George Mason University College of Education and Human Development Teaching Culturally & Linguistically Diverse and Exceptional Learners



EDCI 520 - Section DL1- Assessment of Language Learners

3 Credits – Fall 2022 Tuesdays/4:30 – 7:10 pm, Online – Synchronous August 22 to December 6, 2022

Faculty

Name: Lorraine Valdez Pierce, Ph.D.

Office Hours: Virtual office hours - by appointment

Email: LPIERCE@gmu.edu

Prerequisites/Corequisites

Required Prerequisites: EDCI 519 or 560 with a minimum grade of B- or EDCI 519 or 560 may be taken concurrently.

University Catalog Course Description

Examines innovative approaches to assessing language learners. Topics include identification, placement, monitoring of student progress, development of authentic performance-based measures, design of portfolios, application of measurement concepts, analysis of assessment instruments, and linking assessment to instruction. Fieldwork hours are required.

Course Overview

EDCI 520 introduces basic principles and current, innovative approaches to assessment of language learners in ESL, bilingual education, foreign language, and grade-level classrooms in grades PK-12, adult education, and university programs. The principles introduced in this course are also applicable to native speakers of English in general education classrooms.

Among the topics addressed are: ensuring reliability and validity of inferences based on assessments; interpreting assessment results from a variety of sources in order to make instructional decisions; reviewing language proficiency tests; using language proficiency tests for making program placement decisions; designing and using formative, summative, and diagnostic classroom-based assessments; assessing language skills – listening, speaking, reading, and writing; scaffolding assessments in the content areas; developing scoring rubrics and other performance-based assessments; engaging students in peer and self-assessment; writing multiple-choice test items; and becoming familiar with accommodations and accessibility features appropriate for language learners taking standardized tests. Students will have opportunities to both critically examine assessment tools used in current practice and to develop their own.

This course is required for both ESL and World/Foreign Language teacher licensure as well as for meeting endorsement requirements for teachers who are already licensed.

Course Delivery Method

This course will be delivered online (76% or more) using a synchronous format via the Blackboard Learning Management system (LMS) housed in the MyMason portal. You will log in to the Blackboard (Bb) course site using your Mason email name (everything before @gmu.edu) and email password. The course site will be available on Aug. 19.

Under no circumstances, may candidates/students participate in online class sessions (either by phone or Internet) while operating motor vehicles. Further, as expected in a face-to-face class meeting, such online participation requires undivided attention to course content and communication.

Technical Requirements

To participate in this course, students will need to satisfy the following technical requirements:

- High-speed Internet access with standard up-to-date browsers. To get a list of Blackboard's supported browsers see:
 - https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting_Started/Browser_Support#supported-browsers
 To get a list of supported operation systems on different devices see:
 - https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Getting_Started/Browser_Support#tested-devices-and-operating-systems
- Students must maintain consistent and reliable access to their Mason email and Blackboard, as these are the official methods of communication for this course.
- Students may need a headset microphone for use with the Zoom or Blackboard Collaborate web conferencing tool.

• Students may be asked to create logins and passwords on supplemental websites and/or to download trial software to their computer or tablet as part of course requirements.

- The following software plug-ins for PCs and Macs, respectively, are available for free download:
 - Adobe Acrobat Reader: https://get.adobe.com/reader/
 - Windows Media Player:
 https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/help/14209/get-windows-media-player
 - Apple Quick Time Player: <u>www.apple.com/quicktime/download/</u>

Expectations

• <u>Course Week:</u> Our course week will begin on the day that our synchronous meetings take place as indicated on the Schedule of Classes (Tuesdays).

• Log-in Frequency:

Students must actively check the course Blackboard site and their Mason email for communications from the instructor, class discussions, and/or access to course materials <u>at least 3 times per week.</u> In addition, students must log-in for all scheduled online synchronous meetings.

Participation:

Students are expected to actively engage in all course activities throughout the semester, which includes viewing all course materials, completing course activities and assignments, and participating in course discussions and group interactions.

• <u>Technical Competence:</u>

Students are expected to demonstrate competence in the use of all course technology. Students who are struggling with technical components of the course are expected to seek assistance from the instructor and/or College or University technical services.

Technical Issues:

Students should anticipate some technical difficulties during the semester and should, therefore, budget their time accordingly. Late work will not be accepted based on individual technical issues.

• Workload:

Please be aware that this course is **not self-paced**. Students are expected to meet **specific deadlines** and **due dates** listed in the **Class Schedule** section of this syllabus. It is each student's responsibility to keep track of the weekly course schedule of topics, readings, activities, and assignments due.

Instructor Support:

Students may schedule a one-on-one meeting to discuss course requirements, content, or other course-related issues with the instructor via telephone or web conference. Students should email the instructor to schedule a one-on-one session, including their preferred meeting format and suggested dates/times.

• Netiquette:

The course environment is a collaborative space. Experience shows that even an innocent remark typed in the online environment can be misconstrued. Students must always re-read their responses carefully before posting them, so that others do not consider them as personal offenses. *Be positive in*

your approach with others and diplomatic in selecting your words. Remember that you are not competing with classmates but sharing information and learning from others. All faculty are similarly expected to be respectful in all communications.

• <u>Accommodations:</u> Online learners who require effective accommodations to ensure accessibility must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services.

Learner Outcomes

This course is designed to enable teacher candidates to do the following:

- 1. Apply assessment principles and terminology to assessment design;
- 2. *Critically review language proficiency tests* for assessment purpose, validity and reliability, and score interpretation;
- 3. Analyze and interpret results from a variety of assessment sources and use the information for planning instruction;
- 4. Develop standards-based, formative assessments;
- 5. Develop classroom-based assessments of both language and content;
- 6. Develop a variety of assessment formats, from multiple-choice tests to performance-based assessments and from paper to technology-based;
- 7. Provide scaffolding to assessments based on language proficiency level of the learners;
- 8. Demonstrate knowledge of accommodations, accessibility features, and administrative considerations for language learners taking state standardized tests.

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

Upon completion of this course, students will have met the following professional standards:

Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) Standards

STANDARD 1: KNOWLEDGE ABOUT LANGUAGE. Candidates demonstrate knowledge of English language structures, English language use, second language acquisition and development, and language processes to help English language learners (ELLs) acquire academic language and literacies specific to various content areas.

STANDARD 4: ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION. Candidates apply assessment principles to analyze and interpret multiple and varied assessments for ELLs, including classroom-based, standardized, and language proficiency assessments. Candidates understand how to analyze and interpret data to make informed decisions that promote English language and content learning. Candidates understand the importance of communicating results to other educators, ELLs, and ELLs' families.

American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL) Standards

STANDARD 4: INTEGRATION OF STANDARDS IN PLANNING AND INSTRUCTION. Candidates in foreign language teacher preparation programs understand and use the national *Standards for Foreign Language Learning in the 21st Century* (2006) or their recently refreshed version *World-Readiness Standards for Learning Languages* (2015) and their state standards to make instructional decisions. Candidates demonstrate an understanding of the standards and integrate them into their curriculum planning. They design instructional practices and classroom experiences that address these standards. Candidates use the principles embedded in the standards to select and integrate authentic materials and technology, as well as to adapt and create materials, to support communication in their classrooms.

STANDARD 5: ASSESSMENT OF LANGUAGES AND CULTURES – IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING. Candidates in foreign language teacher preparation programs design ongoing assessments using a variety of assessment models to show evidence of P-12 students' ability to communicate in the instructed language in interpretive, interpersonal, and presentational modes; and to express understanding of cultural and literary products, practices, and perspectives of the instructed language. Candidates reflect on results of assessments, adjust instruction, and communicate results to stakeholders.

<u>International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE - Standards-T)</u>

Standard 2 - Design and Develop Digital Age Learning Experiences and Assessments: Teachers design, develop, and evaluate authentic learning experiences and assessment incorporating contemporary tools and resources to maximize content learning in context and to develop the knowledge, skills, and attitudes, identified in the standards. [2a, 2b, 2c, 2d].

Standard 3 - Model Digital Age Work and Learning: _Teachers exhibit knowledge, skills, and work processes representative of an innovative professional in a global and digital society. [3a, 3b, 3d].

InTASC Standards

Standard 1: Learner Development. The teacher understands how learners grow and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

Standard 6: Assessment. The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learning progress, and to guide the teacher's and learner's decision making.

REQUIRED TEXTS

Brookhart, S. M. (2013). *How to create & use rubrics for formative assessment and grading*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision & Curriculum Development (ASCD).

Brown, H. D., & Abeywickrama, P. (2019). *Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices*, 3rd ed. White Plains, NY: Pearson.

RECOMMENDED READING

- Basterra, M., Trumbull, E., & Solano-Flores, G. (2011). *Cultural validity in assessment: Addressing linguistic and cultural diversity*. New York: Routledge.
- Black, P. & D. Wiliam. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 80 (2), 139-148.
- Chappuis, J. & Stiggins, R.J. (2016). *An introduction to student-involved assessment FOR learning* (7th ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Chappuis, J., Stiggins, R., Chappuis, S. & J. Arter. (2011). *Classroom assessment for student learning*, 2nd Ed. New York: Pearson.
- Depka, E. (2019). Letting data lead: How to design, analyze, and respond to classroom assessment. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.
- Fairbairn, S. & Jones-Vo, S. (2019). *Differentiating instruction & assessment for English language learners*. Philadelphia, PA: Caslon Publishing.
- Fumiyo, N. & Berry, V. (2021). Use of innovative technology in oral language assessment. Assessment in education: Principles, Policy, & Practice, 28(4), 343-349. https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2021.2004530
- Herrera, S. G., K. G. Murry, & R. M Cabral. (2012). *Assessment accommodations for classroom teachers of culturally and linguistically diverse students*, 2nd ed. New York: Pearson.
- Heritage, M. (2010). Formative assessment: Making it happen in the classroom. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Hughes, A. & J. Hughes. (2020). Testing for language teachers, 3rd ed. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Jones, J. & D. Wiliam. (2014). *Modern foreign languages inside the black box: Assessment for learning in the modern foreign language classroom*. West Palm Beach, FL: Learning Sciences International.
- Lys, F. (2013). The Development of Advanced Learner Oral Proficiency Using iPads. Language Learning & Technology, 17(3), 94-116. https://doi.org/10125/44341

Mihai, F. M. (2017). *Assessing English learners in the content areas*, 2nd ed. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

- O'Malley, J.M. & Pierce, L. V. (1996). Authentic assessment for English language learners: Practical approaches for teachers. Boston: Pearson Longman.
- Pierce, L.V. (2018). Assessment. In C. Ovando & M.C. Combs (Eds.), *Bilingual and ESL classrooms* (6th ed.) (pp. 283-344). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Pierce, L.V. (2003) Assessing English Language Learners. Washington, DC: National Education Association.
- Popham, W. J. (2018). Classroom assessment: What teachers need to know. 8th ed. New York: Pearson.
- Reutzel, D. R. & R. B. Cooter, Jr. (2010). 4th ed. *Strategies for reading assessment and instruction: Helping every child succeed.* Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Shiel, T. K. (2017). Designing & using performance tasks. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Tuttle, H.G. & A. Tuttle. (2011). *Improving foreign language speaking through formative assessment.* New York: Routledge.

Resources Available on Blackboard

Additional Required Readings, sample course projects by previous students

Discussion Board, Collaborate Ultra, Kaltura Capture (upload audio and video recordings)

Recommended Resources

TESOL PreK-12 English Language Proficiency Standards Framework

https://www.tesol.org/docs/books/bk prek-12elpstandards framework 318.pdf?sfvrsn=2

ACTFL World Readiness Standards for Learning Languages

https://www.actfl.org/resources/world-readiness-standards-learning-languages

Assessment & Evaluation Language Resource Center (world languages) https://aelrc.georgetown.edu/

WIDA English Language Development Standards Framework https://wida.wisc.edu/teach/standards/eld

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time and in the manner outlined by the instructor in this syllabus and on Blackboard.

Assignments

| Assignment Description | Due Date | Percent of Grade |
|--|-------------|------------------|
| 1. Discussion Board & Quizzes | Weekly | 25% |
| 2. Language Proficiency Assessment Project | Wk 6: 9/27 | 25% |
| 3. Data Analysis Module | Wk 11: 11/1 | 20% |
| 4. Classroom-Based Assessment Project | Wk 16: 12/9 | 30% |

Due Dates & Submitting Assignments to Blackboard

- <u>Language Proficiency Assessment Project, Data Analysis Module, and CBA Project</u> Upload under ASSESSMENTS by 11:59 pm of the due date indicated on the class schedule. Upload final versions that are ready to be graded (rather than drafts for feedback).
- **Quizzes and assignments** are due before the start of the following class session; upload to ASSIGNMENTS on Bbd.
- <u>Discussion Board</u> postings are due as indicated on the Course Schedule and on Bbd.

Other Requirements

Attendance & Online Participation

Students are expected to participate in all live online sessions and asynchronous discussions. Not participating in an online discussion module or missing a live class session will be reflected as an absence. **Students with two or more absences will not receive credit for the course**. In case of an emergency or situation that interferes with your participation, please notify the instructor by email as soon as possible.

Please send the instructor an email explaining any planned absence PRIOR to that absence or unplanned absence on the same day of class. It is your responsibility to obtain any materials distributed in any session you may have missed. Repeated tardiness is to be avoided.

Active participation is expected of each candidate during each class session. You are expected to <u>arrive</u> to the session on time and contribute to the activities and discussions by <u>critically analyzing issues</u>, asking <u>questions</u>, or <u>making observations</u> that indicate your thorough preparation for the class. You are responsible for all assigned coursework and readings.

In online synchronous sessions, being completely attentive in the moment (100% attention), listening and taking notes during lectures and peer presentations, and participating in whole class and small group discussions are clear indicators of your active participation.

Submission Requirements

Written papers and PowerPoint slides will be submitted to Blackboard by the specified deadline. Only Word documents and PowerPoints (PDF files only of scanned attachments) will be accepted for work generated by each candidate. No cell phone or other photo images of required documents will be accepted. UPLOAD COURSE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRADED TO BLACKBOARD UNDER ASSESSMENTS (rather than send by email).

<u>Resubmission Policy:</u> Course requirements (summative assessments) that have been graded and returned to you with the instructor's feedback will not be considered for re-submission for a higher grade. The Dean for Student & Academic Affairs strongly discourages this practice.

Naming Your Electronic Files

In this course, please name each electronic file submitted for feedback, for a score or for a grade using the following protocol:

YOUR LAST NAME_FIRST INITIAL_Assignment Title_mmddyy (monthdayyear submitted)

Hernandez F LPA Proj 091522 (abbreviate the title)

LAPTOP/CELL PHONE POLICY

Laptop use in online courses is to be expected, but please limit their use to accessing live class sessions and Blackboard or Zoom. Please refrain from using laptops and cell phones to engage in non-class related tasks (such as checking email) during class discussions, lectures, interactive tasks, and guest or peer presentations – all of which require your full attention. Similarly, cell phone use is not allowed during instructor, guest, or peer presentations, and cell phones must be turned off or silenced (rather than on *Vibrate*) during live class sessions (unless they are being used for accessing the class session). Ask the instructor if you have an emergency request.

GUIDELINES FOR WORKING IN TEAMS

Students working on a team need to carefully plan each team member's role in the project. Each of you should take a lead role, but you should not proceed without getting feedback from your team members and informing them of your progress, consulting them for ideas, and so on. Taking a lead role means that you will be the person primarily responsible for a particular task; it does not mean that you will be working alone. In some cases, team members may decide to take two lead roles each. Each team member will be responsible for learning about all required categories of your selected test.

Late Work Policy

At the graduate level all work is expected to be of high quality (produced electronically as a Word document or PowerPoint file) and submitted on the dates due. Work submitted late may be reduced one letter grade for every day of delay. When you face circumstances (e.g., illness) that prevent you from submitting your work in a timely manner, it is your responsibility to contact the instructor as soon as possible after the circumstances occur and make arrangements to complete your work. It is at the discretion of each instructor to approve late/makeup work.

Course Withdrawal with Dean Approval

For graduate and non-degree students, withdrawal after the last day for dropping a course requires approval by the student's academic dean and is permitted only for nonacademic reasons that prevent course completion (Mason catalog). *Students must contact an academic advisor* in APTDIE to withdraw after the deadline. There is no guarantee that such withdrawals will be permitted.

Incomplete (IN)

This grade may be given to students who are in good standing (have already completed most course requirements with a grade of B or better), but who may be unable to complete scheduled course work for a cause beyond reasonable control. The student must then complete all the requirements by the end of the ninth week of the next semester, not including summer term, and the instructor must turn in the final grade by the end of the 9th week. Unless an explicit written extension is filed with the Registrar's Office by the faculty deadline, the grade of IN is changed by the registrar to an F (Mason catalog). Faculty may grant an incomplete with a contract stating a reasonable amount of time for completing the course at the discretion of the faculty member. The faculty member does not need to allow up to the following semester for the student to complete the course. A copy of the contract will be kept on file in the APTDIE office.

EDCI 520 - Assmt VIA PBA SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS Fall 2022

PERFORMANCE-BASED ASSESSMENT SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT

Every candidate registered for any *Teaching Culturally, Linguistically Diverse & Exceptional Learners* course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit this assessment [the CBA Project], the Fieldwork Log of Hours, and Evaluation Form to VIA through Blackboard (regardless of whether the student is taking the course as an elective, a one-time course or as part of an undergraduate minor). Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in VIA through Blackboard. Failure to submit the assessment to VIA will result in the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required VIA submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

Using VIA through Blackboard - To learn how to login and upload your work using VIA in your Blackboard course, please watch this **video (New Window)** or review these **Powerpoint slides**. Please email **VIAhelp@gmu.edu** with any questions or concerns.

FIELDWORK REQUIREMENT

Field Experience Record and Evaluation

The **field experience** is a **required component** of the teacher preparation program at George Mason University. All students will complete a minimum of **15 hours in field experience** for this course. Documentation of your field experience is required as well as a signed statement from your field experience teacher(s) or supervisor(s). If you are taking more than one course in a semester, you must complete **15** hours per course (e.g., two courses require **30** hours of field experience). This means you may be completing different tasks for different courses in the same placement. Materials and products used for one course cannot be used for another course (e.g., videos, lesson plans, activities, etc.)

*TCLDEL Fieldwork Log of Hours and Evaluation Forms must be uploaded to VIA on Blackboard. These forms are located on Blackboard in your TCLDEL organization site in the "Fieldwork" page.

NOTE: If you are a foreign/world language candidate, you MUST complete all of your fieldwork in a foreign/world language classroom.

In-service teachers: Field experience can often be conducted in your own classroom if you have access to the population of students required for the PBAs and other assignments. Please consult your instructor if you have questions about the viability of your classroom for fieldwork in this class. You must register for your school as your field experience site in the online Field Experience Request form available here: https://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf. You will check the box indicating that: "I will arrange my own field experiences (observations and/or case studies) because I am a full-time contracted school system employee and will complete field experience at my workplace." The deadline to submit your field experience request form (FERF) is the end of Week 2 of class. Failure to do so will result in an unsatisfactory grade for your fieldwork assignment. If you are taking this course as part of a cohort program, please

indicate "TCLDEL Cohort" on your request form FIRST, then select your program and placement location. HINT: Cohort courses have section numbers beginning with "6F" (e.g. EDUC 511.6F1).

Pre-service teachers: If you are not currently working in a K-12 school, you will need to be placed in an appropriate fieldwork setting to complete your required PBAs and fieldwork hours. You must request a fieldwork site using the online Field Experience Request form available here: https://cehd.gmu.edu/endorse/ferf. You will check the box indicating that: I will need George Mason (Clinical Practice Specialist) to arrange a placement for my field experiences (including observations and/or case studies). **The deadline to submit your field experience request form (FERF) is the end of Week 2 of class.** Failure to do so will result in an unsatisfactory grade for your fieldwork assignment. If you are taking this course as part of a cohort program, please indicate "TCLDEL Cohort" on your request form, then select your program and placement location. HINT: Cohort courses have section numbers beginning with "6F" (e.g. EDUC 511.6F1).

Virginia state or county cohort teachers: Cohort Students are required by their district and by TCLDEL to complete field experiences as required by the Virginia Department of Education for this program. Each district has arranged for candidates to be able to work at K-12 grade levels in order to complete all licensure requirements. Please contact your district coordinator for further information.

TCLDEL Fieldwork Log of Hours and Evaluation Assessment

| | Status of Student Work | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|--|
| | 1 | 0 | |
| Fieldwork Log of Hours demonstrates 15 hours of fieldwork completed, with a teacher-mentor or supervisor signature. | Complete | Not Complete | |

NOTE: Failure to submit documentation of successful completion of your fieldwork in a timely manner will make you ineligible to register for coursework, be recommended for licensure, or receive a grade for this course.

Weekly Announcements

Be sure to look for and read weekly announcements posted on Blackboard and also sent to you by email with updates on the Course Schedule, weekly modules, a preview of the coming week, and plans for upcoming projects.

EDCI 520 - Assmt GRADING Fall 2022

George Mason University's course work is measured in terms of quantity and quality. A credit normally represents one hour per week of lecture or recitation or not fewer than two hours per week of laboratory work throughout a semester. The number of credits is a measure of quantity. The grade is a measure of quality. The university-wide system for grading graduate courses is as follows:

| Grade | Grading | Grading | Conversion to | Interpretation |
|------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 4-pt. Rubric | 100-pt. Scale | Mason Grade | |
| | | | Points | |
| A + | 4.0 | =100 | 4.00 | Represents mastery of the subject |
| A | 3.85 | 94-99 | 4.00 | through effort beyond basic |
| A- | 3.7 | 90-93 | 3.67 | requirements |
| B+ | 3.5 | 85-89 | 3.33 | Reflects an understanding of and the |
| В | 3.0 | 80-84 | 3.00 | ability to apply theories and |
| | | | | principles at a basic level |
| C * | 2.0 | 70-79 | 2.00 | Denotes an unacceptable level of |
| F * | <2.0 | <69 | 0.00 | understanding and application of the |
| | | | | basic elements of the course |

Note: "C" is not satisfactory for a licensure course; "F" does not meet requirements of the School of Education. See the University Catalog for details: http://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/academic/grading/

Additional Note on Grading: Each instructor's grading policy is based on (a) a professional philosophy that reflects his/her training on assessment and evaluation and (b) prior experience teaching a course. Therefore, instructors should not be expected to assign grades the same way. For an example of how your course grade will be calculated, go to Blackboard.

Professional Dispositions

See https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/polices-procedures/

website https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/.

Honor Code & Integrity of Work

Integrity of Work: TCLDEL students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code (https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/). The principle of academic integrity is taken very seriously and violations are treated as such.

Violations of the Honor Code include:

- 1. Copying a paper or part of a paper from another student (current or past);
- 2. Reusing work that you have already submitted for another class (unless express permission has been granted by your current professor **before** you submit the work);
- 3. Copying the words of an author from a textbook or any printed source (including the Internet) or closely paraphrasing without providing a citation to credit the author. For examples of what should be cited, please refer to: https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/589/02/

You may also not "reuse" fieldwork hours. Each placement must have 15 documented hours that are solely for each course that you are in; you may be at the same site, but the same hours may not be counted towards the same course.

EDCI 520 - Assmt Class Schedule

Please come prepared to discuss the assigned readings during the week in which they appear. Readings and materials not found in textbooks are available on Blackboard. *OPT* indicates optional readings.

| Module & | Topics | Readings/ Assignments |
|------------------|---|---|
| Date 1 8/23 | Course Overview Self-introductions Textbooks & other readings Required course projects Traditional vs. Alternative Assmt Rubrics — BYOR | Forming LPA Teams Study Groups - Options |
| 2 8/30 | Types of Assessments Assessment terminology Various types and purposes of assessment Standards-based assessments Standardized tests & test bias Critical language testing & ethics | Brown & Abeywickrama (B & A), Chs. 1 & 4 Finalize LPA teams Discussion Bd Activities |
| 3 9/6 | Assessment Principles Validity & Reliability Practicality, Authenticity, Washback | (1) B & A, Ch. 2(2) Pierce - Definitions of Validity & Reliability (5 p)Discussion Board Activities |
| 4 9/13 | Language Proficiency Assessment (LPA) Purposes Components Reviewing tests Reminder: Sept. 15 deadline field placemt registr | (1) B & A, pp. 124-126 (2) Pierce - Ident. & Placement (4 p) (3) Liskin-Gasparro - ACTFL Prof. Guidelines & OPI (7 p) Quiz #1: Assmt Principles OPT: Clifford - CRT Prof. Testing (10 p) |
| 5 9/20 | Language Proficiency Assessment (LPA) Pt 2 Identification & placement Foreign language testing Defining language constructs | Lopez - Key issuesinitial identificationELLs (8 p) OPT: B & A, pp. 11-12, 35 – 36 (revisit Chs. 1 & 2) OPT: Purpura - Sec. & FL Assmt (15 p) |
| 6 9/27 | Due Today: Language Proficiency Assessment | t - Team Presentations |
| 7 10/4 | Assessing Content Area Language Standards, accommodations Vocabulary, grammar, syntax Scaffolding assessments | Mihai, Ch. 4 (35 p) Scaffolding Self-Study on Bbd Discussion Bd Activities OPT: Stahl & Bravo, Classroom Vocab Assmt (15 p) |

| EDCI 520 - | Assmt | Fall 2022 |
|--------------------|---|--|
| 8 10/11 | No Class – Fall Break | Prepare CBA Outline & Scoring Tools |
| 9 10/18 | Analyzing Assessment Results Analyzing and interpreting data Using data for making instructional decisions Formative & self-assessment | 1) Mertler - Data-driven classroom (5 p) 2) Black & Wiliam - Inside the black box (10 p) Case Study #1 Discussion Bd Activities - CBA Outline OPT: Black & Jones, Formative assmt. & MFL (6 p) |
| 10 10/25 | Designing Scoring Rubrics Different types of rubrics Misconceptions about rubrics Language of rubrics | Brookhart, Chs. 1 -3 Discussion Bd Activities – Data Analysis Submit CBA Outline & Scoring Tools/Rubrics for Feedback |
| 11 11/1 | Assessing Listening & Speaking Standards, learning goals Different types of listening & speaking assessment tasks Language functions | (1) B & A, Chs. 6 & 7(2) Isbell & Winke - ACTFL OPIc (9 p)Due today: Data Analysis Module |
| 12 11/8 | Assessing Writing Standards, learning goals Writing progressions Different types of writing assmt. tasks Feedback & self-assessment | 1) B & A, Ch. 9 2) Brookhart, Ch. 10 & Appendix B Submit Revised CBA Outline & Scoring Tools/ Rubrics for Fdbk |
| 13 11/15 | Assessing Reading Standards, learning goals Different types of reading assmt. tasks Multiple-choice tests Cloze tests, short answer, summarizing & notetaking tasks | B & A, Ch. 3 (pp. 72-83) & Ch. 8 Types of Rdg. Comprehension Qs (4 p) Multiple-Choice Writing Exercise |
| 14 11/22 | Accommodations & Accessibility | (1) Shafer Wilner - Accomms/ Access (8 p) (2) WIDA - Accessibility & accommodations, pages 3-16 (3) VA DOE Regs & Guidelines, pages 2 - 18 Case Study #2 Discussion Bd Activities – CBA Project Materials on Bbd |
| 11/29 | (CBA) Project | Discussion Bd Activities – CBA Project Quiz #2: Accommodations & Accessibility |
| 16 12/6 | NO CLASS | Due Dec. 9 – CBA Project |

Note: Faculty reserve the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students. Readings and materials that are not in the course textbooks can be found on Blackboard.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/.

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see https://catalog.gmu.edu/policies/honor-code-system/).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see https://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/).
- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see https://ds.gmu.edu/).
- Students must silence all sound emitting devices during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to VIA should be directed to viahelp@gmu.edu or https://cehd.gmu.edu/aero/assessments.
- Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to https://its.gmu.edu/knowledge-base/blackboard-instructional-technology-support-for-students/.
- For information on student support resources on campus, see https://ctfe.gmu.edu/teaching/student-support-resources-on-campus

Notice of mandatory reporting of sexual assault, sexual harassment, interpersonal violence, and stalking:

As a faculty member, I am designated as a "Non-Confidential Employee," and must report all disclosures of sexual assault, sexual harassment, interpersonal violence, and stalking to Mason's Title IX Coordinator per <u>University Policy 1202</u>. If you wish to speak with someone confidentially, please contact one of Mason's confidential resources, such as <u>Student Support and Advocacy Center</u> (SSAC) at 703-380-1434 or <u>Counseling and Psychological Services</u> (CAPS) at 703-993-2380. You may also seek assistance or support measures from Mason's Title IX Coordinator by calling 703-993-8730, or emailing titleix@gmu.edu.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website https://cehd.gmu.edu/students/.

1) DISCUSSION BOARD & QUIZZES

Discussion Board (DB) will be an essential part of your learning in this online course. In weekly or biweekly Discussion Board postings, you'll join your online class community in building trust through individual feedback and collaboration. You'll be responsible for responding to the instructor's questions, as well as providing critical and constructive peer feedback, including follow up questions, to others in an assigned small group. In order for you to interact with all students in this course and with others having similar research interests, small groups may change as your CBA plans become finalized. Since each of you will be sharing drafts of your rubrics and CBA project and waiting for feedback from others, it will be incumbent upon each of you to respond to each other by the deadlines stated on DB.

Your interactions with others should be honest and helpful, supportive without being unkind or personally offensive. You'll be given specific questions to respond to and a deadline by which to provide your feedback to others. You'll also complete assignments on DB based on assigned readings and receive formative feedback on your own work. Be sure to check for and respond to multiple questions or prompts on DB each week.

Separately from Discussion Board, you'll have the opportunity to review concepts presented in the readings by taking occasional quizzes. These quizzes will be scored for completion rather than assigned an individual grade. You can retake each quiz multiple times until you reach a minimum 80% accuracy rate or higher.

2) LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY ASSESSMENT PROJECT

Candidates will work in teams of two or three to review and critique language proficiency tests used to determine placement in ESOL and world language programs. As part of the review, team members will report on how test results are used for identification, program placement, and reclassification in a local school district that uses the test. Each team will make a presentation on a different subcomponent and grade level of WIDA,

ACTFL, or other tests and critique them using assessment principles, including validity, reliability, and practicality. Each team member will be responsible for learning about all aspects of the test under review and demonstrating understanding through this presentation. Equal participation through teamwork will be documented with a team participation log. Each team member will earn an individual score.

3) DATA ANALYSIS MODULE

Data analysis or knowing how to analyze and interpret student assessment results from a variety of sources is critical to teaching and learning, because it entails using information on student progress and learning gaps to make instructional decisions for moving forward. Candidates will be provided with cases or examples and asked to analyze and interpret assessment data in order to render a decision on what a teacher

needs to do next in instruction based on the data. This is using formative assessment for data-driven decision making.

4) CLASSROOM-BASED ASSESSMENT PROJECT (CBA)

Candidates will use their knowledge of assessment principles to create at least two assessments for students in a language learning setting. Candidates will identify a learning need of a group of ESL/FL classroom (using their own classroom or working with that of a cooperating teacher) and describe the level/age/grade/content to be assessed. Candidates will generate two separate and distinct assessments (rather than combined into one), one language-based and one content-based assessment to evaluate a learning need identified jointly with the teacher. At least one of the assessments must be performance-based and the other a constructed response assessment. Candidates will provide scaffolding for the assessments appropriate to the language learners' age and language proficiency levels. With the increase in online learning, candidates are encouraged but not required to integrate technology into their assessments. Candidates will (a) defend the validity and reliability of inferences made about students, (b) describe how they will use results to make instructional decisions, and (c) describe how each assessment task and scoring tool can be improved.

The instructor may allow submission of the CBA in two parts – language and content assessments, score them separately, then add the subscores for a total score. This can provide formative feedback for improving each part of the project.

The CBA Project is a <u>program-required</u> performance-based assessment (PBA) and both your final papers (as a Word document) and the Field Experience Log/Evaluation Form (as a scanned PDF document) should be uploaded to Blackboard (no photos of documents from cell phones or other devices will be accepted).

EDCI 520 - Assmt

Scoring Rubric for Classroom-Based Assessment Project

Name: Date: Score:

| Name. | | | 30016. | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Score Points | Does Not Meet | Approaches | Meets Standards | Exceeds Standards |
| Domain | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Design (TESOL Standard 4b) (ACTFL Standards 4b & 5a) | Does not adapt criterion- referenced, performance- based assessment tools. Uses language that is vague and subjective and does not differentiate one level from another. | Adapts assessments based on either language or content objectives and these are not performance-based or based on state standards and contain inaccuracies. Uses language that is vague and/or subjective or does not effectively differentiate between one level and another. | Adapts or designs skills-based criterion-referenced, performance-based assessments but may contain inaccuracies or incomplete information on one or more assessment tools. May use task-specific language or descriptive language with vague or subjective terms, and these may not clearly differentiate between one level and another. | Effectively and accurately adapts or designs skills-based, criterion-referenced, performance-based and constructed response assessments of both language and content based on state standards and classroom instruction. Effectively matches scoring criteria to learning objectives, using descriptive (objective), precise and measurable terms that clearly differentiate between one level of performance and another. |
| Justification (TESOL Standard 4b) (ACTFL Standards 4b & 5a) | Does not provide a rationale or justification for assessment tools and does not match tasks/tools to purpose. | Provides few details in rationale, little justification for adapting each assessment, needs extensive elaboration and may not match assessments to purpose. | Provides an accurate defense for some aspects of the design but not for others. May not match assessments to purpose or needs elaboration. | Thoroughly and accurately provides specific reasons defending choice of constructs, each assessment format and individual items, and scoring criteria for the target group and assessment purpose. |

| Scaffolding (TESOL Standard 4b) (ACTFL Standard 3b) | Uses little appropriate or visible scaffolding. | Uses ineffective scaffolding approaches and/or provides little justification and uses scaffolding that focuses primarily on instruction and/or does not match the proficiency level of the target students. | Uses a variety of scaffolding approaches but does not provide scaffolding for some assessment tasks or justifies it incompletely, or scaffolding focuses more on instruction or does not match the proficiency level of the target students. | Effectively applies and justifies a variety of scaffolding approaches for each assessment task, and these match the language proficiency level of target students and enable them to show what they know. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Validity & Reliability (TESOL Standard 4a) (ACTFL Standard 5a) | Does not address various types of validity and reliability for each assessment task and tool. | Addresses issues of validity or reliability with major inaccuracies or incompletely. | Addresses issues of validity or reliability with minor inaccuracies and/or omissions. | Accurately and thoroughly explains and defends how design of each assessment and language of scoring criteria ensures construct, content, and consequential validity and intra-rater reliability. |
| Response to Feedback (TESOL Standard 4b) (ACTFL Standard 5a) | Makes few revisions and these do not improve assessment tasks; does not justify revisions. | Makes few revisions in response to feedback and these do not improve assessment materials and/ or does not justify revisions. Omits before and after versions, includes inaccuracies in revisions and justifications, and/or needs elaboration. | Makes some revisions in response to feedback but these may not improve the assessment materials or omits justification of some revisions. May omit before and after versions, include inaccuracies in revisions or justifications, or need elaboration. | Thoroughly and effectively makes appropriate revisions in response to feedback, includes before and after versions, and justifies revisions for improving assessment design and promoting student learning. |

Feedback: