COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY



EDEP 824 001 3 credits Research Project in Educational Psychology: Sequence II Fall 2021 Tuesday 4:30-7:10 PM Thompson Hall, Room 1010

Instructors: Dr. Angela Miller Office phone: 703-993-5590 Office location: West 2202 Office hours: Monday 3:00pm- 4:00pm & by appointment Email addresses: <u>amille35@gmu.edu</u> (email is preferred mode of contact)

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

A. Prerequisites: EDEP820, EDEP821, EDEP822, EDEP 823 (if doctoral focus is educational psychology). Doctoral students of other areas may take without prerequisites.

B. Focuses on development and implementation of research studies in educational psychology. Students acquire skills regarding collecting, analyzing, and interpreting data. Second in two-course sequence.

NATURE OF COURSE DELIVERY:

This course consists of lectures, group and electronic discussions, in class activities, and individual/group assignments.

This course will be delivered using a seminar in-person format.

LEARNER OUTCOMES:

This course is designed to enable students to:

- conduct statistical analyses using SPSS
- conduct qualitative analyses
- demonstrate an understanding of software such as NVIVO for qualitative analyses
- interpret findings
- report and discuss research findings
- discuss educational applications and limitations of enacted research study discuss future research in the area of interest
- write a proposal for a conference submission
- develop manuscript for presentation/publication

Educational Psychology Program Standards (revised May 2021)

Standard 1. Candidates will use their knowledge and skills to apply concepts, principles, and theories of learning, cognition, motivation, and development to analyze and design innovative educational activities in diverse applied settings.

Standard 2. Candidates will apply their knowledge of quantitative and qualitative research methods, including basic concepts, principles, techniques, and ethical issues, to read and critique relevant products of research.

Standard 3. Candidates will apply their knowledge and skills of quantitative and qualitative research methods, including basic concepts, principles, techniques, and ethical issues, to conduct research and/or inform practice in diverse applied settings.

Standard 4. Candidates will demonstrate oral and written communication relevant to educational psychology, including knowledge and use of APA style and professional formats (e.g., oral presentations, poster presentations, article abstracts, literature reviews, research proposals, reports).

Standard 5. Candidates will demonstrate professional dispositions relevant to educational psychology such as critical thinking, collaboration, interpersonal communication, intercultural competence, ethical leadership, professionalism, and technological skills.

REQUIRED TEXTS:

- 1) American Psychological Association (2009). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th Edition). Washington DC: American Psychological Association.
- 2) Goodson, P. (2017). *Becoming an academic writer* (2nd ed.) Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- 3) Other required readings will be available on Blackboard or through GMU's electronic journal or book database.
- 4) Suggested texts:

If doing a quantitative study: EDRS 811 and EDRS 821 texts

If doing a qualitative study:

Saldana, J. (2015). The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers (3rd ed). SAGE.

Miles, M.B., Huberman, M. & Saldana, J. (2013). Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA:

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time in the manner outlined by the instructor (e.g., Blackboard, VIA, hard copy).

It is expected that each student will:

- 1. Read all assigned materials for the course
- 2. Critique, present/discuss assigned readings in class *
- 3. Participate in classroom activities that reflect critical reading of materials
- 4. Complete a study, write a conference proposal, and present in a poster session *
- 5. Attend each class session

Assignments

- *Critique of an Empirical Article:* Students will locate and write a critique of an empirical research article. The article selected should use the *same methodological approach* as the student's study and be approved by the course instructors. Students may use an article that is not new to them but the article cannot be submitted for an assignment in an advanced methods class.
- *Research Paper-Sequence II:* Students will use their research proposal and data collection from Sequence I and continue with the research and writing process. The final paper should now include the following: 1) <u>Revised</u> Introduction/Literature Review, 2) <u>Revised</u> Methods, including data analysis plan, 3) Findings/Results, 4) Discussion, 5) Educational Applications and Limitations, and 6) Suggestions for Future Research. Some of these sections are submitted as smaller assignments and the full research proposal will be submitted as a final paper. All papers must adhere to the APA Publication Manual Guidelines.
- *Research Paper Presentation-Sequence II.* Students will present components of their ongoing work orally in brief presentations throughout the semester and on their final research project in a poster session.
- *Target journal selection/ Rationale/Guidelines.* Students will select an appropriate peer reviewed journal for their work. A short, written rationale will be submitted along with notes on guidelines for that journal.
- *Conference Proposal:* Students will locate a conference suitable to submit their work to and identify submission criteria. All students will write a conference proposal submission using the American Educational Research Association (AERA) guidelines. Students are encouraged to submit their work to a relevant conference for presentation.

Other Requirements

• *Class participation and attendance policy:* Because of the importance of lecture and discussion to your total learning experience, we encourage you to both attend and participate in class regularly. Attendance, punctuality, preparation, and active contribution to small and large group efforts are essential. These elements of your behavior will reflect the professional attitude implied in the course goals and will account for 10% of your course grade. With reference to the grading scale described later in this syllabus, you will note that this percentage is equivalent to a full letter

grade. Students who must miss a class must notify the instructor (preferably in advance) and are responsible for completing all assignments and readings for the next class. Late assignments will not be accepted unless a serious emergency arises and the instructor is notified promptly.

| Written Article Critique | 10% |
|--|-----|
| Revised Intro/Literature Review/ Purpose | 5% |
| Revised Methods and Data Analysis Plan | 5% |
| Results | 10% |
| Discussion | 10% |
| Conference Submission Proposal | 15% |
| Target journal selection/ Rationale/Guidelines | 5% |
| Poster Presentation (5% poster & 5% presentation) | 10% |
| Final Research Paper: Sequence II (with all previous comments addressed) | 20% |
| Class Participation and Attendance | 10% |

| A+ | 98-100% | А | 93-97% | A- | 90-92% |
|----|---------|---|-----------|----|--------|
| B+ | 88-89% | В | 83-87% | B- | 80-82% |
| С | 70-79% | F | below 70% | | |

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/).
 - Students must not give or receive unauthorized assistance.
 - Plagiarism is also a violation of the honor code. Please note that:
 - "Plagiarism encompasses the following:
 - 1. Presenting as one's own the words, the work, or the opinions of someone else without proper acknowledgment.
 - 2. Borrowing the sequence of ideas, the arrangement of material, or the pattern of thought of someone else without proper acknowledgment." (from Mason Honor Code online at

http://mason.gmu.edu/~montecin/plagiarism.htm)

- Paraphrasing involves taking someone else's ideas and putting them in your own words. When you paraphrase, you need to cite the source.
- When material is copied word for word from a source, it is a direct quotation.
 You must use quotation marks (or block indent the text) and cite the source.
- Electronic tools (e.g., SafeAssign) may be used to detect plagiarism if necessary.
- Plagiarism and other forms of academic misconduct are treated seriously and may result in disciplinary actions.
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see https://ds.gmu.edu/).
- Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to VIA should be directed to <u>viahelp@gmu.edu</u> or <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/assessments</u>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <u>https://its.gmu.edu/knowledge-base/blackboard-</u> instructional-technology-support-for-students/.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see <u>https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus</u>

Professional Dispositions

See https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <u>http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/</u>.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <u>https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/</u>.

TENTATIVE CLASS SCHEDULE

| Date | Class | Торіс | Readings and Assignments Due |
|-------|-------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | (additional readings will be added |
| | | | based on student projects) |
| 8/24 | 1 | Overview | |
| | | Review Syllabus | |
| | | Updates and Timeline/Plan | |
| 8/31 | | Individual Meetings with Instructor | Submit two articles that use the same |
| | | (No Class Meeting)-get | analysis as your study for instructor |
| | | organized/work on revising | review by Friday 9/3 |
| | | proposal/plan from spring | |
| 9/7 | 2 | Organizing Data | Bring approved article to class |
| | | | Revised Intro/Lit Rev/Purpose |
| 9/14 | 3 | Data Analysis Plan Workshop | Draft of Revised Data Analysis Plan |
| | | | Article Critique due |
| 9/21 | 4 | Data Work Night | Applebaum et al. (2018) |
| | | APA Reporting Standards | Levitt et al. (2018) |
| 9/28 | 5 | Data Work Night | Bring two articles that use your |
| | | Writing Results | method of analysis |
| | | | Revised Methods/Analysis Plan |
| 10/5 | 6 | Troubleshooting Results | ř |
| 10/12 | 7 | No Class Meeting—(Mon. classes | Draft Results due |
| | | meet on Tues) | |
| 10/19 | 8 | Preparing research for conference | Read AERA proposal guidelines |
| | | submission | Identity two potential conferences to |
| | | Peer-review for conferences and | submit your work to; bring |
| | | manuscripts | submission guidelines |
| 10/26 | 9 | Conference Proposal Workshop | Draft conference submission |
| 11/2 | 10 | Writing Discussion, Limitations, and | Results due no later than Friday |
| | | Future Directions | 11/5 |
| 11/9 | 11 | Publication Workshop | Identify three potential outlets for |
| | | _ | your publication and bring the |
| | | | guidelines for authors |
| | | | Conference Submission due 11/12 |
| 11/16 | 12 | Work night-Wrapping things up | Discussion due 11/20 |
| | | (Individual Meetings start 11/18) | |
| 11/00 | 12 | | |
| 11/23 | 13 | Individual Meetings (Doodle Signup) | Journal Selection/Rationale Due |
| 11/30 | 14 | Poster Session | Poster in class 11/30 |
| | | | |

EDEP 824 Page 7

| | LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| CRITERIA | Distinguished | Proficient | Basic | Un-satisfactory | |
| Purpose & Significance of the Study Review of Literature | The student described in detail the purpose and significance of study. The student provided a complete high quality literature review which cites relevant references, cites current as well as earlier research and deals with relevant theories or theoretical frameworks | The student described in detail the purpose and significance of study. The student provided a quality literature review citing relevant research | The student described in detail the purpose of the study. The student provided a basic literature review | The student was unable to provide purpose of the study or a literature review | |
| Quantitative Proposals: Hypothesis | The student clearly stated a significant and testable hypothesis. The hypothesis is important enough to be researched in terms of its significance concerning what is already known about the areas of research and/or an existing theory or theoretical framework | The student stated a significant and testable hypothesis. | The student stated a testable hypothesis. | The student was unable to state a hypothesis. | |
| Qualitative research proposals: Research Questions | The student provided a research question well- aligned with the other dimensions of the research design, a sufficient rationale for choosing a particular question, and described a process that allows for evolution of the research question | The student provided a research question well-aligned with other dimensions of the research design. | The student provided a research question somewhat aligned to the other dimensions of the research design. | The student failed to provide a research question. | |
| Methods: Quantitative | The student provided a feasible design which maximizes the reliability and validity of the measures of her/his variables. The design of the included design, procedures, sample measures | The student provided a feasible design which addresses the reliability and validity of the measures of her/his variables. The design of the study will include design, procedures, sample measures | The student provided a design which includes design, procedures, sample measures. | The student was unable to provide a design for the study | |

| | | | | EDEP 824 Page 8 |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Methods: Qualitative | The student provided clear and justifiable rationale for the selection of a study site/sample, an outline of data collection procedures that are flexible and iterative, and an account of how the process of analysis will be approached. | The student provided sufficient rationale for the selection of the study site/sample, outlined data collection procedures and gave an account of how the process of analysis will be approached. | The student provided some rationale for sample selection, data collection and some outline of procedures and analysis. | The student failed to provide a rationale for sample selection, data collection and analysis. |
| Data Collection and Results | The student used appropriate statistical techniques, descriptive, inferential to analyze the data (quantitative research). OR the student used appropriate coding and interpretive procedures (qualitative research) and provided detailed interpretation of findings. | The student used appropriate statistical techniques or qualitative analysis techniques and adequately interpreted findings. | The student used appropriate statistical techniques or qualitative analysis techniques with some errors in analysis or interpretation. | The student was unable to use appropriate statistical techniques or qualitative analysis techniques. |
| Discussion Section | The student provided a thorough discussion which includes a discussion of the results, limitation and future research and limitations of the findings | The student provided discussion which includes a discussion of the results, limitation and future research | The student provided a basic discussion of the results | The student was unable to provide a thorough or discussion |
| Mechanics of Paper | The student provided a well written research papers which adhered to the APA Publication Manual Guidelines. | The student provided an average written research papers which adhered to the APA Publication Manual Guidelines. | The student provided a research paper which missed several vital elements of a research paper outlined in the APA Publication Manual Guidelines. | The student was unable to provide a final draft of the research paper |
| Poster Session | The student provided an excellent poster presentation that adhered to the APA Publication Manual Guidelines. | The student provided a poster presentation that adhered to the APA Publication Manual Guidelines. | The student provided a poster presentation that was missing several key elements | The student was unable to construct a poster presentation |