GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION and HUMAN DEVELOPMENT Education Leadership Program

EDLE 690, Section 602 Using Research to Lead School Improvement 3 credits, SPRING 2021 Wednesdays 4:45 – 7:45, 1/25/ 2021 – 4/26/ 2021 ONLINE

| Faculty Name: | John A.Banbury, Ed.D. |
|------------------|---------------------------|
| Office Hours: | By appointment |
| Office Location: | Thompson Hall, Suite 1300 |
| Office Phone: | 703-993-5900 |
| Email Address: | <u>jbanbury@gmu.edu</u> |

Prerequisite(s)/Corequisites: EDLE 620

University Catalogue Course Description

Using Research to Lead School Improvement (3:3:0) develops skills, insights, and understanding of how leaders use research to improve schools, with emphasis on the use of assessment and research data to identify school improvement needs and to design school improvement projects.

Course Delivery Method

This course will be delivered 100% online. Classes are SYNCHRONOUS AND ASYNCHRONUS unless stated otherwise. See class dates (below) for details. Students are expected to attend synchronous class meetings. Instruction will be delivered via Blackboard Learning Management system housed in the MyMason portal. You will log in to the Blackboard (Bb) course site using your Mason email name (everything before @masonlive.gmu.edu) and email password. This course will be available on January 25, 2021.

Under no circumstances, may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

Technology Requirements

To participate in this course, students will need to satisfy the following technical requirements:

 High-speed Internet access with standard up-to-date browsers. To get a list of Blackboard's supported browsers see: <u>https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting_Started/Browser_Support#supported-browsers</u> To get a list of supported operation systems on different devices see: <u>https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting_Started/Browser_Support#tes</u> <u>ted-devices-and-operating-systems</u>

- Students must maintain consistent and reliable access to their GMU email and Blackboard, as these are the official methods of communication for this course. Per university policy in compliance with federal law, the professor will only communicate with students via their GMU email accounts, and will be unable to respond to emails sent from other accounts (i.e., Gmail, Yahoo, work email, etc.). Any announcements regarding the course will be sent to your GMU account. I will respond to emails within 48 hours, excluding weekends.
- Students may be asked to create logins and passwords on supplemental websites and/or to download trial software to their computer or tablet as part of course requirements.
- **Video/Screencasting Tools**: You may use Kasturi, Jing, or Camtasia to record any assignments that may utilize videos.
- **Group Work:** You may use **Google Docs or any other platform** to complete any group assignments. Blackboard Discussion Boards will be used for various learning activities throughout the semester.
- The following software plug-ins for PCs and Macs, respectively, are available for free download: [Add or delete options, as desire.]
 - Adobe Acrobat Reader: <u>https://get.adobe.com/reader/</u>
 - Windows Media Player:
 - o <u>https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/help/14209/get-windows-media-player</u>
 - Apple Quick Time Player: <u>www.apple.com/quicktime/download/</u>

Learner Outcomes or Objectives

Students completing the course successfully will be able to:

- understand and apply planning, assessment, and instructional leadership that builds collective professional capacity;
- understand and apply systems and organization theory;
- understand and apply management and leadership skills that achieve effective and efficient organizational operations;
- understand and apply basic leadership theories and knowledge that impact schools;
- understand and apply research knowledge to a significant instructional problem.

Student Outcomes

Successful students will emerge from the course with the ability to:

- gather and analyze student achievement and demographic data available from their school, school district, and the state;
- search online databases for recent publications relevant to a specific topic, and prepare a brief summary of applied research on a topic relevant to the improvement of instruction at their school site;
- use education research to develop a position based on more than one's opinion;
- apply the principles of improvement science to design a school improvement plan;
- prepare and defend a proposal for a School Improvement Project (SIP) that becomes the blueprint for the capstone project required in the EDLE program internship.

Relationship of Course to Internship

Although the internship is a separate course, the Education Leadership program has integrated internship-related activities into course work. During this course, students will prepare and present a proposal for a school improvement project that they will implement and evaluate as a part of their internship activities over the remainder of the program.

National Standards

The following National Educational Leadership Preparation standard elements are addressed in this course:

NELP Standard 1: Candidates who successfully complete a building-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to collaboratively lead, design, and implement a school mission, vision, and process for continuous improvement that reflects a core set of values and priorities that include data use, technology, equity, diversity, digital citizenship, and community.

Component 1.1 Component 1.2

NELP Standard 3: Candidates who successfully complete a building-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to develop and maintain a supportive, equitable, culturally responsive, and inclusive school culture.

Component 3.1 Component 3.2 Component 3.3

NELP Standard 6: Candidates who successfully complete a building-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to improve management, communication, technology, school-level governance, and operation systems to develop and improve data-informed and equitable school resource plans and to apply laws, policies, and regulations.

Component 6.1

Component 6.2

NELP Standard 7: Candidates who successfully complete a building-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to build the school's professional capacity, engage staff in the development of a collaborative professional culture, and improve systems of staff supervision, evaluation, support, and professional learning.

Component 7.2 Component 7.4

Virginia Competencies

This course addresses the following Virginia Department of Education (VDOE) Competencies:

a. Knowledge understanding, and application of planning, assessment, and instructional leadership that builds collective professional capacity, including:

(2) Collaborative leadership in gathering and analyzing data to identify needs to develop and implement a school improvement plan that results in increased student learning; (7) Identification, analysis, and resolution of problems using effective problem-solving techniques; and

(8) Communication of a clear vision of excellence, linked to mission and core beliefs that promotes continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.

b. Knowledge, understanding and application of systems and organizations, including:

(1) Systems theory and the change process of systems, organizations and individuals, using appropriate and effective adult learning models;

(2) Aligning organizational practice, division mission, and core beliefs for developing and implementing strategic plans;

(3) Information sources and processing, including data collection and data analysis strategies;

(4) Using data as a part of ongoing program evaluation to inform and lead change;

(5) Developing a change management strategy for improved student outcomes; and

(6) Developing empowerment strategies to create personalized learning environments for diverse schools.

c. Knowledge understanding and application of management and leadership skills that achieve effective and efficient organizational operations, including:

(8) Application of data-driven decision making to initiate and continue improvement in school and classroom practices and student achievement.

f. Knowledge understanding and application of basic leadership theories and influences that impact schools including:

(1) Concepts of leadership including systems theory, change theory, learning organizations and current leadership theory.

f. Knowledge understanding and application of basic leadership theories and influences that impact schools including:

(1) Concepts of leadership including systems theory, change theory, learning organizations and current leadership theory.

Required Texts

Bauer, Scott.C., & Brazier, David, S. (2012) Using Research to Lead School Improvement, Sage.

Hinnant-Crawford, Brandi Nicole. (2020) *Improvement Science in Education*, Myers Education Press.

All students are now required to activate and monitor their GMU e-mail accounts. If you are uncertain about how to do this, please see me. It is my expectation that you will be fully competent to send and receive e-mail messages with attachments. If your computer at school or home has spam blocking that will prevent you from seeing messages with attachments, you are responsible for addressing this problem immediately.

It is expected that all students will have access to standard word processing software that can be read by Microsoft Office 2010.

Students will also need a Google account to access the Google work suite (e.g., word documents).

Course Performance and Evaluation Criteria

General Expectations

Consistent with the expectations of a Master's level course in the Education Leadership program, grading is based heavily on student performance in written assignments. The assignments constructed for this course reflect a mix of skills associated with the application of research to education leadership contexts. Overall, written work will be assessed using the following broad criteria:

- Application of concepts embedded in assigned readings and other materials and reinforced in class activities
- The quality of analysis, synthesis, and application
- The ability to write in a clear, concise, and organized fashion

Additionally, a significant portion of the class grade will be based on participation and the contribution you make to class discussion.

Specific Performances and Weights

The overall weights of the various performances are as follows:

Class- and school-based assignments. Class participation - 20 points

Candidates are expected to form and work with a school-based leadership team to design their SIP. Candidates are expected to participate actively in class discussions, small group activities, and in serving as critical friends to other colleagues.

Written Assignments - 80 points

Several different types of performance-based assignments will be completed during the semester. Each assignment relates to the application of educational research in your school setting. A description of each assignment and a rubric for grading each assignment are included at the end of this syllabus.

TK20 Performance-Based Assessment Submission Requirement:

Every student registered for an EDLE course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit these assessments to TK20 through Blackboard. EDLE 690's required performance is the School Improvement Project Proposal. Evaluation of the performance-based assessments by the course instructor will also be completed in TK20 through Blackboard. Failure to submit the assessment to Blackboard will result in the course instructor reporting the grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the Blackboard submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

GRADING SCALE:

| A+ | = | 100 |
|----|---|-------|
| А | = | 95-99 |
| A- | = | 90-94 |
| B+ | = | 87-89 |
| В | = | 83-86 |
| B- | = | 80-82 |
| С | = | 75-79 |
| F | = | 0-74 |

Course Policies

ALL ASSIGNMENTS must be submitted electronically through Blackboard unless stated otherwise.

LATE WORK: Assignments are due by 11:59 p.m. on the dates listed on the syllabus. Late assignments will be accepted on a case by case basis. Please take advantage of instructor office hours and availability to get assistance prior to assignment deadlines.

REWRITES: Rewrites of graded work will not be possible in EDLE 690.

COMMUNICATING WITH INSTRUCTOR: Feel free to discuss any/all concerns about the class with me. It is unlikely I will respond to email over the weekend. I typically check email twice daily.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/.</u>

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see http://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS). Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see http://ds.gmu.edu).
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to TK20 should be directed to <u>tk20help@gmu.edu</u> or <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/tk20</u>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <u>http://coursessupport.gmu.edu/</u>.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism Statement: Plagiarism means using the exact words, opinions, or factual information from another person without giving that person credit. Writers give credit through accepted documentation styles, such as parenthetical citation, footnotes, or endnotes; a simple listing of books and articles is not sufficient. Plagiarism is the equivalent of intellectual robbery and cannot be tolerated in an academic setting. Student writers are often confused as to what should be cited. Some think that only direct quotations need to be credited. While direct guotations do need citations, so do paraphrases and summaries of opinions or factual information formerly unknown to the writers or which the writers did not discover themselves. Exceptions for this include factual information which can be obtained from a variety of sources, the writers' own insights or findings from their own field research, and what has been termed common knowledge. What constitutes common knowledge can sometimes be precarious; what is common knowledge for one audience may not be so for another. In such situations, it is helpful, to keep the reader in mind and to think of citations as being "reader friendly." In other words, writers provide a citation for any piece of information that they think their readers might want to investigate further. Not only is this attitude considerate of readers, it will almost certainly ensure that writers will never be guilty of plagiarism. (Statement of English Department at George Mason University)

Plagiarism and the Honor Code: George Mason University operates under an honor system, which is published in the University Catalog and deals specifically with cheating, attempted cheating, plagiarism, lying, and stealing. Please familiarize yourself with the honor code, especially the statement on plagiarism (http://www.gmu.edu/facstaff/handbook/aD.html). If you have questions about when the contributions of others to your work must be acknowledged and appropriate ways to cite those contributions, please talk with the professor or utilize the GMU writing center.

Plagiarism and the Internet: Copyright rules also apply to users of the Internet who cite from Internet sources. Information and graphics accessed electronically must also be cited, giving credit to the sources. This material includes but is not limited to e-mail (don't cite or forward someone else's e-mail without permission), newsgroup material, information from Web sites, including graphics.

If you have questions about when the contributions of others to your work must be acknowledged and appropriate ways to cite those contributions, please talk with the professor utilize the GMU Writing Center.

Professional Dispositions

Students are always expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions. See http:cehd.gmu.edu/students/policies-procedures/

Notice of mandatory reporting of sexual assault, interpersonal violence, and stalking:

As a faculty member, I am designated as a "Responsible Employee," and must report all disclosures of sexual assault, interpersonal violence, and stalking to Mason's Title IX Coordinator per University Policy 1202. If you wish to speak with someone confidentially, please contact one of Mason's confidential resources, such as Student Support and Advocacy Center (SSAC) at 703-380-1434 or Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) at 703-993-2380. You may also seek assistance from Mason's Title IX Coordinator by calling 703-993-8730, or emailing titleix@gmu.edu.

| Activities | Class preparation |
|--|---|
| 1. Introductions | Read Bauer & Brazer |
| 2. Course overview | Chapter 1-2 |
| 3. Bauer & Brazer Conceptual Framework | |
| 4. Written Assignment # 1 | |
| | |
| 1. Leading School Improvement | Read Bauer & Brazer |
| | Chapter 1-2 |
| | Complete Discussion Board # 1 |
| | |
| 1. Forming A Team | Read Bauer & Brazer |
| | Chapter 3 |
| | |
| 1. Assessing the Local Situation | Read Bauer & Brazer |
| 2. Communicating a Message with Data | Chapter 4-5 |
| | Complete Discussion |
| | Board # 2 |
| 1 Data Sources | Work on Assignment #1 |
| | Including a peer review |
| | before posting. |
| 1. Assignment #1 Due | Read Bauer & Brazer |
| 2. Root of the Problem | Chapter 6-8 |
| 3. Finding Supportive Literature | Discussion Board # 3 |
| l | I |
| | |
| | |
| | Introductions Course overview Bauer & Brazer Conceptual Framework Written Assignment # 1 Leading School Improvement Research Leaders, and Improving School Performance Forming A Team Assessing the Local Situation Communicating a Message with Data Data Sources Assignment #1 Due Root of the Problem |

Class Schedule (May be adjusted depending on course direction)

| 3/8 (SYNCHR ONOUS) | 1. Introduction to Improvement Science | Read Hinnant-Crawford Chapter 1-2 |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| 3/15 (On-line) | System Improvement Maps Driver Diagrams PDSA | Read Hinnant-Crawford Chapter 5,6,8 Work on Assignment # 2 |
| 3/22 (SYNCHR ONOUS) | 1. Socratic Seminar | Read Hinnant-Crawford Chapter 9-10 Assignment # 2 Due Review with peer before posting. |
| 3/29-4/4 | SPRING BREAK | |
| 4/5 (On-line) | Solutions Actions Implementation Evaluation | Read Bauer & Brazer Chapter 9-10 Discussion Board # 4 |

| 4/12 | 1. School Improvement Plans | |
|---------------|-----------------------------|--|
| (SYNCHRONOUS) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| 4/19 | 1. 2. | School Improvement Plans Peer Review | |
|---------------|----------|---|--------------------------------------|
| (On-line) | | | |
| | | | |
| 1/26 | 1 | | |
| 4/26 | 1. 2. | Final Presentations Course Wrap-up | Final School Improvement Plan Due |
| (SYNCHRONOUS) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Writing Assignment 1: Improvement Target Proposal 30 points

Data are tools – they represent a primary source of knowledge-building for school improvement. As leaders in your school, one of your primary tasks is to understand available data relating to your school's performance in meeting its goals and objectives. Additionally, you need to learn how to communicate about these data to various stakeholder groups. In this task, you are asked to assemble some of these data, and prepare a short summary suitable for presentation to a school leadership team.

Tasks:

- Identify the variety of published data relating to your school's demographic characteristics (e.g., enrollment, attendance, composition of the student body, staffing); measures of student learning; and, as applicable, collected perceptual or supplementary data. Measures of student learning may be available on your school or school system's website, on related websites (e.g., state education department), or in published material. Perceptual and supplementary data may need to be collected.
- 2. Work with your school-based leadership team to determine your school's primary performance objectives: What is the school expected to achieve? Dig deeper than routine accountability requirements; examine the school's current improvement plan, for instance, to identify one or more current improvement priorities.
- 3. Examine relevant data for at least a two-year period. To do this, you will need to triangulate the data available to you look across various sources to answer the question: How well are we doing? As a leader in your school, you will add value to your analysis by using your craft knowledge to interpret what these data means. You may limit your focus to one or more areas identified as priorities for your school (in other words, you do not need to present data on each and every curricular objective, but you should provide a reasonable synopsis of "how well we're doing.")
- 4. Identify any areas that reflect priorities for instance, areas in which students are achieving at a level below your school's goals and objectives. Be careful to identify performance indicators that clearly relate to the objective(s) you've identified. The goal here is NOT to "solve" an identified problem, but to highlight areas that are in continued need of attention in your school's improvement plan.
- 5. Conduct a complete Causal System Analysis with your school leadership team, identifying the specific problem to be addressed; primary and secondary factors contributing to the problem; and a system improvement map that parses the school into different levels or organizations and identifies how factors contributing to the problem manifest within each level/ organization.
- 6. Prepare a paper describing an area that requires attention. Include a brief overview of relevant school demographic characteristics (particularly characteristics of the student body); information related to the school's improvement goals; data relevant to current levels of performance; perceptual or supplementary data (as applicable); a clear statement of the specific problem area(s) you believe require attention in your improvement planning; factors contributing to the problem (i.e., Fishbone analysis); and where and how contributing factors manifest in the school (i.e., System Improvement Map). Use the attached rubric as a guide to structure your paper.

This is an exercise in leadership communication. Be selective – you cannot provide an overview of all of the data that might be available. Craft your examination to focus on important areas of concern. NOTE – the tone of the paper is persuasive.

Avoid jargon, and be aware of the clarity of your presentation – if you confuse your audience or present a lot of disparate data that don't connect to your school's objectives, you've failed to add value to the discussion. Use tables or graphs sensibly -- to briefly summarize the discussion and direct the reader's attention.

This paper should be no more than ten (10) pages (not including the cover page or appendices) and should be written in a fashion that is suitable for the audience described above.

Writing Assignment 1: Improvement Target Proposal 30 points

Data are tools – they represent a primary source of knowledge-building for school improvement. As leaders in your school, one of your primary tasks is to understand available data relating to your school's performance in meeting its goals and objectives. Additionally, you need to learn how to communicate about these data to various stakeholder groups.

Tasks:

- 7. Identify the variety of published data relating to your school's demographic characteristics (e.g., enrollment, attendance, composition of the student body, staffing); measures of student learning; and, as applicable, collected perceptual or supplementary data. Measures of student learning may be available on your school or school system's website, on related websites (e.g., state education department), or in published material. Perceptual and supplementary data may need to be collected.
- 8. Work with your school-based leadership team to determine your school's primary performance objectives: What is the school expected to achieve? Dig deeper than routine accountability requirements; examine the school's current improvement plan, for instance, to identify one or more current improvement priorities.
- 9. Examine relevant data for at least a two-year period. To do this, you will need to triangulate the data available to you look across various sources to answer the question: How well are we doing? As a leader in your school, you will add value to your analysis by using your craft knowledge to interpret what these data means. You may limit your focus to one or more areas identified as priorities for your school (in other words, you do not need to present data on each and every curricular objective, but you should provide a reasonable synopsis of "how well we're doing.")
- 10. Identify any areas that reflect priorities for instance, areas in which students are achieving at a level below your school's goals and objectives. Be careful to identify performance indicators that clearly relate to the objective(s) you've identified. The goal here is NOT to "solve" an identified problem, but to highlight areas that are in continued need of attention in your school's improvement plan.
- 11. Conduct a complete Root Cause Analysis using a Fishbone diagram with your school leadership team, identifying the i) specific problem to be addressed, ii) major factors contributing to the problem, and iii) "twigs" or "causes" of these factors.
- 12. Prepare a paper describing an area that requires attention. Include a brief overview of relevant school demographic characteristics (particularly characteristics of the student body); information related to the school's improvement goals; data relevant to current levels of performance; perceptual or supplementary data (as applicable); a clear statement of the specific problem area(s) you believe require attention in your improvement planning; and root cause analysis.

This is an exercise in leadership communication. Be selective – you cannot provide an overview of all data that might be available. Critically, **this paper must be written in the past tense** as Root Cause Analyses are **retrospective** analyses and **do not consider present or future next steps.**

Avoid jargon, and be aware of the clarity of your presentation. Use tables or graphs sensibly.

This paper should be no more than 12 pages (not including the cover page or appendices) and should be written in a fashion that is suitable for the audience described above.

Improvement Target Proposal Assessment Rubric:

| Levels/Criteria | Exceeds Expectations (4) | Meets Expectations (3) | Approaching Expectations (2) | Falls Below Expectations (1) |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| Introduction and thesis (10%) Any written statement should begin with an introduction that draws the reader into the topic and includes a one- sentence thesis. The thesis states what the author intends to prove or demonstrate in the body of the written work. For this paper, the thesis must name the improvement target(s). | The paper starts with an introduction that provides a clear roadmap for the reader, foreshadowing what the Improvement Target Proposal is intended to provide in the way of information. The thesis appears as the last sentence of the introductory paragraph. | that alludes to the purpose of the paper and provides a general foreshadowing of what is to be included | the purpose of the paper and the information to be shared. The thesis | The paper lacks an introduction entirely, or the introduction fails to provide useful information that is linked to the intended purpose of the document. |
| Characteristics of the school and diversity of the school community (10%) This section is intended to help the reader understand the nature of the school so that the priority area will make sense. | The paper includes a relevant overview of the demographic characteristics of the school, school staffing, and the school community. The school's current improvement objectives are highlighted. | the relevant demographic characteristics of the school, school staffing, and school community, and the school's current improvement objectives. Some | relevant demographic and | of demographic data is missing or wholly inadequate. |

| Use of data to analyze school performance related to the school's vision and objectives (25%) This section explains where the school has been in terms of the improvement target. | school's performance based on an assessment of important educational outcomes reflecting | a summary of the school's performance over a two-year period, using general measures of important | school's current performance in | The assessment of school performance is missing or wholly inadequate. |
|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Root Cause Analysis (30%) This is the most important point of the paper in which you discuss analyses implying where the school ought to focus its effort to improve the improvement target. | contributing to the improvement target(s) and why those factors were identified. A Fishbone diagram is in an appendix, | the major factors contributing to the improvement target(s) OR causes/ twigs of factors are unclear. Detailed Fishbone | contributing to the improvement | |

| target(s). | contributing factor(s) to be mitigated and which manifestations of the focal factors will be targted. The improvement target(s) are problem-specific, user-centered, and well supported by the analysis of data, and are clearly connected to the school's vision, improvement objectives, and the emerging needs of the school | contributing factors to be mitigated and which manifestations of the focal factors will be targted. The improvement target(s) are somewhat: problem-specific, user-centered, and supported by the analysis of data. Improvement targets are somewhat connected to the school's vision, improvement objectives, and the emerging needs of the school community. | identifying a broad contributing factor or broadly states which manifestation will be mitigated. The improvement target(s) are not clearly problem- specific, user- centered, or supported by the analysis of data. The identified achievement gap(s) are not clearly supported by the analysis of school data. | The recommendation is missing or wholly inadequate. |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Use of tables and graphs to summarize data (10%) Tables and/or graphs should appear as support to the text. Data should be organized for ease of understanding. | present demographic and/or school | sparingly, but effectively, to present demographic and/or school | o . | Tables and/or graphics are not evident. |
| Mechanics and APA (5%) Your written work should always represent you as accurate and precise. | understanding and thorough proofreading. The | grammatical errors and questionable word choice. The paper is written in the past tense. | are present, but spelling has been proofread. The paper is NOT | There are frequent errors in spelling, grammar, and punctuation. The paper is NOT written in the past tense. |

Writing Assignment 2: Annotated Bibliography 10 Points

As emerging leaders in your schools, you need to develop the skills associated with accessing the knowledge base on questions that are important to the understanding and improvement of teaching and learning. An annotated bibliography provides you with the opportunity to learn how to sift through existing research on a question that interests you and to begin to organize the knowledge that you are gaining by reading this literature.

Tasks:

- Use the problem, challenge, or gap you identified in the previous writing assignment. With this focus, articulate a research or guiding question. For example, "Why do second language learners experience disproportionately low achievement in mathematics?" That might be a bit broad, so your research problem or question is likely to narrow as you read relevant literature.
- 2. Find a number of research articles (empirical studies, and syntheses) that speak to the question you selected. This is an iterative process; as you examine the literature, you will narrow your search by stating (and restating) the research question that defines what you want to know and why.
- 3. Prepare an ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY using five of the most important papers you found. An annotated bibliography is a list of articles (or books) that includes a brief description of the work and an evaluation of its usefulness. The purpose of an annotated bibliography is to provide information about the relevance, utility, and quality of the source **for your purposes**.
- 4. Your annotated bibliography should include a statement of the topic and research question you are investigating and three annotated entries using the format presented in class. References must be in APA format.

Annotated Bibliography Assessment Rubric:

| Levels/Criteria | Exceeds Expectations (4) | Meets Expectations (3) | Approaching Expectations (2) | Falls Below Expectations (1) |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Statement of problem (10%) A clear statement of the problem helps to guide the reader. | | problem which relates generally to a performance gap identified using | but is vaguely | |
| (40%) Articles read and | each research source. Each entry includes an overview of the research (including research question, method | includes a brief overview of the research and an | provide a general overview of research | Annotated entries are severely lacking in detail, rendering them of little use. |
| (10%) | All entries clearly and specifically relate to the research question or problem. | clearly to the research question or | • | |

| Bibliographic entries – quality (10%) Articles used must be worthwhile. | Sources are well balanced, including original research and synthesis pieces from high-quality, credible sources. | from quality sources, but are dominated | are included from | Entries are dominated by material from questionable sources; a review of research is not evident. |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| Bibliographic entries quantity (10%) | Five annotated summaries are presented. | Five annotated summaries are presented. Some references appear incorrect or are in improper format. | Fewer than five annotated summaries are presented or the annotated entries contain numerous incorrect or incomplete references. | Annotated summaries and/or reference list are missing or wholly inadequate. |
| References (10%) | References are complete and presented in APA format. | Purposefully blank. Only scores of 4, 2, or 1 given for this criterion. | The document contains numerous incorrect or incomplete references. | References are omitted entirely. |
| Mechanics (10%) | The paper is nearly error-free which reflects clear understanding and thorough proofreading. | Occasional grammatical errors and questionable word choice are present. | The paper contains errors in grammar and punctuation, but spelling has been proofread. | The paper contains frequent errors in spelling, grammar, and punctuation. |

Writing Assignment 3: IIP Proposal 40 points

Overview:

School leaders are increasingly expected to engage in short-term action research projects to demonstrate the efficacy of school programs and practices. As a part of your internship, you will propose an Internship Improvement Project (IIP) that addresses a problem or "achievement gap" identified through research on your school. Your proposal will describe a specific improvement project that you will **design, implement**, and **evaluate** during your internship, and later analyze in one of your concluding courses. The expectation is that you will lead a team in implementation of this project and plan for at least one round of plan-do-study-act (PDSA) short-cycle inquiry to inform implementation of your project.

Tasks:

- OVERVIEW: The proposal should start with a concise and well thought out description of the achievement gap you have identified through your assessment of student performance and achievement data, followed by a clear **statement of purpose** that generally demonstrates how you intend to address the performance gap. After stating this purpose, include an **overview** of the project that provides a brief description of what you intend to do to implement your proposal.
- 2. RATIONALE: Include a concise and well thought out **rationale** that describes why it is important to address the performance gap you identified, and your espoused theory of action, through a **driver diagram**, that suggests why taking the proposed action will lead to improvement in the targeted area. Be sure to describe how your IIP connects to or reinforces your school's vision and objectives. Use the research literature to support your strategy for addressing the achievement gap you identified.
- 3. INVOLVEMENT: The expectation is that you will be engaging members of your school community in designing and enacting your improvement project. Provide a short summary of who you involved in the creation of this proposal, and which stakeholders you envision involving in the enactment and assessment of the IIP. Describe how you plan to enlist their support and build your team, including means you will use to maintain effective communication throughout the project.
- 4. ACTION PLAN: The proposal must include a clear, step-by-step action plan that defines the objective of the project (i.e., restates your purpose as an action objective), and delineates each of the major tasks that need to be completed during the project; when each task will be completed; who is responsible for each task; the resources needed to complete each task; and specific "success signals" that serve as indicators of the completion of major steps in the project. Use worksheets 9. 1 9.4 from Using Research to Lead School Improvement to help you prepare your action plan.
- 5. PLAN-DO-STUDY-ACT CYCLES: Describe at least one PDSA cycle that will be imbedded into the implementation of your project. Keep in mind that the PDSA cycle should inform how you implement your project, leaving open the possibility that the findings will indicate the need to adapt or abandon part of your plan. It is important to integrate insights and be open to iteration. This section should indicate how you

will use the results to inform implementation, suggesting how you can change course mid-implementation in response to findings from the PDSA cycle. Specify the exact plan for at least your first PDSA cycle using the provided worksheet as a planning tool.

- 6. BUDGET: Specify why the project will be cost neutral for your school or, if there are extra costs, how these costs will be covered. This should be a short narrative presentation (you do not need budget codes, etc.). Describe existing resources that are already in your school/division budget, any additional resources that would need to be procured, and how those resources will be procured.
- 7. EVALUATION PLAN: Include a narrative explanation of how you plan to evaluate your project, which includes a) the specific indicators you will be examining to determine impact of the project on student performance or on the learning environment; b) a description of how and when you plan to collect data about these indicators, and c) a brief description of the analysis you plan to conduct to examine these data in order to ascertain the impact of the project on your intended outcomes.
- 8. CONSEQUENCE ANALYSIS: In closing, briefly discuss the advantages and the potential limitations of the project. In particular, conduct a **consequence analysis** to predict any issues that might arise during implementation, or any limitations you might face in terms of using the evaluation design to draw trustworthy inferences about the effectiveness of the project. If possible, include reference to issues raised in the literature.

NOTE: The proposal is not an essay, per se; it can be written using each of the sections listed above, and some information can be presented in bullets (e.g., a listing of outcomes measured) or in tables (e.g., the action plan). There will be some redundancy (e.g., you might describe the PDSA cycle in your action plan and in that section, the description of involvement likely will be reflected in your action plan). Your audience for this proposal is your principal – imagine that you are presenting this document to him/her, and lobbying for adoption of this project (which you will lead).

Your IIP Proposal should be no more than 15 pages (not including cover page and reference list), and should include citations and a reference list in APA format.

| Levels/ Criteria | Exceeds Expectations (4) | Mets Expectations (3) | Approaching Expectations (2) | Falls Below Expectations (1) |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Statement of purpose and overview of project: Use of data to identify SIP topic that relates to and supports the school's vision and objectives (NELP 1.1, 1.2) (10%) | The proposal begins with a clear statement of purpose, which relates specifically to a problem identified by focusing on variation in performance using data that could include assessment results, surveys, empathy interviews, demographic data, and analysis of school and community needs. A concise, but thorough description of the proposed project is provided that spells out the actions proposed to drive improvement. | The proposal begins with a statement of purpose which relates generally to a problem identified using performance data. A brief description of the proposed project is provided. | The statement of purpose and/or description of the project are evident, but is vaguely worded or poorly spelled out. It is difficult to discern a clear focus of the project. | The statement of purpose and/or project description is missing or wholly inadequate. |
| Rationale: Use of research- supported strategies to promote continual and sustainable improvement (NELP 1.1, 1.2) (15%) | The proposal includes a concise and well supported rationale that describes the nature of the problem being addressed, why the problem is important, and how taking the proposed action is intended to drive improvement through a driver diagram. Specific, research is presented in support of the strategy selected to address the identified problem. | The proposal includes a rationale that describes the nature of the problem being addressed and why the problem is important to the attainment of the school's vision, but it is somewhat unclear about how taking the proposed action is intended to lead to improvement. Research supporting the general improvement strategy is referenced. | The proposal includes a rationale, but only generally connects the proposed action to mitigation of the identified problem. Research supporting the proposed action is weakly presented or not evident. | The rationale is weak or wholly inadequate. It is not clear how enacting the proposed project relates to mitigation of the identified problem. |

EDLE 690 SIP Proposal Assessment Rubric

| Involvement: Identification and formation of team to distribute leadership (NELP 6.2) Understand and sustain a culture of trust, collaboration and high expectations for students (NELP 7.2) (10%) | The proposal clearly describes which stakeholders will be involved in enactment, monitoring, and evaluation of the SIP. All stakeholders who are important to the success of the project are involved. Team member roles and responsibilities are outlined. The proposal clearly describes how the candidate will build a collaborative team to promote improvement goals, and build trust throughout enactment, monitoring, and evaluation of the SIP. | The proposal describes the primary stakeholders who will be involved in enactment of the SIP. One or more groups whose involvement may be important are omitted. Attributes of team organization are described in general terms. The proposal describes some ways the candidate will build a collaborative team and build trust in enactment, monitoring, and evaluation of the SIP. | The proposal is unclear about stakeholders' involvement in enactment of the SIP, or fails to mention groups who are obviously important to the success of the project. Attributes of team organization are referenced in general terms. The proposal is unclear about ways collaboration and involvement will be fostered throughout the project. | Stakeholder involvement in planning and/or implementation is not evident. The proposal is silent with regard to stakeholder involvement and/or trust building. |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Action Plan: Development of action plan to guide the implementation of SIP (NELP 6.1) (15%) | The proposal includes a clear and well thought out action plan that focuses on effective deployment of human, fiscal, and material resources to guide the implementation of the SIP. The plan thoroughly delineates each of the major tasks to be accomplished in enacting the project; approximately when each task will be completed; who is involved in accomplishing each task; the resources needed to complete each task. | The proposal includes an action plan that describes how human, fiscal, and material resources will be used to implement the SIP. The plan delineates most of the major tasks needed to enact the project; approximately when various tasks will be completed; who is involved in accomplishing each task; the resources needed to complete each task. Some necessary tasks or implementation details are vaguely described or missing. | The action plan includes details tasks, time lines, persons responsible, and resources, but does so in a fashion that is unlikely to result in successful deployment of human, fiscal, and material resources to accomplish the stated purpose. Significant tasks are inadequately spelled out or are missing entirely. | The action plan is poorly organized, severely lacking in detail, or wholly missing. It is entirely unclear how any proposed actions can result in successful implementation of the project. |

| Plan-Do- | Clear mapping of at | At least one PDSA | One or more | The PDSA cycle |
|--|--|---|--|-------------------|
| Study-Act | least one PDSA cycle | cycle is described | essential | is not described. |
| Study-Act Cycles: Inclusion of appropriate inquiry cycle plans (NELP 7.4) (10%) | that is inserted within the implementation phase of the proposed school improvement plans. Specify what data will be collected, by who, | with complete information except it is unclear how the results of the PDSA cycle will inform changes to the implementation of | essential elements for planning the PDSA cycle is missing. | is not described. |
| | from whom, when the data will be collected, how the data will be analyzed, and how the results will inform continued implementation of the school improvement project. | the school improvement project. | | |

| Eveluetions | Creatific lagring | Constitue of a second | The property | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Evaluation: | Specific lagging, | Specific measures | The proposal | The outcomes |
| Plan to monitor | leading, process | are identified and | makes general | associated with |
| and evaluate | measures are | described that | reference to the | the project are |
| the project | identified and | could be used to | kinds of | not specified, or |
| (NELP 1.2, | described that will be | monitor and | outcomes sought, | outcomes that do |
| 3.1, 3.3, 7.4) | used to monitor and | evaluate the impact | but specific | not relate to the |
| (10%) | evaluate the | of the project. | measures of | identified |
| | implementation and | Measures used to | implementation | performance gap |
| | impact of the project. | monitor | fidelity and/or | are proposed. |
| | Each indicator is | implementation | project outcomes | The evaluation |
| | demonstrably | fidelity are unclear. | are not clearly | plan is poorly |
| | connected to either | A plan to monitor | identified. A plan | organized, lacks |
| | monitoring | and evaluate the | to monitor and | sufficient detail, |
| | implementation of the | project is | evaluate the | or is wholly |
| | project or mitigating | presented, which | project is | inadequate to |
| | the identified | specifies how data | presented, but it | support the |
| | problem. A clear, well | related to most of | lacks specificity | evaluation of the |
| | developed plan to | the identified | and/or is not | project. |
| | monitor and evaluate | educational | clearly connected | . , |
| | the project is | indicators will be | to the espoused | |
| | presented, which | collected, | objectives of the | |
| | specifies how data | approximately when | SIP. Steps that | |
| | related to each | these data will be | will be taken to | |
| | educational indicator | collected, and how | collect and | |
| | will be collected, | they will be | analyze various | |
| | approximately when | analyzed. The | data are unclear, | |
| | these data will be | evaluation plan | as are methods | |
| | collected, and how | includes general | that will be used | |
| | they will be analyzed. | steps that will be | to monitor | |
| | The evaluation plan | taken to monitor | implementation | |
| | includes steps that | implementation and | and to | |
| | will be taken to | to assess | summatively | |
| | examine and adjust | summatively the | assess the | |
| | the project during | efficacy of the | efficacy of the | |
| | enactment (i.e., | project. | project. | |
| | monitor | p.0,000. | p.0,000. | |
| | implementation) and | | | |
| | to assess | | | |
| | summatively the | | | |
| | efficacy of the project | | | |
| | in terms of reducing | | | |
| | the identified | | | |
| | | | | |
| | performance gap. | l | | |

| Consequence analysis: Identification of potential issues related to enactment of plan within the school and schoolThe proposal concludes with a detailed analysis of the benefits and limitations of the proposed project design, highlighting possible issues relating to enactment of the plan within the school context(10%)Community. Advantages and disadvantages of the project and evaluation design are highlighted, including an assessment of issues relating to the involvement and support of important stakeholders within the school | involvement of important stakeholders. Obvious advantages and disadvantages of the project and evaluation design are identified. Select issues related to implementation fidelity and trustworthiness of the research evaluation design is explored, though some important | The proposal concludes with a cursory analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed design. Issues of stakeholder involvement, implementation fidelity, and trustworthiness are only superficially addressed. | The proposal concludes with a general restatement of the project's purpose and/or description, but lacks any reasonable reflection on the strengths or weaknesses of the proposed design. A consequence analysis is not evident. |
|---|---|---|--|
|---|---|---|--|

| Budget: Use of new and existing resources to facilitate SIP (NELP 6.2) (5%) | The proposal includes a brief budget summary that describes how the project will be cost neutral with an explanation for why the project comes at no additional cost, or specifically demonstrates the ability to identify and procure resources to facilitate the implementation of your project. Include a discussion of how authority to use these resources has been or will be procured for both in-kind and additional expenditures. This description includes all aspects of the action plan that would involve additional or in-kind expenditures. | The proposal includes a brief budget summary. Funding needed to accomplish the project is identified; a description of any existing resources that will be devoted to the project is outlined; and a discussion of how authority to use these resources has been or will be procured is described. | A budget summary is presented, but it is lacking or is missing necessary components. The use of existing resources is not well thought out, and/or procedures for leveraging these resources are undeveloped or missing. | The budget is poorly organized, severely lacking in detail, or wholly inadequate to support the objective and action plan described. |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Support: Use available knowledge related to current and emerging trends (NELP 3.1 – 3.3) (5%) | Specific, developed ideas and/or evidence from research are used to support the selection of the improvement target and the strategy identified for addressing it | Supporting research used to support the project lacks specificity or is loosely developed. | General supporting ideas or evidence are presented. | Few to no solid supporting ideas or evidence from research are included. |
| Organization of proposal: (5%) | The proposal is powerfully organized and fully developed. | The proposal includes logical progression of ideas aided by clear transitions. | The proposal includes brief skeleton (introduction, body, and conclusion) but lacks effective transitions. | The proposal lacks a logical progression of ideas. |
| Mechanics and APA: (5%) | The proposal is nearly error-free, which reflects clear understanding of APA and thorough proofreading. | Occasional grammatical errors and questionable word choice are present. | Errors in grammar and punctuation are present, but spelling has been proofread. | The proposal contains frequent errors in spelling, grammar, and punctuation. |

Class Participation Assessment Rubric (20 Points)

| | 4 Exceeds Expectations | 3 Meets Expectations | 2 Approaches Expectations | 1 Falls Below Expectations |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| interaction questions, comments, | Most queries are specific and on target. Deeply involved in whole class and group discussions. | Often has specific queries, stays involved in class discussion. | about deadlines, procedures, | Rarely interacts with the instructor or class mates in an appropriate manner |
| Effort (2.5 points) | appropriate and | Willingly participates with instructor and classmates. Engages others. | participates when asked (rarely volunteers) Seeks easiest duties within | Actively avoids involvement. Complains about others and uses excuses to explain deficiencies. |
| class (3 points) | preparation regularly by referring to previous learning, text and other sources to contribute to class discussion and is | Demonstrates preparation regularly by referring to previous learning, text and other sources to contribute to class discussion. | readiness | Is unable to demonstrate readiness for class |
| school-based and | satisfactorily completed and | All assignments are satisfactorily completed and most are submitted on time. | assignments are satisfactorily | Most assignments are not satisfactorily completed. |