We may also use Google Hangouts as a video
 communication platform when needed.

<u>Microsoft Office</u>: It is my expectation that all students have access to Microsoft Office. We will be using Word and Excel for this course. If you do not have access to this software, you are required to obtain it within the first two weeks of the course. It is best, but not required, to have the most recent version of the software.

<u>Google Account:</u> We will be using Google Drive to organize some of our collaborative work this semester. All students are required to have an active gmail account in order to participate in these activities. This gmail account should be distinct from your school district email address, even if you have access to google through your school district account (email should end in @gmail.com).

IX. COURSE REQUIREMENTS, PERFORMANCE-BASED ASSESSMENT, AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

General Expectations

Consistent with the expectations of a Master's-level course in the Education Leadership program, grading is based heavily on student performance on written assignments. The assignments constructed for this course reflect a mix of skills associated with the application of

research to education leadership contexts. Overall, written work will be assessed using the following broad criteria:

- Application of concepts embedded in assigned readings and other materials and reinforced in class activities
- The quality of analysis, synthesis, and application
- The ability to write in a clear, concise, and organized fashion

Additionally, due to the nature of this online course, a significant portion of the class grade will be based on participation and the contribution you make to class discussions.

Specific Performances and Weights

The overall weights of the various performances are as follows:

Class participation 125 points

Candidates are expected to participate actively in class discussions, small group activities, and in serving as critical friends to other colleagues. The participation rubric is available on the course site. Participation points will be assessed as part of each unit and the activities are as follows:

Orientation (5 points)

Syllabus Quiz

Unit 1: Research and Leadership (15 points)

• Group discussions, activities, quizzes, and peer review

Unit 2: Problem Articulation – Using Evidence to Find Improvement Needs (35 points)

• Group discussions, activities, guizzes, and peer review

Unit 3: Building a Deep Understanding of the Problem (50 points)

• Group discussions, activities, quizzes, and peer review

Unit 4: Completing the Journey – Writing Your SIP (20 points)

• Group discussions, activities, quizzes, and peer review

Written Assignments - 375 points

Several different types of performance-based assignments will be completed during the semester. Each assignment relates to the application of educational research in your school setting. A description of each assignment and a rubric for grading each assignment are included at the end of this syllabus.

The assignments are designed sequentially to help you define and plan the school improvement project *you will be conducting as your capstone project for the internship*. Thus, in the first assignment, you examine school performance data and define a research topic. In the second and third, you review the available research literature on that topic, and begin to define the specific improvement project you will implement. In the fourth assignment, you will work collectively with your classmates to define a working theory of improvement and common goals. For the final assignment, you write your School Improvement Project Proposal—the improvement project that will be implemented during your internship. The School

Improvement Project Proposal is the program-level *Performance-Based Assessment* (PBA) for this course.

<u>Submitting papers:</u> All papers must be submitted **on time, electronically via Blackboard.**

<u>Late work:</u> Students' work is expected to be on time, meaning no later than midnight of the due date. Late assignments will not be accepted except in an emergency situation that have been discussed and approved by the instructor in advance of the due date. Please take advantage of instructor availability to get assistance prior to assignment deadlines.

<u>Grade Appeals:</u> Grade appeals will only be granted when the number of points awarded for the assignment is less than 75 percent of the possible points available. Grade appeals will only be allowed for the first three written assignments. Students must resubmit the assignment within two weeks of receiving their original grade in order to receive a new grade. Students may receive half of the points lost on their original grade on the re-submission.

Grading Scale:

A + = 500 points

A = 475 - 499 points

A = 450 - 474 points

B + = 435 - 449 points

B = 415 - 434 points

B - = 400 - 414 points

C = 375 - 399 points

F = Below 375 points

TK20 Performance-Based Assessment Submission Requirement:

Every student registered for an EDLE course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit these assessments to TK20 through Blackboard. EDLE 690's required performance is the **School Improvement Project Proposal**. Evaluation of the performance-based assessments by the course instructor will also be completed in TK20 through Blackboard. Failure to submit the assessment to Blackboard will result in the course instructor reporting the grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the Blackboard submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

X. ONLINE EXPECTATIONS

<u>Course Week:</u> Because online courses do not have a "fixed" meeting day, our week will generally **start** on Tuesday and **finish** on Monday, with exceptions communicated to students via email.

<u>Log in Frequency:</u> Students must actively check the course Blackboard site and their GMU email for communication from the instructor, **at a minimum this should be three times per week**.

<u>Participation:</u> Students are expected to actively engage in all course activities throughout the semester, which includes viewing of all course materials, completing course activities and assignments, and participating in course discussions and group interactions.

<u>Technical Competence:</u> Students are expected to demonstrate competence in the use of all course technology. Students are expected to seek assistance from the instructor or GMU IT if they are struggling with the technical components of the course.

<u>Technical Issues:</u> Students should expect to experience some technical difficulties at some point in the semester and should, therefore, budget their time accordingly. Late work will not be accepted based on individual technical issues.

<u>Workload:</u> Expect to log in to this course **at least three times a week** to read announcements, participate in discussions, and work on course material. Remember, this course **is not self-paced.** There are **specific deadlines** and **due dates** listed in the **CLASS SCHEDULE** section of the syllabus and the weekly to do lists on Blackboard to which you are expected to adhere. It is the student's responsibility to keep track of the weekly course schedule of topics, readings, activities, and assignments due. **Plan to spend about <u>ten hours a week</u> to complete all course activities.**

<u>Advising:</u> If you would like to schedule a one-on-one meeting to discuss course requirements, content, or other course-related issues, and you are unable to come to the Mason campus, we can meet via telephone or web conference. We can meet during the online office hours using Blackboard Collaborate Ultra. Send an email to schedule a one-to-one session if you are unable to meet during office hours, and including your preferred meeting method and suggested dates/times.

<u>Netiquette:</u> Our goal is to **collaborative**, not combative. Experience shows that even an innocent remark in the online environment can be misconstrued. A following is a list of suggestions for interacting with others online:

- Re-read your responses carefully before you post.
- Be positive in your approach to others and be diplomatic with your words.
- Remember, you are not competing with each other. You are sharing information and learning from one another as well as the instructor.
- Use descriptive subject lines. Give readers a clue about what's inside.
- Do not use all caps.
- Avoid slang, abbreviations, and acronyms.
- Avoid sarcasm, joking, or other communication styles reliant on visual cues.
- Avoid multiple exclamation points.
- Assume good intentions. Err on the god side of all contributors.
- If you are referring to something that was said or read on the online forum, "cite" the original source to give credit to who originally shared the idea.

XI. GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see http://ods.gmu.edu/).
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to TK20 should be directed to tk20help@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/.
- Notice of mandatory reporting of sexual assault, interpersonal violence, and stalking: As a faculty member, I am designated as a "Responsible Employee," and must report all disclosures of sexual assault, interpersonal violence, and stalking to Mason's Title IX Coordinator per University Policy 1202. If you wish to speak with someone confidentially, please contact one of Mason's confidential resources, such as Student Support and Advocacy Center (SSAC) at 703-380-1434 or Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) at 703-993-2380. You may also seek assistance from Mason's Title IX Coordinator by calling 703-993-8730, or emailing titleix@gmu.edu.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/.

Plagiarism:

• <u>Plagiarism Statement:</u> Plagiarism means using the exact words, opinions, or factual information from another person without giving that person credit. Writers give credit through accepted documentation styles, such as parenthetical citation, footnotes, or endnotes; a simple listing of books and articles is not sufficient. Plagiarism is

the equivalent of intellectual robbery and cannot be tolerated in an academic setting. Student writers are often confused as to what should be cited. Some think that only direct quotations need to be credited. While direct quotations do need citations, so do paraphrases and summaries of opinions or factual information formerly unknown to the writers or which the writers did not discover themselves. Exceptions for this include factual information which can be obtained from a variety of sources, the writers' own insights or findings from their own field research, and what has been termed common knowledge. What constitutes common knowledge can sometimes be precarious; what is common knowledge for one audience may not be so for another. In such situations, it is helpful, to keep the reader in mind and to think of citations as being "reader friendly." In other words, writers provide a citation for any piece of information that they think their readers might want to investigate further. Not only is this attitude considerate of readers, it will almost certainly ensure that writers will never be guilty of plagiarism. (Statement of English Department at George Mason University)

- <u>Plagiarism and the Honor Code:</u> George Mason University operates under an honor system, which is published in the University Catalog and deals specifically with cheating, attempted cheating, plagiarism, lying, and stealing. Please familiarize yourself with the honor code, especially the statement on plagiarism
 (http://www.gmu.edu/facstaff/handbook/aD.html). If you have questions about when the contributions of others to your work must be acknowledged and appropriate ways to cite those contributions, please talk with the professor or utilize the GMU writing center.
- <u>Plagiarism and the Internet:</u> Copyright rules also apply to users of the Internet who cite from Internet sources. Information and graphics accessed electronically must also be cited, giving credit to the sources. This material includes but is not limited to e-mail (don't cite or forward someone else's e-mail without permission), newsgroup material, information from Web sites, including graphics.
- If you have questions about when the contributions of others to your work must be acknowledged and appropriate ways to cite those contributions, please talk with the professor utilize the GMU Writing Center.

<u>Academic Integrity & Inclusivity:</u> This course embodies the perspective that we all have differing perspectives and ideas and we each deserve the opportunity to share our thoughts. Therefore, we will conduct our discussions with respect for those differences. That means, we each have the freedom to express our ideas, but we should also do so keeping in mind that our colleagues deserve to hear differing thoughts in a respectful manner, i.e. we may disagree without being disagreeable. http://integrity.gmu.edu/

<u>Diversity</u>, <u>Religious Holiday</u>: Please refer to George Mason University's calendar of religious holidays and observations (http://ulife.gmu.edu/calendar/religious-holiday-calendar/). It is the student's responsibility to speak to the instructor in advance should their religious observances impact their participation in class activities and assignments.

<u>Student Privacy Policy:</u> George Mason University strives to fully comply with FERPA by protecting the privacy of student records and judiciously evaluating requests for release of

information from those records. Please see George Mason University's student privacy policy https://registrar.gmu.edu/students/privacy/.

<u>Professional Dispositions:</u> Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times. See http://cehd.gmu.edu/students/policies-procedures/.

<u>Core Values Commitment:</u> College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/.

Other Concerns: If you have concerns or issues relating to the content or conduct of the class, please talk with me directly. Although the specifics of these conversations are entirely confidential, they may provide me with useful suggestions that may be shared indirectly with the class to improve the learning experience for all students. As a matter of policy, I do not respond to anonymous e-mails.

Tentative Class Schedule EDLE 690.D01 (Viano) Summer 2020

Note: Please refer to the Weekly Schedule on Blackboard for the most up-to-date version of the course schedule.

Week	Date	Lesson	Reading /Assignments
1	June 1-8	Orientation, Unit 1 Lesson 1: The Big Picture and Unit 1 Lesson 2: Organizational Learning	B&B Chapters 1 and 2
2	June 9-15	Unit 2 Lesson 1: Assessing Your Local Situation	B&B Chapter 4, Reardon chapter
3	June 16-22	Unit 2 Lesson 2: Communicating A Message with Data	B&B Chapter 5
4	June 23-29	Unit 3 Lesson 1: Root Cause Analysis	B&B Chapter 6, Improvement Target Proposal Due June 29
5	June 30-July 6 Note: we observe Independence Day on July 3-4.	Unit 3 Lesson 2: Finding Supporting Literature; Reading Research Week	B&B Chapter 7
6-8	July 7-27	Unit 3 Lesson 3: Understanding Research Design & Analysis	B&B Chapter 8, Schutt readings, Annotated Bibliography Due July 13, Research Brief Due July 27
9	July 28-August 3	Unit 4 Lesson 1: Identifying Solutions and Action Planning	B&B Chapter 9
10	August 4-10	Unit 4 Lesson 2: Implementation and Evaluation	B&B Chapter 10
11	August 11-17	SIP Writing Week Epilogue: Managing Your SIP	Rough Draft of SIP
			Final SIP Proposal due no later than August 19th

Writing Assignment 1: Improvement Target Proposal 75 points

Overview:

Data are tools – they represent a primary source of knowledge-building for school improvement. As leaders in your school, one of your primary tasks is to understand available data relating to your school's performance in meeting its goals and objectives. Additionally, you need to learn how to communicate about these data to various stakeholder groups. In this task, you are asked to assemble some of these data, and prepare a short summary suitable for presentation to a school leadership team.

Tasks:

- 1. Identify the variety of published data relating to your school's demographic characteristics (e.g., enrollment, attendance, composition of the student body, staffing); measures of student learning; and any perceptual data that might exist relating to such things as school climate. These data may be available on your school or school system's website, on related websites (e.g., state education department), or in published material.
- 2. Determine your school's primary performance objectives: What is the school expected to achieve? Dig deeper than routine accountability requirements; examine the school's current improvement plan, for instance, to identify one or more current improvement priorities.
- 3. Examine relevant assessment data for <u>at least</u> a two-year period. To do this, you will need to <u>triangulate the data</u> available to you look across various sources to answer the question: How well are we doing? As a leader in your school, you will add value to your analysis by using your craft knowledge to interpret what these data means. Limit your focus to one or more areas identified as priorities for your school (in other words, you do not need to present data on each and every curricular objective, but you should provide a reasonable synopsis of "how well we're doing.").
- 4. Identify any areas that reflect priorities for instance, areas in which students are achieving at a level below your school's goals and objectives. Be careful to identify performance indicators that clearly relate to the objective(s) you've identified. The goal here is NOT to "solve" an identified problem or identify causes of the problem, but to highlight areas that are in continued need of attention in your school's improvement plan.
- 5. Prepare a short paper intended to inform and persuade your team regarding an area that requires attention. Includes a brief overview of important school demographic characteristics (particularly characteristics of the student body); information related to the school's improvement goals; data relevant to current levels of performance; tables and figures you create; and a clear statement of the challenge area(s) you believe require attention in your improvement planning. Use the attached rubric as a guide to structure your paper.

This is an exercise in leadership communication. <u>Be selective</u> – you cannot provide an overview of all of the data that might be available. Craft your examination to focus on important areas of

concern. NOTE – the tone of the paper is persuasive: you are providing your expert judgment based on your analysis of school performance data, and in the end you are lobbying the team to adopt the focus you identified as important.

Direct the paper to your school's leadership team as the audience – the team may include new members, including one or more parents or community members. Avoid jargon, be professional, and be aware of the clarity of your presentation – if you confuse your audience or present a lot of disparate data that don't connect to your school's objectives, you've failed to add value to the discussion. Use tables or graphs sensibly – to briefly summarize the discussion and direct the reader's attention. Tables and graphs much be clearly legible and tell a compelling story.

This paper is a **maximum of 12 pages**, including all tables and figures, and should be written in a fashion that is suitable for the audience described above. Papers should be a minimum of 8 pages to meet all of the requirements.

Improvement Target Proposal Assessment Rubric:

Levels/Criteria	Exceeds Expectations (4)	Meets Expectations (3)	Approaching Expectations (2)	Falls Below Expectations
Introduction and thesis (7.5 points) Any written statement should begin with an introduction that draws the reader into the topic and includes a onesentence thesis. The thesis states what the author intends to prove or demonstrate in the body of the written work. For this paper, the thesis must name the focal area(s) for improvement.	The paper starts with an introduction that provides a clear roadmap for the reader, foreshadowing what you write about in this paper. The thesis appears as the last sentence of the introductory paragraph. The thesis statement focuses on the problem area that the paper argues needs more attention.	The paper starts with a brief introduction that alludes to the purpose of the paper and provides a general foreshadowing of what is to be included in the document. The thesis may not be entirely clear or appropriate.	The introduction provides only the barest hint about the purpose of the paper and the information to be shared. The thesis is either confusing or missing.	The paper lacks an introduction entirely, or the introduction fails to provide useful information that is linked to the intended purpose of the document.
Characteristics of the school and diversity of the school community (18.75 points) (ELCC 4.2) This section is intended to help the reader understand the nature of the school	The paper includes a thorough and concise overview of the demographic characteristics of the school, school staffing, and the school community. The school's current improvement objectives are highlighted, and data	The paper includes a general overview of the demographic characteristics of the school, school staffing, and school community; the school's current improvement objectives, and measures of school climate. Some	The paper includes a limited review of demographic and staffing data; the school's current improvement objectives, and measures of school climate. Important data are omitted or inaccurately presented.	The presentation of demographic data is missing or wholly inadequate.

	T -	Т.	T	1
so that the priority	related to	important		
area will make sense.	characteristics of the	demographic data are		
	school climate are	not evident and/or		
	described.	this section is not		
		concisely written with		
		extraneous		
		information or		
		description.		
Use of data to	The paper includes a	The paper includes a	The paper includes a	The assessment of
analyze school	clear and concise	summary of the	summary of the	school performance is
performance	summary of the	school's performance	school's current	missing or wholly
related to the	school's performance	over a two-year	performance in	inadequate.
school's vision and	based on an		-	madequate.
	assessment of	period, using general measures of	general terms.	
objectives			Specific indicators or	
(18.75 points)	important educational	important educational	educational outcomes	
(ELCC 1.2)	outcomes (e.g.,	outcomes. Writing	are unclear or	
mi	academic	may not be clear and	missing.	
This section explains	achievement)	concise. Data		
where the school has	reflecting the school's	triangulation might be		
been in terms of	vision and objectives,	missing or		
student achievement.	over at least a two-	inadequate. Might be		
	year period. Data	unclear which area is		
	sources are compared	being highlighted for		
	and triangulated. A	needing more		
	clear, compelling	attention.		
	argument is made			
	using data on an area			
	that deserves more			
	attention.			
Conclusion:	The paper concludes	The paper concludes	The paper concludes	The conclusion is
Identification of	with a discussion of	with a discussion of	with a discussion of	missing or wholly
improvement area	one or more problem	one or more problem	one or more problem	inadequate.
(15 points)	areas that deserves	areas that deserves	areas that deserves	madequate.
(ELCC 1.3)	more attention. The	more attention. The	more attention. The	
(ELCC 1.5)	identified	identified	identified	
This is the most				
	achievement gap(s)	achievement gap(s)	achievement gap(s)	
important point of	are well supported by	are generally	are not clearly	
the paper in which	the analysis of school	supported by the	supported by the	
you explain exactly	data, and are clearly	analysis of school	analysis of school	
where the school	connected to the	data, and are at least	data.	
ought to be focused	school's vision,	loosely connected to		
in its effort to	improvement	the school's vision		
improve student	objectives, and the	and improvement		
achievement.	emerging needs of the	objectives.		
	school community.			
	Focus is on			
	identifying the			
	problem with no			
	discussion of			
	solutions or root			
	causes.			
Use of tables and	Tables and/or figures	Tables and/or figures	Tables and/or figures	Tables and/or figures
figures to	are powerfully used	are used sparingly,	are used somewhat	are not evident.
summarize data	to present	but effectively, to	effectively, but in	
(7.5 points)	demographic and/or	present demographic	some instances they	
(перошен)	school performance	and/or school	are distracting,	
i	school periorilance	and/or school	are distracting,	i

Tables and/or figures should appear as support to the text. Data should be organized for ease of understanding.	data. They are legible, clearly created by the author, and compelling.	performance data. The tables and figures are legible but are copied from other sources.	mislabeled, illegible, or otherwise confusing.	
Mechanics (7.5 points) Your written work should always represent you as accurate and precise.	The paper is nearly error-free which reflects clear understanding and thorough proofreading.	There are occasional grammatical errors and questionable word choice.	Errors in grammar and punctuation are present, but spelling has been proofread.	There are frequent errors in spelling, grammar, and punctuation.

Writing Assignment 2: Annotated Bibliography 50 Points

Overview:

As emerging leaders in your schools, you need to develop the skills associated with accessing the knowledge base on questions that are important to the understanding and improvement of teaching and learning. An <u>annotated bibliography</u> provides you with the opportunity to learn how to sift through existing research on a question that interests you and to begin to organize the knowledge that you are gaining by reading this literature.

Tasks:

- 1. Use the problem, challenge, or gap you identified in the previous writing assignment. With this focus, articulate a research or guiding question. For example, "Why do second language learners experience disproportionately low achievement in mathematics?" That might be a bit broad, so your research problem or question is likely to narrow as you read relevant literature.
- 2. Find a number of <u>research articles</u> (theoretical works, empirical studies, and syntheses) that speak to the question you selected. This is an iterative process; as you examine the literature, you will narrow your search by stating (and restating) the research question that defines what you want to know and why. You might identify several articles that are review pieces or syntheses of the literature themselves, but you should also concentrate on identifying primary research (i.e., papers that present an analysis using quantitative or qualitative methods to contribute to the knowledge base on the question). Most of your research can be accomplished on the Internet, with support from your school library, the public library, and/or GMU libraries.
- 3. Prepare an ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY using <u>at least five (5)</u> of the most important papers you found. An annotated bibliography is a list of articles (or books) that includes a <u>brief description of the work</u> and <u>an evaluation of its usefulness</u>. The purpose of an annotated bibliography is to provide information about the relevance, utility, and quality of the source <u>for your purposes</u>.
- 4. Your annotated bibliography should include a statement of the topic and research question you are investigating; five or more annotated entries using the format presented in class, and <u>a complete reference list</u> showing all of the papers you consulted (at least 10). References must be in APA format.

Annotated Bibliography Assessment Rubric:

Levels/Criteria	Exceeds	Meets Expectations	Approaching	Falls Below
	Expectations	(3)	Expectations	Expectations
	(4)		(2)	(1)
Research	The paper begins with	The paper begins with	The statement of the	The statement of
Questions	two or three research	two or three research	research question or	research question or
(5 points)	questions that guide	questions that guide	problem is evident, but	problem is missing or
(ELCC 1.2)	the literature search.	the literature search.	is vaguely worded or	wholly inadequate.
	The questions are	The questions are	poorly spelled out. It is	
	based on the problem		difficult to discern a	

Two or three	identified using	disconnected from a	clear focus for the	
research questions		specific problem.	research.	
guiding the	demographic data, and	specific problem.	research.	
literature search.	analysis of school and			
merature scarcii.				
Dibliographia	community needs. Annotated entries	Annotated entries	Annotated entries	Annotated entries are
Bibliographic entries – content	provide a clear and	provide a summary of		
(20 points)	concise summary of	each research source.	provide a general overview of research	severely lacking in detail, rendering them
(ELCC 2.2)	each research source.	Each entry includes a	sources, but lack detail	of little use.
(ELCC 2.2)		brief overview of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of fittle use.
Antiples mood and	Each entry includes an overview of the	research and an	or are missing	
Articles read and reviewed should		assessment of its	significant elements needed to make the	
	research (including		entries useful.	
contain original	research question,	utility, but may be	entries userui.	
research or useful reviews of	method and findings);	lacking in specificity or include too much		
	and an assessment of			
research.	its generalizability,	detail (i.e., entries over		
	utility, and quality. All entries are under one	one page).		
Dibliographia	page each.	Most ontrios1-t-	Most entries relate	The connection
Bibliographic entries – focus	All entries clearly and specifically relate to	Most entries relate clearly to the research	only generally to the	between annotated
(5 points)	the research questions.	questions.	research questions.	entries and the
(5 points)	the research questions.	questions.	research questions.	research questions.
Articles read must				research questions.
focus on the				
research				
rescaren				
Ribliographic	Courage are well	Entries are included	One or more entries	Entries are dominated
Bibliographic	Sources are well	Entries are included from quality sources	One or more entries	Entries are dominated
entries – quality	balanced, including	from quality sources,	are included from	by material from
	balanced, including original research and	from quality sources, but are dominated by	are included from questionable sources,	by material from questionable sources; a
entries – quality (5 points)	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces;	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather	by material from questionable sources; a
entries – quality (5 points)	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces;	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile.	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources.	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident.	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research.	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident.
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident.	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident.
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted.	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points)	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted.	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format.	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references.	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate.
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points) References	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. References are	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. References are in APA	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The document contains	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate. References are omitted
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points)	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. References are complete and	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. References are in APA format, but a few (1-3)	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The document contains numerous incorrect or	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate.
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points) References	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. References are	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. References are in APA	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The document contains	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate. References are omitted
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points) References	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. References are complete and presented in APA	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. References are in APA format, but a few (1-3) appear incorrect or contain minor	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The document contains numerous incorrect or	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate. References are omitted
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points) References (5 points)	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. References are complete and presented in APA format.	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. References are in APA format, but a few (1-3) appear incorrect or contain minor formatting errors.	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The document contains numerous incorrect or incomplete references.	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate. References are omitted entirely.
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points) References (5 points)	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. References are complete and presented in APA format. The paper is nearly	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. References are in APA format, but a few (1-3) appear incorrect or contain minor formatting errors. Occasional	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The document contains numerous incorrect or incomplete references.	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate. References are omitted entirely.
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points) References (5 points)	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. References are complete and presented in APA format. The paper is nearly error-free which	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. References are in APA format, but a few (1-3) appear incorrect or contain minor formatting errors. Occasional grammatical errors and	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The document contains numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The paper contains errors in grammar and	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate. References are omitted entirely. The paper contains frequent errors in
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points) References (5 points)	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. References are complete and presented in APA format. The paper is nearly error-free which reflects clear	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. References are in APA format, but a few (1-3) appear incorrect or contain minor formatting errors. Occasional grammatical errors and questionable word	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The document contains numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The paper contains errors in grammar and punctuation, but	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate. References are omitted entirely. The paper contains frequent errors in spelling, grammar, and
entries – quality (5 points) Articles used must be worthwhile. Bibliographic entries quantity (5 points) References (5 points)	balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, along with a detailed reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. References are complete and presented in APA format. The paper is nearly error-free which	from quality sources, but are dominated by synthesis pieces; original research is not evident. Five or more annotated summaries are presented, as is a reference list of at least 10 sources consulted. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. References are in APA format, but a few (1-3) appear incorrect or contain minor formatting errors. Occasional grammatical errors and	are included from questionable sources, reflecting largely opinion pieces rather than original research or syntheses of research. Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented, or the annotated entries and reference list contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The document contains numerous incorrect or incomplete references. The paper contains errors in grammar and	by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate. References are omitted entirely. The paper contains frequent errors in

Writing Assignment 3: Research Brief 100 Points

Overview:

A research brief is a short <u>literature review</u> or compilation and thematic summary of published work on a topic that both summarizes and evaluates what is known on the topic. The main difference between a research brief and a formal literature review is the intended audience: address your research brief <u>to a practitioner audience</u> (e.g., your principal or a school leadership team). The research brief is intended to use published research to make a persuasive case regarding the **root causes** of the problem, challenge, or gap you have identified in your school and one or two promising solutions that address the root cause(s). Use your annotated bibliography and the papers you collected to provide a synthesis of the knowledge base and to identify what is known, what is not known (gaps in the literature), and what is missing (unanswered questions) in the extant research.

(Note – the material you presented in the annotated bibliography is a minimum – you will likely need more sources to do a good job here! Remember, you are trying to present a trustworthy document that school leaders will rely on to formulate actions.)

Tasks:

- 1. Write an introductory **paragraph** that includes a clearly-worded, one-sentence <u>guiding question</u> that describes the purpose of your investigation. This should be a reformulation (if needed) or restatement of the question you framed for your annotated bibliography. Your introduction must also include a thesis that clearly states in one sentence the argument you are putting forward in the paper with respect to root causes and promising solutions that would address them.
- 2. Using the research literature you collected to prepare your annotated bibliography, along with any additional sources you might identify, write a <u>review of the literature</u> that addresses the question and supports your thesis. The body of the document should summarize <u>and analyze</u> the existing research. Remember that this is <u>not simply a listing of the research cited</u> your review adds value by organizing various studies, and identifying strengths and weaknesses of established work.
- 3. For purposes of this exercise (and the intended audience your school's leadership team), conclude the paper with a section that briefly summarizes what is known and provides a recommendation based on the available research. For instance, if your question was, "Why do second language learners experience disproportionately low achievement in mathematics?" and the research focuses your attention on the need to teach mathematics vocabulary prior to introducing new concepts, you might recommend that your school's improvement team work toward an improvement objective that addresses the mathematics curriculum in this way. Be as persuasive as you can this recommendation will be what you write about in your School Improvement Project (SIP) proposal (the next writing assignment) and implement in your internship.

Your paper should be no more than eight (8) pages (excluding title page and references), and must include citations and a reference list in APA format.

HINT: Your paper should be closely related to your Improvement Target Proposal, leading you to write your guiding question in a manner that suggests a potential course of action for your School Improvement Project Proposal. Remember, to get the most out of your efforts, you should use the literature and your own investigative work to identify likely <u>root causes</u> of the performance challenge and ways to reduce or eliminate these <u>root causes</u>.

Research Brief Assessment Rubric:

Levels/Criteria	Exceeds	Meets Expectations	Approaching	Falls Below
	Expectations (4)	(3)	Expectations (2)	Expectations (1)
Introduction: research problem, overview (20 points) The introductory paragraph must be very clear about the direction and focus of the paper.	The paper starts with a clear and concise statement of the research question and an introduction that provides a clear thesis for the reader that lays out the author's main argument. The thesis should clearly state the solution you identified using research and the root causes that solution will address. The introduction is one paragraph long.	The paper starts with a brief introduction that alludes to the research question and provides a general thesis. The introduction might be longer than one paragraph or one page.	An introduction is provided that gives only the barest hint about the research question or the information to be shared.	The paper lacks an introduction entirely, or the introduction fails to provide useful information that is linked to the research question.
Body: Application of research to school improvement (40 points) (ELCC 1.3) For the research to be meaningful, it must be directly related to a specific question and argument.	The body of the paper presents a systematically organized synthesis of research directly relating to the question and supporting the thesis. Analysis is provided that reflects an awareness of and judgment about the quality of published work. At least one root cause is included and the proposed solutions directly relate to the root cause(s).	The body of the paper provides a loosely organized synthesis and analysis of published work related to the research question and the thesis. Root cause(s) are not included, not supported by literature, and/or not effectively paired with solutions. There might also be a lack of discussion about quality of the published work.	The body of the paper describes published work generally related to the research question, but provides a limited synthesis or analysis of published work.	The synthesis and analysis of published work is wholly missing or inadequate.
Conclusion and recommendation (20 points) (ELCC 2.2)	The paper concludes with a clear and concise summary of research directly related to the research question (including a	The paper concludes with a general summary of research related to the research question and the thesis. A recommendation	The paper concludes with a general summary of research on the research question. A recommendation	The conclusion is missing or wholly inadequate; the paper ends abruptly.

A conclusion should be both summative and analytical. Re- stating the thesis is an important vehicle for tying the paper together.	re-statement of the thesis), and a recommendation and rationale advocating for a possible course of action that could effectively result in the desired improvement(s).	advocating for a possible course of action that could effectively lead to desired improvement(s) is presented in general terms, but the rationale for the recommendation is not entirely persuasive.	advocating for a possible course of action is not evident.	
Quality of research support (13.33 points) (ELCC 2.3) The best way to make a persuasive argument is with high quality research.	Research cited is well balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources.	Research is cited from quality sources, but lacks specificity or is not connected in a set of coherent arguments.	General supporting research evidence is referenced, but appears dominated by syntheses or opinion pieces, or material from questionable sources.	Few solid supporting ideas or evidence from research are included.
Organization, Mechanics, and APA (6.67 point)	The paper is powerfully organized and fully developed with clear, descriptive headings. The paper is nearly error-free, including strict adherence to APA format for references. Proofreading is thorough.	The paper includes a logical progression of ideas aided by clear transitions. Occasional grammatical errors and questionable word choice are present. Some APA errors may be present.	The paper includes most required elements, but lacks transitions. Errors in grammar and punctuation are present, but spelling has been proofread. Adherence to APA format is weak.	The paper lacks a logical progression of ideas. Frequent errors in spelling, grammar, and punctuation are present.

Writing Assignment 4: SIP Proposal 150 points

Overview:

School leaders are increasingly expected to engage in short-term action research projects to demonstrate the efficacy of school programs and practices. As a part of your internship, you will propose a School Improvement Project (SIP) that addresses a problem or "achievement gap" identified through research on your school. Your proposal will describe a specific improvement project that you will **design, implement**, and **evaluate** during your internship, and later analyze in one of your concluding courses. The expectation is that you will lead a team in implementation of this project.

Tasks:

- 1. OVERVIEW: The proposal should start with a concise and well thought out description of the achievement gap you have identified through your assessment of student performance and achievement data, followed by a clear **statement of purpose** that generally demonstrates how you intend to address the performance gap. After stating this purpose, include an **overview** of the project that provides a brief description of what you intend to do to implement your proposal.
- 2. RATIONALE: Include a concise and well thought out **rationale** that describes why it is important to address the performance gap you identified, and your espoused theory of action that suggests why taking the proposed action will lead to improvement in the targeted area. Be sure to describe how your SIP connects to or reinforces your school's vision and objectives. Use the research literature to support your strategy for addressing the achievement gap you identified.
- 3. OUTCOMES: Provide a short description of the **specific outcomes** you are seeking by implementing your project. Be specific; identify the performance indicators you intend to track in order to measure the educational outcomes that are important in your improvement area.
- 4. INVOLVEMENT: The expectation is that you will be engaging members of your school community in designing and enacting your improvement project. Provide a short summary of who you involved in the creation of this proposal, and which stakeholders you envision involving in the enactment and assessment of the SIP. Describe how you plan to enlist their support and build your team, including means you will use to maintain effective communication throughout the project.
- 5. ACTION PLAN: The proposal must include a clear, step-by-step **action plan** that defines the objective of the project (i.e., restates your purpose as an action objective), and delineates each of the major tasks that need to be completed during the project; when each task will be completed; who is responsible for each task; the resources needed to complete each task; and specific "success signals" that serve as indicators of the

- completion of major steps in the project. Use worksheets 9. 1-9.4 from *Using Research to Lead School Improvement* to help you prepare your action plan.
- 6. BUDGET: Following the action plan, a clear, well thought out <u>budget summary</u> should be presented. This can be a short narrative presentation (you do not need budget codes, etc.) The narrative should include a synopsis of the funding needed to complete the project; a description of any existing resources that will be devoted to the project, and a discussion of how authority to use these resources has been (or will be) procured.
- 7. EVALUATION PLAN: Include a narrative explanation of how you plan to **evaluate your project**, which includes a) the specific indicators you will be examining to determine impact of the project on student performance or on the learning environment; b) a description of how and when you plan to collect data about these indicators, and c) a brief description of the analysis you plan to conduct to examine these data in order to ascertain the impact of the project on your intended outcomes.
- 8. CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS: In closing, briefly discuss the advantages and the potential limitations of the project. In particular, conduct a **consequence analysis** to predict any issues that might arise during implementation, or any limitations you might face in terms of using the evaluation design to draw trustworthy inferences about the effectiveness of the project. If possible, include reference to issues raised in the literature.

NOTE: The proposal is not an essay, per se; it can be written using each of the sections listed above, and some information can be presented in bullets (e.g., a listing of outcomes measured) or in tables (e.g., the action plan). There is a fair amount of redundancy in this proposal – for instance, the description of the project should provide a brief narrative explanation that matches the project delineated in the action plan; the list of outcomes measured should relate to the evaluation plan (which describes how you will go about collecting these data and what you will look at to know if you were successful). Your audience for this proposal is your principal – imagine that you are presenting this document to him/her, and lobbying for adoption of this project (which you will lead).

Your research proposal should be no more than 12 pages (not including cover page and reference list), and should include citations and a reference list in APA format.

SIP Proposal Assessment Rubric:

Levels/Criteria	Exceeds	Meets Expectations	Approaching	Falls Below
	Expectations	(3)	Expectations	Expectations
	(4)		(2)	(1)
Statement of	The proposal begins	The proposal begins	The statement of	The statement of
purpose and	with a clear statement	with a statement of	purpose and/or	purpose and/or project
overview of	of purpose, which	purpose which relates	description of the	description is missing
project	relates specifically to a	generally to a	project are evident, but	or wholly inadequate.
(15 points)	performance gap	performance gap	is vaguely worded or	
(ELCC 1.2)	identified using	identified using	poorly spelled out. It is	
	assessment results,	assessment data. A	difficult to discern a	
	demographic data, and	brief description of the		

Use of data to identify SIP topic that relates to and supports the school's vision and objectives.	analysis of school and community needs. A concise, but thorough description of the proposed project is provided that spells out the actions proposed to reduce the identified performance gap.	proposed project is provided.	clear focus of the project.	
Rationale (15 points) (ELCC 1.3) Use of research-supported strategies to promote continual and sustainable improvement	The proposal includes a concise and well supported rationale that describes the nature of the gap being addressed, why the problem is important, and how taking the proposed action is intended to lead to improvement. Specific, current research is presented in support of the strategy selected to address the identified performance gap.	The proposal includes a rationale that describes the nature of the gap being addressed and why the problem is important to the attainment of the school's vision, but it is somewhat unclear about how taking the proposed action is intended to lead to improvement. Research supporting the general improvement strategy is referenced.	The proposal includes a rationale, but only generally connects the proposed action to the reduction of the identified performance gap. Research supporting the proposed action is weakly presented or not evident.	The rationale is weak or wholly inadequate. It is not clear how enacting the proposed project relates to reducing the identified performance gap.
Outcomes (15 points) (ELCC 1.4) Identification of specific outcomes that will be used to monitor and evaluate progress and plans	Specific indicators are identified and described that will be used to monitor and evaluate the implementation and impact of the project. Each indicator is demonstrably connected to either monitoring implementation fidelity of the project or reducing the identified performance gap.	Specific outcome indicators are identified and described that could be used to monitor and evaluate the impact of the project. Indicators used to monitor implementation fidelity are unclear.	The proposal makes general reference to the kinds of outcomes sought, but specific measurable indicators of implementation fidelity and/or project outcomes are not clearly identified.	The outcomes associated with the project are not specified, or outcomes that do not relate to the identified performance gap are proposed.
Involvement (7.5 points) (ELCC 3.4) Identification and formation of team to distribute leadership	The proposal clearly describes which stakeholders will be involved in enactment, monitoring, and evaluation of the SIP. All stakeholders who are important to the success of the project are involved. Team member roles and responsibilities are outlined, as are means that will be used to	The proposal describes the primary stakeholders who will be involved in enactment of the SIP. One or more groups whose involvement may be important are omitted. Attributes of team organization are described in general terms.	The proposal is unclear about stakeholders' involvement in enactment of the SIP, or fails to mention groups who are obviously important to the success of the project. Attributes of team organization are referenced in general terms.	Stakeholder involvement in planning and/or implementation is not evident.

	maintain effective			
	communication among			
	team members.			
Involvement	The proposal clearly	The proposal describes	The proposal is unclear	The proposal is silent
(7.5 points)	describes how the	some ways the	about ways	with regard to
(ELCC 2.1)	candidate will build a	candidate will build a	collaboration and	stakeholder
(EECC 2.1)	collaborative team to	collaborative team and	involvement will be	involvement and/or
Understand and	promote improvement	build trust in	fostered throughout the	trust building.
sustain a culture	goals, and build trust	enactment, monitoring,	project.	trust burianis.
of trust,	throughout enactment,	and evaluation of the	F-J	
collaboration and	monitoring, and	SIP.		
high expectations	evaluation of the SIP.			
for students				
Action Plan	The proposal includes	The proposal includes	The action plan	The action plan is
(22.5 points)	a clear and well	an action plan that	includes details tasks,	poorly organized,
(ELCC 3.1)	thought out action plan	describes how human,	time lines, persons	severely lacking in
	that focuses on	fiscal, and material	responsible, resources,	detail, or wholly
Development of	effective deployment	resources will be used	and success indicators	missing. It is entirely
action plan to	of human, fiscal, and	to implement the SIP.	proposed to implement	unclear how any
guide the	material resources to	The plan delineates	the project, but does so	proposed actions can
implementation	guide the	most of the major tasks	in a fashion that is	result in successful
of SIP	implementation of the	needed to enact the	unlikely to result in	implementation of the
	SIP. The plan	project; when various	successful deployment	project.
	thoroughly delineates	tasks will be	of human, fiscal, and	
	each of the major tasks	completed; who is	material resources to	
	to be accomplished in	involved in	accomplish the stated	
	enacting the project;	accomplishing each	purpose. Significant	
	when each task will be	task; the resources	tasks are inadequately	
	completed; who is	needed to complete	spelled out or are	
	involved in	each task; and specific	missing entirely.	
	accomplishing each task; the resources	"success signals" or process indicators that		
	needed to complete	will be tracked to		
	each task; and specific	monitor completion of		
	"success signals" or	each stage of the		
	process indicators that	project. Some		
	will be tracked to	necessary tasks or		
	monitor completion of	implementation details		
	each stage of the	are vaguely described		
	project, including	or missing.		
	evaluation of the			
	project.			
Professional	The proposal includes	The proposal includes	The proposal includes	The proposal fails to
development	clear and well thought	plans for the	vague or superficial	account for the human
(7.5 points)	out plans for the	development and	plans for to develop	resource development
(ELCC 2.3)	development and	supervision of	the skills and abilities	needs of stakeholders
To all all and C	supervision of	instructional and other	of stakeholders who	who are involved in
Inclusion of	instructional and other	staff needed to enact	are involved in	enactment of the plan.
appropriate	staff needed to enact	the plan, but lacks	enactment of the plan.	
human resource	the plan.	specificity or fails to anticipate the learning		
development plans		needs of some		
pians		stakeholders.		
Budget	The proposal includes	The proposal includes	A budget summary is	The budget is poorly
(7.5 points)	a detailed and well	a budget summary that	presented, but it is	organized, severely
(ELCC 3.2)	thought out budget	spells out in general	lacking in sufficient	lacking in detail, or
(11100 3.4)	mought out budget	ppens out in general	meking in sufficient	meking in detail, or

Use of new and existing resources to facilitate SIP	summary that demonstrates the ability to identify and procure new and existing resources to facilitate the implementation of your SIP project. The budget includes a synopsis of the funding needed to accomplish the project; a description of any existing resources that will be devoted to the project; and a discussion of how authority to use these resources has been or will be procured.	terms how resources will be identified and procured to facilitate the implementation of the SIP project. Funding needed to accomplish the project is identified; a description of any existing resources that will be devoted to the project is outlined; and a discussion of how authority to use these resources has been or will be procured is described.	detail or is missing necessary components. The use of existing resources is not well thought out, and/or procedures for leveraging these resources are undeveloped or missing.	wholly inadequate to support the objective and action plan described.
Evaluation (15 points) (ELCC 2.2) Plan to monitor and evaluate the project	A clear, well developed plan to monitor and evaluate the project is presented, which specifies how data related to each educational indicator will be collected, when these data will be collected, and how they will be analyzed. The evaluation plan includes steps that will be taken to examine and adjust the project during enactment (i.e., monitor implementation) and to assess summatively the efficacy of the project in terms of reducing the identified performance gap.	A plan to monitor and evaluate the project is presented, which specifies how data related to most of the identified educational indicators will be collected, when these data will be collected, and how they will be analyzed. The evaluation plan includes general steps that will be taken to monitor implementation and to assess summatively the efficacy of the project.	A plan to monitor and evaluate the project is presented, but it lacks specificity and/or is not clearly connected to the espoused objectives of the SIP. Steps that will be taken to collect and analyze various data are unclear, as are methods that will be used to monitor implementation and to summatively assess the efficacy of the project.	The evaluation plan is poorly organized, lacks sufficient detail, or is wholly inadequate to support the evaluation of the project.
Consequence analysis (15 points) (ELCC 6.2) Identification of potential issues related to enactment of plan within the school and school	The proposal concludes with a detailed analysis of the benefits and limitations of the proposed project design, highlighting possible issues relating to enactment of the plan within the school and school community. Advantages and	The proposal concludes with a general analysis of the benefits and limitations of the proposed project design, including issues relating to the support and involvement of important stakeholders. Obvious	The proposal concludes with a cursory analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed design. Issues of stakeholder involvement, implementation fidelity, and trustworthiness are	The proposal concludes with a general restatement of the project's purpose and/or description, but lacks any reasonable reflection on the strengths or weaknesses of the proposed design. A consequence analysis is not evident.

		1	1	
community to positively influence the school context	disadvantages of the project and evaluation design are highlighted, including an assessment of issues relating to the involvement and support of important stakeholders within the school community. Issues relating to implementation fidelity and the trustworthiness of the evaluation research design are clearly spelled out.	advantages and disadvantages of the project and evaluation design are identified. Select issues related to implementation fidelity and trustworthiness of the research evaluation design are explored, though some important potential issues are not identified.	only superficially addressed.	Few to no solid
Support		Supporting research	General supporting	
(7.5 points)	ideas and/or evidence	used to support the	ideas or evidence are	supporting ideas or
(ELCC 6.3)	from research are used to support the selection	project lacks specificity or is loosely	presented.	evidence from research are included.
Use available	of the achievement gap	developed.		are meruded.
knowledge	and the strategy	acveropeu.		
related to current	identified for			
and emerging	addressing it.			
trends				
Organization of	The proposal is	The proposal includes	The proposal includes	The proposal lacks a
proposal	powerfully organized	logical progression of	brief skeleton	logical progression of
(7.5 points)	and fully developed.	ideas aided by clear	(introduction, body,	ideas.
		transitions.	and conclusion) but	
			lacks effective transitions.	
Mechanics and	The proposal is nearly	Occasional	Errors in grammar and	The proposal contains
APA	error-free, which	grammatical errors and	punctuation are	frequent errors in
(7.5 points)	reflects clear	questionable word	present, but spelling	spelling, grammar, and
	understanding of APA	choice are present.	has been proofread.	punctuation.
	and thorough	_		-
	proofreading.			